Humans and Cattle: A Review of Bovine Zoonoses

Clinton J. McDaniel,¹ Diana M. Cardwell,¹ Robert B. Moeller, Jr.,² and Gregory C. Gray¹

Abstract

Infectious disease prevention and control has been among the top public health objectives during the last century. However, controlling disease due to pathogens that move between animals and humans has been challenging. Such zoonotic pathogens have been responsible for the majority of new human disease threats and a number of recent international epidemics. Currently, our surveillance systems often lack the ability to monitor the human–animal interface for emergent pathogens. Identifying and ultimately addressing emergent cross-species infections will require a "One Health" approach in which resources from public veterinary, environmental, and human health function as part of an integrative system. Here we review the epidemiology of bovine zoonoses from a public health perspective.

Key Words: Cattle zoonoses—Emerging pathogens—Occupational exposure—Epidemiology—Public health.

Introduction

VER THE PAST THREE DECADES, emerging and re-emerging Junfectious diseases have been recognized as one of the most significant public health problems. Infectious diseases are the leading cause of death worldwide and, despite modern healthcare, infectious diseases remain a leading cause of death in the United States (Board on International Health 1997, Armstrong et al. 1999). Emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) have been described as outbreaks of previously unknown diseases or previously recognized diseases whose incidence has expanded significantly in the past two decades (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases 2010). Diseases that have resurfaced after a decline in incidence are classified as re-emerging. As diagnostic and research capabilities have advanced, pathogens able to infect both humans and animals have increasingly been recognized as a major source of emergent human diseases (Jones et al. 2008).

The incidence of EID events has grown since the 1940s, despite controlling for increased reporting effort, thus providing the first analytical support that the threat of EIDs to global health is increasing (Jones et al. 2008). Further analysis revealed these EID events are dominated by zoonotic pathogens (60.3%). Similar findings have been published elsewhere (Taylor et al. 2001;Woolhouse et al. 2005a) and have been used in a 2006 World Health Organization (WHO) report highlighting the need for increased research and control efforts for

neglected zoonotic diseases in poverty alleviation efforts (World Health Organization 2006). Zoonotic pathogens can substantially impact public health both in terms of disease morbidity as well as in socioeconomic factors such as live-stock productivity. The consequences of subsequent disease in humans and animals are particularly profound among people living in developing nations.

With the emerging nature of zoonotic pathogens, it seems prudent that we consider the current and future role domestic animals may play as potential sources of novel diseases. An analysis by Woolhouse et al. found ungulates to be the most important nonhuman host, both in terms of the number of zoonotic pathogen species supported as well as among emerging and re-emerging zoonotic species (Woolhouse 2005a). In this review, we discuss emerging zoonotic pathogens of cattle, because they are one of the most important domestic livestock animals to human society (United States Department of Agriculture: Interagency Agricultural Projections Committee 2011). Unlike previous zoonotic reports involving cattle zoonoses (Hoar et al. 2001, McQuiston and Childs 2002, Abalos and Retamal 2004, Bradley and Liberski 2004, Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005, Collins 2006, Davies 2006, O'Handley and Olson 2006, O'Handley 2007, Mattison et al. 2007, Cavirani 2008, Indra et al. 2009, Rodolakis 2009, Ingram et al. 2010, Seleem et al. 2010, Torgerson and Togerson 2010), this review will not focus on one or a select group of pathogens, but rather on the epidemiology of cattle zoonotic

¹College of Public Health and Health Professions and Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. ²California Animal Health and Food Safety Laboratory System, Tulare Laboratory, University of California, Tulare, California. diseases due to their potentially substantial role in global public health. Previous reports have documented the characteristics of zoonotic pathogens, such as life cycle, geographic location, method of infection, and symptoms. However, other epidemiologic data, such as transmission, risk factors, prevalence, incidence rates, genetic evolution, and environmental risk factors, are often not available. Furthermore, few case reports are available documenting or discussing zoonotic transmission events of bovine pathogens. It is our belief that transmission events between cattle and humans occur predominantly through the bridging population of agricultural workers. Studying and educating these workers presents a unique opportunity to intervene in a number of disease transmission cycles. The recognition of the need for a comprehensive public health system has given rise to "One Health," an integrative effort of multiple disciplines at the local, national, and global health levels to attain optimal health for people, animals, and the environment (American Veterinary Medical Association 2008). Hence, in this report we sought to not only summarize cattle zoonotic disease data to assist public health, veterinary health, and research professionals to identify areas that merit further study, but also to elucidate how such diseases may occur in a practical setting.

The Human–Cattle Nexus

Domestic cattle have played a central role in human society for centuries. They provide essential sources of meat, milk, other dairy products, fertilizer for crops, clothing, and animal traction. This role continues to be vital in the lives of the most economically challenged people as cattle are often an important source of food security and revenue. For many in the developing world, cattle represent the most valuable property they own—often being reserved only for the wealthiest in a society (Coleman 2002). In many developing countries, recent urbanization, population growth, and rising incomes have resulted in rapid growth and transformation of livestock production in the absence of a public health framework, thus creating an increased opportunity for zoonotic diseases to threaten human health.

Groups with greater exposure to cattle and cattle products have increased risk of contracting bovine zoonotic infections. These groups include livestock handlers, veterinarians, abattoir workers, meat inspectors, laboratory staff handling biological samples from infected cattle, and persons consuming unpasteurized milk or other diary products and improperly prepared meats. As with many other infectious diseases, infants, the elderly, the immunocompromised, and those with other underlying health conditions are at increased risk of contracting bovine zoonotic infections (McMichael et al. 2002).

The role of cattle in modern societies is equally significant. For example, in 2010 almost half (935,000) of the 2.2 million farms in the United States used cattle for beef and dairy production, an estimated \$68.5 billion industry (United States Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service 2011). The US Department of Agriculture has projected an increase in the cattle industry over the next decade, largely as a result of increased demand in meat exports (United States Department of Agriculture: Interagency Agricultural Projections Committee 2011). Cattle are not equally distributed among the nations of the world, with the top five countries in terms of numbers of cattle, as well as dairy and meat production, comprising more than half of the world's cattle commodity (Table 1). Enhanced public health awareness and surveillance in these locations will be particularly important in decreasing morbidity and mortality associated with bovine zoonotic diseases. With 1.4 billion cattle globally (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2010), the interface between humans and cattle continues to be a vital component to our public health system.

