

# Published Data on Decontaminating Treatments for Beef

Type 1. Laboratory studies with, usually, inoculated portions of meat. Provide proofs of concepts.

Type 2. Pilot scale studies with commercial product. Provide proofs of efficacies in practice.

Type 3. Study of routine treatments at packing plants. Establish effects in practice.

## **Carcass Decontaminating Treatments**

- Washing or dehairing skin-on carcasses.
- Washing uneviscerated carcasses and dressed sides.
- Spraying uneviscerated carcasses and dressed sides.
- Vacuum/hot water cleaning.
- Trimming.
- Pasteurizing.

#### Washing or Dehairing of Skin-on Carcasses

#### Washing

- Enterobacteriaceae on hides reduced by 3 log units.
  - Enterobacteriaceae on carcasses reduced by < 1 log unit.</li>
  - E. coli O157:H7 prevalence on carcasses reduced from 17 to 2%.
- 2. E. coli O157:H7 prevalence on hides reduced from 98 to 90%.
  - *E. coli* O157:H7 prevalence at number > 0.4 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> reduced from 35 to 13%

#### **Dehairing**

- Numbers of Enterobacteriaceae on hides not affected.
- Numbers of Enterobacteriaceae on carcasses reduced by 2 log units.
- E. coli O157:H7 prevalence on hides reduced from 88 to 67%.
- E. coli O157:H7 prevalence on carcasses reduced from 50 to 1%.

# Washing Uneviscerated or Dressed Carcasses

- Aerobe numbers are reduced by 1 log unit when initial numbers are ≥ 4 log cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- *E. coli* numbers are reduced by 1 log unit when initial numbers are > 1 log cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- The amount of water used on each carcass is probably important for reducing numbers of bacteria.
- Repeated washing will not give further reductions unless carcasses are heavily recontaminated.

# Spraying Warm Carcasses with Antimicrobial Solutions

- To be effective, solutions must cover all carcass surfaces and be at inactivating concentrations.
- Washing uneviscerated carcasses then spraying with
  2% lactic acid is no more effective than washing alone.
- Spraying with an antimicrobial solution before or after pasteurizing is probably no more effective than pasteurizing alone.

# Trimming and Vacuum/Hot Water Cleaning

- Visible contamination is not a good indicator for microbial contamination.
- A trimming or cleaning operation does not affect the microbiological condition of the site on carcasses designated for treatment in the operation.
- Trimming of detained carcasses may reduce numbers at trimmed sites by up to 2 log units, because of removal of bacteria from the < 20% of sites that are heavily contaminated.

## **Pasteurizing**

 Pasteurizing carcasses with steam or hot water can reduce aerobes by > 1 and E. coli by > 2 log units.

Medium	Aerobes (log cfu/cm²)		E. coli (log cfu/2500cm²)	
	Before	After	Before	After
Steam	3.36	2.24	3.84	1.11
	2.90	1.63	2.51	n.d.
	2.02	0.73	3.19	n.d.
Water	3.30	1.98	3.58	0.85
	3.30	2.28	2.45	1.08

• If *E. coli* counts before pasteurizing are < 1 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup> and the pasteurizing treatment is effective, then *E. coli* on pasteurizing carcasses will be < 1 cfu/1000 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### Plant Data for *E. coli* in Carcasses

- E. coli are detected at 1 cfu/12 cm<sup>2</sup>.
- prevalences of *E. coli* positive samples at three plants were 0.05%, 0.21% and 2.9%;
- most positive samples gave only 1 cfu;
- if distribution is log normal and standard deviation is 1 log unit;
- then, mean *E. coli* number are < 1 cfu/1000 cm<sup>2</sup>, about 1 cfu/1000 cm<sup>2</sup>, and about 1/100cm<sup>2</sup>;
- and numbers of E. coli O157 on carcasses must be disappearingly small;

#### E. coli on Carcasses and in Ground Beef

- *E. coli* on carcasses are 1/1000 cm<sup>2</sup>, so a side will carry about 15 cfu.
- A side will give about 50 kg of ground beef.
- If meat is not contaminated after carcass pasteurizing *E. coli* in ground beef would be 3 cfu/10 kg.
- Numbers of E. coli in ground beef are about 3 cfu/g.
- Therefore, most *E. coli* in ground beef are deposited and/or grow on the meat after carcasses are pasteurized.

## **Carcass Cooling**

- Areobe increases of about 1 log unit are usual.
- *E. coli* numbers may increase, remain unchanged, or decrease.
- At two plants E. coli numbers remained < 1 cfu/1000 cm<sup>2</sup>.
- At two plants, E. coll numbers increased by 1 log unit to 1 cfu/1000 cm<sup>2</sup> and 1 cfu/cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Adequacy of control over E. coli growth can be decided from surface temperature history data.
- Contamination from contact with fixtures, workers, wash waters, etc. can be prevented.
- Hanging beef can be decontaminated with 4% lactic acid;

#### **Carcass Breaking**

- In four processes, numbers of *E. coli* on cuts were 0, 1, 2 or 4 log units more than numbers on carcasses.
- In two processes, numbers of *E. coli* on trimmings were 1 or 3 log units more than numbers on carcasses.
- The source of additional contaminants is detritus that cannot be removed from fixed or personal equipment during routine cleaning.
- Conveyors cannot be freed of detritus during routine cleaning.

#### **Control of Contamination During Carcass Breaking**

- Contamination during breaking of carcasses at a large pork packing plant did not occur, because all equipment was dried after cleaning and kept dry during processing.
- Personal equipment can be largely freed of *E. coli* by immersion in hot water.
- *E. coli* on trimmings can be reduced by 2 log units by pasteurizing in water of 85°C for 45 s.
- Except for a slightly paler color, ground beef prepared from pasteurized trimmings is indistinguishable from unpasteurized product.

#### Conclusions

- The hides of most cattle are contaminated with E. coli O157:H7.
- Current carcass dressing and decontaminating practices can give carcasses with E. coli < 1 cfu/1000 cm<sup>2</sup>.
- Beef is recontaminated with E. coli that include E. coli O157:H7 during carcass breaking processes.
- Recontamination can be prevented if breaking facilities and equipment are kept dry.
- Pasteurizing of trimmings could assure their microbiological safety.
- Safety cannot be tested into a product.



# Canada