Materials and Methods

Literature review

A review of literature was conducted using the PubMed electronic database to identify clinical and epidemiological reports using key search words that included "bovine" and "zoonoses" and one or more of the following terms—"sero-prevalence," "epidemiology," "case report," and "occupational exposure."

Species database construction

A collection of pathogens was compiled from previously published literature as well as online resources including the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the WHO, ProMED, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), and PubMed (Fields et al. 2001, Krauss et al. and Association American Public Health 2003, Heymann 2008, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2011b, World Health Organization 2011a, World Health Organization 2011b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011h, National Center for Biotechnology Information 2011, National Institute of Allergy Infectious Diseases 2011).

Results

Forty-five bovine zoonotic pathogens were identified in our review. Pathogens known to be capable of infecting humans and domestic cattle are organized in two tables, one table comparing fundamental characteristics (Table 2) and a second table examining the human epidemiology of each pathogen (Table 3). Geographically, bovine zoonoses are evenly dispersed around the world, with the majority (69%) having a worldwide distribution (Fig. 1C, Table 2). Bacterial pathogens represent the largest taxonomic group (42%) of the pathogens, followed by parasitic pathogens (29%), viruses (22%), fungi (5%), and prions (2%). The breakdown of bovine zoonoses by taxonomy is shown in Figure 2. This is consistent with previously reported analyses of zoonotic diseases (Cleaveland et al. 2001, Taylor et al. 2001) and global EID trends (Jones et al. 2008).

Further categorical analysis was conducted on bacterial and viral species. Among bacteria, 10 (53%) were Gram-negative, seven (37%) were Gram-positive, and two (10%) had no Gram classification. Morphologically, 13 (68%) of the species were rod-shaped bacilli, three (16%) were round cocci, and three (16%) displayed alternate morphologies (spirochetes and corkscrew). Likewise, viruses were classified based on the type of genome and method of replication used (Baltimore classification). Two (20%) were double-stranded DNA viruses (Group I), four (40%) were negative-sense RNA viruses (Group V).

Bovine zoonoses are among pathogens listed as EIDs. Currently the NIAID recognizes 25 (56%) bovine zoonoses as

		Country	Total	Percentage	Global total	Percentage of global total
2009	Cattle population (head)	India	206,400,000	14.54%	1,419,528,556	50.34%
	I I (, , ,	Brazil	205,260,000	14.46%	,,	
		Europe (total)	125,797,753	8.86%		
		United States	94,521,000	6.66%		
		China	82,624,751	5.82%		
	Cattle meat (tons)	United States	11,891,100	19.26%	61,730,630	63.02%
		Europe (total)	10,907,885	17.67%		
		Brazil	6,661,630	10.79%		
		China	6,060,569	9.82%		
		Argentina	3,378,460	5.47%		
	Cattle milk (tons)	Europe (total)	207,114,043	35.33%	586,239,893	69.71%
		United States	85,880,500	14.65%		
		India	47,825,000	8.16%		
		China	35,509,831	6.06%		
		Russia	32,325,800	5.51%		
2010	Cattle population (head)	India	210,200,000	14.71%	1,428,701,438	50.51%
		Brazil	209,541,000	14.67%		
		Europe (total)	124,248,533	8.70%		
		United States	93,881,200	6.57%		
		China	83,797,300	5.87%		
	Cattle meat (tons)	United States	12,047,200	19.34%	62,304,124	62.48%
		Europe (total)	11,034,176	17.71%		
		- Brazil	6,977,480	11.20%		
		China	6,235,900	10.01%		
		Argentina	2,630,160	4.22%		
	Cattle milk (tons)	Europe (total)	207,370,015	34.58%	599,615,097	68.89%
		United States	87,461,300	14.59%		
		India	50,300,000	8.39%		
		China	36,022,650	6.01%		
		Russia	31,895,100	5.32%		

 TABLE 1. GLOBAL CATTLE STATISTICS FOR THE YEAR 2009–2010: STATISTICS FROM FOOD AND AGRICULTURAL ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS 2010^a

^aFood and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 2010.

emerging or re-emerging diseases of interest (National Institute of Allergy Infectious Diseases 2011). Of the emerging bovine zoonotic pathogens, 13 (52%) are bacteria, six (24%) are viruses, four (16%) are parasites, one (4%) is fungal, and one (4%) is a prion (Table 2). Pathogens recognized in this list often pose ongoing health problems and have the potential to create a significant impact on the overall health of the community. Similarly, the CDC pays particular attention to diseases that have the ability to be used as biological weapons based on their ability to create human disease and public fear (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2011a). Given the importance of cattle, not only in terms of human health but also to the food supply, a number of bovine zoonoses have been classified as potential bioterrorism agents. Twenty-four (53%) of the recognized cattle zoonotic pathogens are on CDC's bioterrorism list. Category A pathogens are highpriority pathogens that pose the greatest risk to national security. Similarly, category B pathogens pose a moderate risk to national security. A third group, category C, is composed of pathogens that are emerging and could be engineered to be used as biological weapons. Of the 24 cattle zoonotic pathogens, two (8%) are category A, 16 (67%) are category B agents, and six (25%) are category C agents (Table 2).

In this report, we summarize the clinical presentation of known bovine zoonotic agents by the human organ system affected. We have also included 135 case reports of human zoonotic disease known or highly suspected to be a result of exposure to cattle identified in the literature (Table 2). Many zoonoses, however, may be undetected or underreported, preventing an accurate assessment of the impact of humancattle interactions on public health. Readers are encouraged to refer to Tables 2 and 3 for details on the characteristics and epidemiology of these pathogens.

Pulmonary

Several bovine zoonoses of serious public health concern cause pulmonary infections in humans, perhaps the most important being zoonotic tuberculosis caused by Mycobacterium bovis (Abalos and Retamal 2004, Fritsche et al. 2004, Davies 2006, De la Rua-Domenech 2006, de Kantor et al. 2008, Shrikrishna et al. 2009, Ingram et al. 2010, Torgerson and Torgerson 2010) or rarely M. tuberculosis (Ocepek et al. 2005, Bayraktar et al. 2011a). We also identified reports involving both human and bovine cases confirmed or highly suspected to be a result of zoonotic transmission of M. caprae (Prodinger et al. 2002, Cvetnic et al. 2007, Tar et al. 2009, Bayraktar et al. 2011b). Bovine tuberculosis has largely been eliminated from developed countries with strong animal disease control programs, but is still a serious zoonotic threat in other areas of the world. Most human M. bovis infections occur after drinking or handling unpasteurized milk that is contaminated, but

Pathogen	Taxonomic	Morphology	Baltimore	Bioterrorism	Emerging/ Reemerging Status	Disease(s)	Current Geographic Distribution	Case Report References
Actinobacillus lignieresii Arcamobaciterium pyogenes Bacillus anthracis Borrelia burgdorferi	Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria Bacteria	Gram - coccobacillus Gram + bacillus Gram + bacillus No Gram classification spirochete		×.	H	Actinobacillosis Arcanobacteriosis Anthrax Lyme disease	Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide	Orda et al. 1980 Lynch et al. 1998, Reddy et al. 1997 Cinquetti et al. 2009, Doganay et al. 2010, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007a, 2010a, 2011a, 2011b, 2011c, 2011d, 2012a, 2012b, 2012k, Kim et al. 2001, Lester et al. Lobeleicoglu et al. 2006, Lester et al.
Brucella abortus Brucella melitensis	Bacteria	Gram - coccobacillus		Ě	Ξ	Brucellosis	Worldwide	1957, Sattwartz et al. 2002, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000, 2001, 2010 International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007b, 2017c, 2008a, 2009a, 2010b, 2010c, 2011 c, Mudaliar et al. 2003, Park et al. 2009
Burkholderia pseudomallei	Bacteria	Gram - bacillus)¢	III	Melioidosis	Worldwide	
Campylobacter fetus Campylobacter jejuni	Bacteria	Gram - corkscrew		a dec	III (C. <i>jejuni</i>)	Campylobacteriosis	Worldwide	Altekruse et al. 1999, Gilpin et al. 2008, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007d, 2007e, 2007f, 2008d, 2009b, 2009c, 2010d, 2010e, 2010f, 2011f, 2012c
Clostridium difficile Coxiella burnetii	Bacteria Bacteria	Gram + bacillus Gram - coccobacillus enveloped, spherical) (e	Ш	Clostridial disease Q fever	World wide World wide	Bosnjak et al. 2010, Kobbe et al. 2007, McQuiston et al. 2002, Whitney
Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic	Virus		Λ	Ş.	Ш	Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever	Africa, Middle East, Asia,	Hassanein et al. 1997, Swanepoel et al. 1985
tevet vitus Cryptosporidium spp.	Parasite			é	Ξ	Cryptosporidiosis	and most of Europe Worldwide	Hunter et al. 2004, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010), Lengerich et al. 1993, Miron et al. 1991
Dermatophilus congolensis Dicrocoelium dendriticum Dicrocoelium hospes	Bacteria Parasite	Gram + cocci				Dermatophilosis Dicrococeliasis	Worldwide Europe, Africa, Australia, North America, Asia	Amor et al. 2011
Entamoeba histolytica	Parasite) (Ш	Amoebic dysentery	Worldwide	
Escherichia coli	Bacteria	Gram - bacillus		(⊟	Hemolytic uremic syndrome Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura Hemorrhagic colitis	Worldwide	International Society for Infectious Diseases 1998, 2005a, 2005b, 2006a, 2006b, 2006c, 2007g, 2010g, 2012g, 2012h, Parry et al. 1995, Renwick et al. 1993, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2002, Wasuri et al. 2007
Fasciola spp. Giardia intestinalis	Parasite Parasite)	Ш	Fascioliasis Giardiasis	Worldwide Worldwide	0
Gongylonema pulchrum Kyasanur Forest disease virus	Parasite Virus	enveloped, spherical	N	K QX	Ш	Gongylonemiasis Tick-borne hemorrhagic fever	Worldwide Asia	Thompson et al. 2002

(continued)

TABLE 2. CLASSIFICATION OF RECOGNIZED BOVINE ZOONOSES

					TABLE 2.	(Continued)		
Pathogen	Taxonomic	Morphology	Baltimore	Bioterrorism	Emerging/ Reemerging Status	Disease(s)	Current Geographic Distribution	Case Report References
Leptospira spp.	Bacteria	Gram - spirochete				Leptospirosis	Worldwide	Cacciapuoti et al. 1987, Kariv et al.
Listeria monocytogenes	Bacteria	Gram+bacillus		Ċ	Ш	Listeriosis	Worldwide	1007
Mammomonogamus spp.	Parasite			K		Mammomonogamiasis	Caribbean, Asia,	Cain et al. 1986, International Society
Microsporum spp.	Fungus				Π	Microsporosis (Ringworm)	Worldwide	Not intectious Diseases 2007, McLauchlin et al. 1994, Regan et al. 2005
Mycobacterium tuberculosis Mycobacterium bovis	Bacteria	No Gram classification bacillus		¢.	Ξ	Tuberculosis	Worldwide	Bayraktar et al. 2011a, Bayraktar 2011b, Cvetnic et al. 2007, De la Rua-Domenech 2006, D'Amore et al. 2010, Fritsche et al. 2004, Ingram et al. 2010, Kubica et al. 2003, Ocepek et al. 2005, Prodinger et al. 2002, Shrikrishna et al. 2009, Tar et al. 2009, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2005,
Parapoxvirus	Virus	enveloped,	Ι			Bovine papular stomatitis virus	Worldwide	de Kantor et al. 2008
		brick-shaped				Pseudocowpox Orf		
Prion	Prion			¢.	Π	Bovine spongiform encephalopathy new variant Creutzfeldt-lakob Disease	Europe, Israel, Japan, potentially others	
Rabies virus	Virus	enveloped, cylindrical	>	Ś	Π	Rabies	Worldwide	International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012i
Rift Valley fever virus	Virus	envéloped, spherical	^	¥	Π	Rift Valley fever	Africa, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, possibly others	District et al. 2007
Ross River virus	Virus	enveloped, spherical	N	Ŕ	Ш	Ross River fever	South America, Australia, New Zealand	
Salmonella spp.	Bacteria	Gram - bacillus) A	Π	Salmonellosis	Worldwide	
Sarcocystis Schistosoma spp.	Parasite Parasite			ł		Sarcosporidiosis Schistosomiasis	Worldwide Africa, Middle East, Caribbean, South America, Asia	Bemis et al. 2007, Hendriksen et al. 2004, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2003, 2007i, 2007j, 2010h, 2010i, Lazarus et al. 2007, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2007
Shigella spp.	Bacteria	Gram - bacillus		Æ	Π	Shigellosis	Worldwide	International Society for Infectious Diseases 2005c
Staphylococcus aureus	Bacteria	Gram+staphylococci		Ŕ	п	Staphylococcal disease	Worldwide	Grinberg et al. 2004, Moritz et al. 2011, van Cleef et al. 2011
Streptococcus spp.	Bacteria	Gram+streptococci		Ŕ	П	Streptococcus	Worldwide	
<i>Taenia saginata</i> Tick-borne encephalitis virus	Parasite Virus	enveloped, icosahedral	N	Ú.	Ξ	Taeniasis Central European encephalitis Russian spring-summer encephalitis	Worldwide Europe, Asia	Public Health Laboratory Service 1994, Tappe et al. 2004

(continued)

					TABLE 2.	(Continued)		
Pathogen	Taxonomic	Morphology	Baltimore	Biotervorism	Emerging/ Reemerging Status	Disease(s)	Current Geographic Distribution	Case Report References
Toxoplasma gondii Trichophyton spp. Trichostrongylus spp. Trypanosoma brucei gambiense Trypanosoma brucei rhodesiense	Parasite Fungus Parasite Parasite			Ú.	П	Toxoplasmosis Trichophytosis (Ringworm) Trichostrongylichasis African animal trypanosomiasis Human african trypanosomiasis/	Worldwide Worldwide Worldwide Africa	Ming et al. 2006, Silver et al. 2008
Vaccinia virus Comnov virus	Virus	enveloped, brick- shaned	Ι			Vaccinia, Vaccinia,	Worldwide (excluding the US)	
Vesicular stomatifis virus Wesselsbron virus	Virus Virus	enveloped, helical enveloped, spherical	> <u>></u>			Vesicular stomatitis Wesselsbron fever	Central and South America Central and South America	Baxby et al. 1994, Bhanuprakash et al. 2010, Essbauer et al. 2010, Essbauer et al. 2010, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007k, 2011g, Megid et al. 2008, Nitsche et al. 2007, Pelkonen et al. 2003, Schuntrenberger et al. 1980, Schupp et al. 2001, Singh et al. 2007, Trindade et al. 2009, Wienecke
Yersinia pseudotuberculosis Yersinia enterocolitica	Bacteria	Gram - bacillus		Ě	Ħ	Yersiniosis	Worldwide	et al. 2000, de Souza Trindade et al. 2007 Greenwood et al. 1990, International Society for Infectious Diseases 20071, Nowgesic et al. 1999, Tacket et al. 1984

Biothreat category based on the CDC classification (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2011a); Emerging/Reemerging status based on the NIAID classification (National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases 2011). A = Category A, A = Category B, A = Category C

Table 3. Human epidemiological factors of recognized bovine zoonotic pathogens

System potentially affected

Mortality	30% (if	reated	with	osure route	(endocarditis)	20%		55% in chronic	8		reported reported	30%	scesses) 10% (HUS sc) 110 to 50%	P cases)				10% %		reported	
7	up to	Rare	Varies	exp Rare	<2%	up to	Rare	5-10% h up to (9–50%	Rare	None None	up to	up to	(TP	Rare	Rare Rare	3–5%	up to 30–35	Rare	None 19%	None 100%
Treatment/vaccine availability	antibiotics	antibiotics	antibiotics	antibiotics, supportive therapy	antibiotics	antibiotics	antibiotics, supportive therapy	antitoxin, supportive therapy antibiotics	supportive	antiparasitic/antiretrovirals	antibiotics antiparasitic therapy (heraginisation)	antiparasitic therapy	(metronidazole) Antibiotics, supportive	transfusion	antiparasitic therapy (triclabendazole)	supportive therapy, antibiotics removal, antihelminthic (albendazole)	Supportive treatment only	antibiotics antibiotics, supportive therapy	removal, antihelminthic	antifungal therapy antibiotics	supportive treatment only supportive treatment only
Diagnosis	ICS	IC	ICP	ICSP	ICSP	ISP	ICSP	ICSP ICSP	SP	ISP	ISP	ICSP	ICSP		ISP	ISP IIP	ISP	ICSP ICSP	Ι	ICSP Skin test	ICSP ISP
Neurological N	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	•			•	•		•		•	••		•	• •
Ocular	٠	•			•			•	•												
snoəuvţnJ	٠	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•		•	•		••		••	•
lanitestniortend	٠		•		•	•	•	••	•	•	• •	•	•		•	••	•	••	•	••	
h.wuow1nd	•	•	•		•	•		••	•	•	•	•			•			••	•	• •	
Cardiovascular Cardiovascular	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •	•			•					•	• •			
Potential transmission routes	Cutaneous	Cutaneous	Cutaneous, Ingestion,	Innalation, vector-porne Vector-borne	Cutaneous, Ingestion, Inhalation	Cutaneous, Ingestion, Inhalation	Ingestion	Ingestion, Cutaneous Inhalation, Ingestion, Voctor borne	vector-pointe Cutaneous, Ingestion, Vector-borne, Inhalation*	Ingestion, Inhalation*	Uutaneous, Vector-borne Ingestion	Ingestion, Vector-borne	Ingestion, Inhalation*,		Ingestion	Ingestion, Vector-borne* Ingestion	Vector-borne, Ingestion	Ingestion, Cutaneous Ingestion, Cutaneous, Vocation	Vetucat Ingestion	Cutaneous Inhalation, Ingestion,	Cutaneous Ingestion*, Vertical*, Vector-borne*, latrogenic*
		:			÷	÷	÷		÷			Ë	÷			μĻ	:			ļļ	
Incubation period	Hours to days	Hours to days	Varies with exposure	1-30 days	Weeks to months	Days to months	3–5 days	12–36 hours 2–3 weeks	Varies with exposure	1-12 days	Hours to days Unknown	2–6 weeks	1-8 days		Days to months	1–25 days Weeks	3–8 days	2–30 days 3 weeks	6–11 days	Days to weeks Months to years	Varies with type Years
Pathogen	Actinobacillus lignieresii	Arcanobacterium pyogenes	Bacillus anthracis	Borrelia burgdorferi	Brucella abortus Brucella melitensis	Burkholderia pseudomallei	Campylobacter fetus Cammulohacter ieiuni	Clostridium difficile Coxiella burnetii	Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus	Cryptosporidium spp.	Dermatophilus congolensis Dicrocoelium dendriticum Dicrocoelium hocme	Entamoeba histolytica	Escherichia coli		Fasciola spp.	Giardia duodenalis Gongylonema pulchrum	Kyasanur Forest disease virus	Leptospira spp. Listeria monocytogenes	Mammomonogamus spp.	Microsporum spp. Mycobacterium spp.	Parapoxvirus Prion

(continued)

			TABLE	3. (Coi	NTINUEI	()					
				Syster	n potent.	ially affe	scted				
Pathogen	Incubation period	Potential transmission routes	Cardiovascular	hıvuouı[n _d	InnitestniortenJ	snoəuvinƏ	Ocular	InsigolovusV	Diagnosis	Treatment/vaccine availability	Mortality
Rabies virus	1 to 3 months	Inhalation, Cutaneous			•		-	•	ISP	pre/post-exposure prophylaxis,	♣ 100% (if untreated)
Rift Valley fever virus	3–7 days	Vector-borne, Cutaneous, Inhalation			•		-	•	IC	immunoglobulin supportive treatment only	<1%
Ross River virus Salmonella sob.	7-9 days 5-72 hours	Vector-borne Ingestion	•		•				ICSP ICSP	supportive treatment only supportive therapy, antibiotics	None reported 1–15%
Sarcocystis	Hours to days	Ingestion			•				Ι	supportive treatment only	None reported
Schistosoma spp. Shimella soo	1–2 months Hours to dairs	Cutaneous			• •	• •	- •	•	ISP	praziquantel	<1%
Staphylococcus aureus	Highly variable	Cutaneous, Ingestion,	•	•	• •	• •	-		ICSP	antibiotics, supportive therapy	Varies with
Streptococcus spp.	Hours to days 🎁	Interaction, Inhalation, Cutaneous (varies	•	•	•	•	-	•	ICSP	antibiotics	up to 29%
T <i>aenia saginata</i> Tick-borne encephalitis virus	Days to years 7-14 days	Ingestion Vector-borne, Ingestion, Cutaneous Vertical			••		-	•	ISP ICSP	praziquantel, niclosamide supportive treatment only	None reported
Toxoplasma gondii	10–23 days	Ingestion, Inhalation, Vector-borne	•	•	•		•	•	ICSP	antibiotics, antiparasitic therapy (pyrimethamine, sulfadiazine)	up to 84%
Trichophyton spp. Trichostrongylus spp.	2–4 weeks Unknown	(immunocompromised) Cutaneous, Vector-borne* Ingestion			•	•			ICSP ICP	antifungual therapy pyrantel pamoate, mehendazole	None reported None reported
Trypanosoma brucei gambiense Trypanosoma brucei	Weeks up to a year	Vector-borne, Cutaneous, Vertical	•			•	-	•	ICSP	Stage I: pentanidine, suramin Stage II: melarsoprol, eflornithine	100% (if untreated)
Vaccinia virus Cowpox	Days up to two weeks 🎁	Vector-borne, Cutaneous				•	-	•	ICSP	antivirals, supportive treatment	➡ Rare
Vesicular stomatitis virus	3-4 days	Vector-borne, Cutaneous, Inhalation*		•		•	•		ICSP	supportive treatment only	 None reported
Wesselsbron virus Yersinia pseudotuberculosis Yersinia enterocolitica	2-4 days 3-7 days	Vector-borne, Inhalation Inhalation, Cutaneous	• •	• •	•	• •	•	• •	ICSP ICSP	supportive treatment only antibiotics	None reported up to 60% (if untreated)

Image: Human-to-human transmission
Image: A sectine available; Image: A sectine available; A sectine available; A sectine available; A section ava



FIG. 1. Characteristics of cattle zoonotic pathogens. (A) Host transmission: Percentage of cattle zoonotic pathogens for which human-to-human transmission occurs. (B) Transmission route: Percentage of cattle zoonotic pathogens able to be transmitted by various routes of exposure. (C) Geographic region: Percentage of cattle zoonotic pathogens found in each geographic region. (Data aggregated from Tables 2 and 3.)

agricultural workers may also become infected by inhaling bacteria that are aerosolized via coughing of infected cattle (Moda et al. 1996). Humans can also present with urogenital infections of *M. bovis* (Ocepek et al. 2005). In addition to infected respiratory secretions, *M. bovis* shedding in the urine may serve as a potential reverse zoonosis from humans to cattle. This may be particularly important for closed herds that do not have wildlife exposure or otherwise exposure to potential sources of infection. Bovine tuberculosis is likely underdiagnosed in regions of the world where it has not been controlled, because it is clinically indistinguishable from nonzoonotic tuberculosis and requires specialized laboratory expertise and equipment for diagnosis.

Similarly, Q fever caused by the rickettsial parasite *Coxiella burnetii*, primarily causes an influenza-like illness in humans. Among the less common complications of Q fever that have been documented are atypical pneumonia, hepatitis, and endocarditis (Arricau-Bouvery and Rodolakis 2005). *C. burnetii* is shed in very high numbers in birth fluids and the placenta of infected animals as well as in the milk

(Loftis et al. 2010) and is extremely hardy in the environment; people are most often infected by direct contact with infected fluids or by inhalation of contaminated dust. People at increased risk of Q fever include livestock handlers, especially veterinarians or persons providing obstetrical assistance to cows, those who live near or visit livestock facilities, those who consume unpasteurized milk, and those who have preexisting heart disease or are immunocomprimised (Abe et al. 2001, McQuiston and Childs 2002, Kobbe et al. 2007, Whitney et al. 2009, Bosnjak et al. 2010).

Accurate diagnosis and epidemiologic analysis of bovine zoonotic respiratory infections causing influenza-like illness may be hampered due to a lack of specific diagnostics and the often-indistinguishable clinical presentation from common human respiratory agents, such as influenza, coronavirus, parainfluenza virus, respiratory syncytial virus, adenovirus, enterovirus, and metapneuomovirus (Zhang et al. 2011). With similarity in clinical symptoms, ascertaining the true incidence and prevalence of bovine zoonotic respiratory infections will require specifically designed large-cohort studies



FIG. 2. Cattle zoonotic agent by microbiological category. (Data aggregated from Table 2.)

of at-risk populations. If zoonotic respiratory pathogens of bovine origin develop or have developed the ability to spread as easily as other known human respiratory pathogens, we may very well be underestimating the morbidity and mortality associated with these infections. Differential diagnoses of agricultural workers with a history of cattle exposure should include the traditional human pathogens as well as the following bovine zoonotic pathogens: *Bacillus anthracis*, *Brucella* spp., *C. burnetti*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Mycobacterium* spp.

Gastrointestinal

Gastrointestinal infections are among the most common cattle zoonoses, in part because of their ubiquitous nature (Tables 2 and 3). Outbreaks involving contaminated cattle products have been well documented for a number of bacterial species, including enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (Renwick et al. 1993, Parry et al. 1995, International Society for Infectious Diseases 1998, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2002, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2005a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2005b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2006a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2006b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2006c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007g, Waguri et al. 2007, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010g, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012e, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012g, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012h), Salmonella spp. (International Society for Infectious Diseases 2003, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007i, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007j, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2008, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010h, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010i), Listeria spp. (International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007h), Streptococcus spp. (Public Health Laboratory Service 1994), Shigella spp. (International Society for Infectious Diseases 2005c), Campylobacter spp. (Altekruse et al. 1999, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007e, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2008b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2009b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2009c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007d, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010d, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010e, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012j), B. anthracis (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011d, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012b), and Yersinia spp. (Tacket et al. 1984, Greenwood and Hooper 1990, Nowgesic et al. 1999, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007l). A foodborne outbreak involving one of these pathogens is likely to originate from mishandling or inadequate preparation of meat or dairy products. Recent studies have shown the presence of Salmonella, L. monocytogenes, and E. coli O157:H7 in inline filters and bulk tank milk samples across the United States (Javarao and Henning 2001, Javarao et al. 2006, Van Kessel et al. 2011) and in fresh cheeses popular in Mexico (Torres-Vitela et al. 2012). Among these bacterial species, Listeria and Yersinia spp. are unique in that these bacteria are cold tolerant and able to grow under cooler temperatures in milk that has been inadequately pasteurized. Not surprisingly, unpasteurized milk and cheese consumption are associated with the majority of documented outbreaks (International Society for Infectious Diseases 1998, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2003, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2005a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2005c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2006a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2006b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2006c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007d, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007e, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007g, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007h, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007i, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007j, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007l, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2008b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2009b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2009c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010d, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010e, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010h, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010i, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012d, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012e, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012f, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012j). While local laws have been adopted in some areas in an effort to prevent such outbreaks, many people in both the United States and around the world preferentially consume these products.

Foodborne disease outbreaks are not confined to developing countries, because many of the documented cases occur in the United States or other countries with advanced public health networks. In fact, the CDC estimates that there are approximately 48 million cases of domestically acquired foodborne illness in the United States every year (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2012), the majority of which go unreported. Even when cases are reported, the source of the infection is often never determined because foodborne zoonoses may originate from many animals (*e.g.*, shellfish, pigs, poultry, cattle). Therefore, the true contribution of bovine pathogens to the burden of foodborne illness is unknown.

Gastrointestinal illnesses resulting from infection with bacterial zoonotic pathogens are not isolated to the consumption of cattle products. Parasitic infections resulting from fecal–oral transmission of *Cryptosporidium* spp. (Miron et al. 1991, Lengerich et al. 1993, Hunter et al. 2004, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010d) and *Giardia* spp. (Thompson 2002) from cattle have been confirmed, and drinking contaminated water or handling infected cattle increases the risk of infection. Because both of these parasitic organisms may be transmitted through water, their potential as contaminants in drinking water remains an important public health issue for water authorities. The role that animals, both wildlife and livestock, play as a zoonotic reservoir of infection, however, remains controversial (Hunter and Thompson 2005).

Our review of the literature revealed a number of unusual cases of nonfoodborne zoonotic transmission of *B. anthracis* (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2010), *Campylobacter* spp. (Gilpin et al. 2008), *E. coli* (Renwick et al. 1993, Parry et al. 1995, Waguri et al. 2007), and *Salmonella* spp. (Hendriksen et al. 2004, Bemis et al. 2007) that resulted in gastrointestinal illness in humans. These events, while likely representing only rare incidents, reveal the increased potential of acquisition of gastrointestinal zoonotic disease by agricultural workers as a result of their exposure to infected cattle. This segment of the population is more at risk for infection with bovine zoonotic agents, and also represents a bridging population where human and animal pathogens can potentially shift and adapt to novel ecological environments.

Cutaneous

Bovine zoonotic infections affecting the skin and underlying cutaneous tissues may have the longest history of recognition (Koch 1876). Symptoms are generally mild but distinctive, ranging from itching, redness, and swelling to more severe rashes (as with the characteristic black ulcers of anthrax and the "bull's eye" rash of Lyme disease) and lesions (characteristic with poxviruses). Not surprisingly, the most commonly reported agents include cutaneous anthrax (Doganay and Aygen 1997, Lester et al. 1997, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2001, Schwartz 2002, Cinquetti et al. 2009), and poxvirus lesions (cowpoxvirus and parapoxvirus, such as pseudocowpox and bovine papular stomatitis virus) (Schnurrenberger et al. 1980, Baxby et al. 1994, Wienecke et al. 2000, Schupp et al. 2001, Pelkonen et al. 2003, de Souza Trindade et al. 2007, Nitsche and Pauli 2007, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007k, Singh et al. 2007, Trindade et al. 2009, Bhanuprakash et al. 2010, Essbauer et al. 2010, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011g). Anthrax, caused by *B. anthracis*, is an important disease of domestic herbivores, including cattle, and occurs throughout the world. Human cutaneous infections are usually occupational in nature and associated with exposure to contaminated hides, hair, blood, and meat.

Again, during our review of the literature, a number of unusual bacterial cutaneous infections were discovered involving *Listeria* spp. (Cain and McCann 1986, McLauchlin and Low 1994, Regan et al. 2005), *Salmonella* spp. (Lazarus et al. 2007), *Staphylococcus aureus* (Grinberg et al. 2004), and *Streptococcus* spp. (Tappe et al. 2004), as well as potentially emerging fungal pathogens, such as *Trichophyton* spp. (Ming and Bulmer 2006, Silver et al. 2008). As before, in each case the incident was related to an occupational exposure involving an infected bovine. We are unable to discern if these cases are simply rare transmission events, an underreporting of true incidence, or the possible sign of emerging pathogens. Focused surveillance activities would allow for a more definitive conclusion.

Another concerning topic for public health—drug-resistant pathogens-emerged during the literature review of cutaneous zoonotic infections. Numerous recent publications (2008-2011) have documented the presence of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant S. aureus (LaMRSA), particularly of sequence type 398 (ST398) (Nemati et al. 2008, Golding et al. 2010, Meemken et al. 2010, Moodley et al. 2010, Vanderhaeghen et al. 2010, Hallin et al. 2011, Moritz and Smith 2011, Smith and Pearson 2011, van Cleef et al. 2011a, Wassenberg et al. 2011). The epidemiology of methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA) has changed dramatically over the last decade (Layton et al. 1995, Smith and Pearson 2011). Initially a nosocomial pathogen, MRSA has become increasingly common in the community regardless of contact with hospitals or other community health care facilities. Strains accounting for a significant number of infections have origins unrelated to nosocomial strains (Huang et al. 2006). Nursing home residents, prisoners, athletes, children, and intravenous drug users are groups commonly associated with communityacquired strains of MRSA. In addition to nosocomial and community-acquired MRSA, a third group has been identified in association with livestock, including cattle, swine, horses, and poultry. LaMRSA was first recognized in cattle (Devriese and Hommez 1975), and a substantial literature exists documenting the economic losses that can result from bovine mastitis, a persistent inflammatory infection of the mammary gland tissue. Prior to the emergence of ST398, sporadic cases of mastitis and bovine milk contamination with MRSA were reported (Holmes and Zadoks 2011). Strains identified were often associated with human lineage, suggesting there is a sustained pathway for transmission of such pathogens. Current information suggests this trend is continuing, with the recent recognition of divergent strains of *S*. aureus in humans that were previously thought to be bovinespecific (Holmes and Zadoks 2011). Future implications of LaMRSA such as ST398 and other potentially emerging cutaneous pathogens on the health of agricultural workers and on public health overall have yet to be fully considered and warrant further inquiry.

Neurological

Neurological infection may manifest in a variety of ways, creating difficult scenarios for diagnosis and study. Symptoms overlap with those of noninfectious neurological cases, including fatigue, headache, dizziness, loss of mental acuity, photophobia, drowsiness, etc. Cattle are potential carriers of bacterial zoonotic pathogens that can cause such symptoms. Among these, B. anthracis, Brucella spp., and rarely enterohemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC), Leptospira spp., and Borrelia burgdorferi can affect the central nervous system in severe manifestations of neuropathies, meningitis, or encephalitis. Proper detection and early treatment are vital in these cases. There have been documented events of bovine-associated anthrax that have resulted in cases of neurological manifestations, including a common-source outbreak producing two cases of meningoencephalitis and three cases of cutaneous anthrax associated with beef consumption (Kim et al. 2001) as well as an outbreak involving a cluster of cases resulting from contact or consumption of the carcass of a cow (Leblebicoglu et al. 2006). In all three neurological anthrax infections, the patients died.

Alternatively, infectious proteins, known as prions, have been associated with a degenerative neurological disorder known as new variant Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (nvCJD). There is much that is still unknown surrounding the etiology of this disease. Possible origins of infection have been identified—genetic inheritance, the consumption of contaminated cattle products, or, in some cases, sporadic development. Contact with infected animals and consumption of cattle products, particularly components of the central nervous system, appear to be associated with the development of this disease (Belay and Schonberger 2005). Occupational cattle workers and those that consume cattle products of the neurological system are potentially at greater risk for the development of nvCJD.

Rabies virus is often considered the most prominent zoonotic agent that directly affects the neurological system. Despite having one of the longest-known disease histories, the epidemiology of rabies remains incomplete. Rabies virus varies worldwide from continent to continent, and even within countries (urban vs. sylvatic rabies) (Krauss et al. 2003). Host range is wide in mammals, with bats being a particularly important vector. Clinical disease in humans is acute and almost inevitably fatal without proper prophylaxis or postexposure vaccination. Transmission occurs predominantly via saliva from the bite of an infected animal, but infective virus is secreted in all body fluids including saliva, blood, milk, and urine. While it appears canine species and bats are the most important vectors of zoonotic transmission to humans, cattle are likely the most significant among domesticated livestock (Odontsetseg et al. 2009, World Health Organization 2004). Human cases resulting from bovine exposure were difficult to ascertain from the literature; however, rare events have been documented (Delpietro et al. 2001, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2012i). Close contact with infected animals is therefore the greatest risk factor for infection. After any suspected exposure, vaccination must be administered within 24h to prevent disease, and ultimately death.

Infections involving rabies and other zoonotic pathogens resulting in neurological pathology are often the most severe cases of the disease with the poorest health outcomes. Treatment options are often limited for these infections once neurological presentation is observed, resulting in high fatality rates. Early diagnosis and treatment is critical to decreasing the morbidity and mortality associated with these infections.

Cardiovascular

Cardiovascular infections resulting from bovine zoonoses vary from mild to severe. Symptoms may include fever, malaise, shortness of breath, chest pain, and less commonly edema, cardiovascular shock, myocarditis, endocarditis, hemolysis, and thrombosis. Bacterial pathogens have included *B. anthracis, B. burgdorferi, Brucella* spp., *Campylobacter* spp., *C. burnetti, Leptospira* spp., *L. monocytogenes,* and *Streptoccocus* spp. Generally these infections have resulted in mild, treatable cases. Such infections may occur in agricultural workers with occupational exposure to cattle, as evident by a recent case report of *Brucella* endocarditis (Park et al. 2009). This report was unable to identify the source of infection, but the patient's past exposure strongly suggests a zoonotic transmission event.

Again, the most serious cardiovascular presentations, due to the lack of treatment options, are caused by viral or parasitic zoonoses. These pathogens are regionally specific but are important considerations in endemic areas. Included in this group are Crimean–Congo hemorrhagic fever (Africa, Middle East, Asia, most of Europe), Kyasanur Forest disease virus (Asia), and Ross River virus (Australia, New Zealand, and other countries of the South Pacific). These pathogens should be considered in the differential diagnosis of cardiovascular disease among agricultural workers and people living in endemic areas, particularly if there is a history of livestock or mosquito exposure.

Systemic infections

Some pathogens do not have symptoms related to one human organ system and are therefore considered systemic infections. Bacterial pathogens such as *Leptospira* spp., *Brucella* spp., and very rarely *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* (or *Trueperella pyogenes*) and *Actinobacillus lignieresii* cause a systemic clinical presentation. Viral pathogens such as Rift Valley Fever virus and Crimean–Congo hemorrhagic fever are also generally considered to be systemic zoonotic infections.

Leptospirosis is an acute disease that affects humans and a wide range of animals. Clinical presentation varies, because the bacteria are able to invade any organ system, and asymptomatic infections are known to occur. The bacteria are spread through urine and other bodily fluids of infected animals. Human infections from *Leptospira* spp. generally occur through direct contact with broken skin during exposures involving swimming, walking with bare feet, or agricultural work with infected animals. Ingestion of contaminated water or unpasteurized milk may also serve as routes of infection. Cattle have been shown to be among one of the most commonly associated animals with zoonotic transmission of leptospirosis (Cacciapuoti et al. 1987, Ashford et al. 2000, Kariv et al. 2001, Jansen et al. 2005, Storck et al. 2008).

Brucellosis, caused by *Brucella abortus* or *B. melitensis*, is also an important bacterial zoonosis that is usually associated with consumption of unpasteurized cheese and milk (International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007c). Brucella spp. can cause an influenza-like illness and sometimes pneumonia in humans as well as other serious complications, such as meningitis, septicemia, osteomyelitis of the vertebra, and endocarditis. While brucellosis has been controlled in many countries that have strong animal disease control programs, outbreaks still occur in many countries in eastern Europe as well as in Russia (International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2007c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2008a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2009a, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010b, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2010c, International Society for Infectious Diseases 2011e). Dairy workers, shepherds, veterinarians, abattoir workers, and animal husbandry personnel are among those most at risk for infection.

Viral hemorrhagic fevers (Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus and Rift Valley Fever virus) present systemic infections that include backache, nausea, vomiting, and a characteristic hemorrhagic fever sometimes seen in recurrent or biphasic courses. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus is transmitted to humans by infected ticks or livestock. Rift Valley fever virus is similarly acquired through handling of infected animals or exposure to mosquito vectors in connection with enzootic or epizootic infections of livestock (Pourrut et al. 2010). Occupational exposure involving slaughter and parturition of infected animals along with exposure to environments that contain potentially affected vectors of disease are important risk factors for infection with hemorrhagic fevers. For example, the first cases of Crimean–Congo hemorrhagic fever identified were a fatal infection in a child bitten by a tick and two nonfatal infections in farmers who handled livestock: One slaughtered a sheep and the other had dehorned and castrated calves (Gear et al. 1982, Swanepoel 1983, Swanepoel et al. 1985). The ease of transmission and use of animal reservoirs and vectors complicate prevention strategies. Animal surveillance should be considered a crucial component in public health strategies to prevent humans from being sentinel hosts during Rift Valley fever outbreaks. Prompt treatment of infections along with public health control measures that include vector control and animal vaccination are critical in containing outbreaks resulting from these systemic infections.

Discussion

The majority of pathogens that cause disease in humans are zoonotic (Cleaveland et al. 2001, Taylor et al. 2001, Woolhouse et al. 2005a). While much is known regarding these pathogens and the resulting disease, the current and future role zoonotic disease transmission plays in public health has yet to be fully explored. Due to the similarity of clinical presentation with nonzoonotic infections, the potential for undiagnosed cases of these pathogens exists. Because physicians, nurses, veterinarians, and other members of public and veterinary health are the front line of defense against infectious disease, we have summarized the known bovine zoonotic agents by clinical presentation in humans. With this framework, we hope to highlight the potential of these pathogens to infect humans as well as to create a useful summary for pubic health professionals, clinicians treating humans and animals, and researchers that highlight the epidemiology of bovine zoonotic pathogens.

The projected worldwide increase in cattle populations is indicative of their substantial role in human life. Interestingly, despite advanced public health systems in the developed world, each of the seven geographic regions studied revealed virtually an equal presence of cattle zoonotic pathogens (Fig. 1C). This is likely a result of a combination of factors. First, the universal presence of cattle around the world coupled with their movement between livestock farms, markets, and abattoirs presents the opportunity for pathogens to adapt to new environments and expand geographically. Transportation of cattle, sometimes millions per year, has not only been shown to contribute to the spread of infectious diseases (Woolhouse et al. 2005b), but has also specifically been implicated in the recent increase in bovine tuberculosis in Great Britain (Gilbert et al. 2005). Second, a significant percentage (44%) of bovine zoonotic pathogens have the ability to transmit from humanto-human (Fig. 1A, Table 3). This number must be interpreted cautiously given that most zoonoses are not highly transmissible within human populations and do not result in major epidemics.

Biological flexibility in host range can be seen in many of the most prevalent infectious diseases. Prominent examples include *E. coli, Salmonella*, influenza, and rabies virus. Among bovine zoonotic pathogens, bacterial pathogens represented the largest taxonomic group both overall (42%) and among emerging bovine zoonotic pathogens (50%) (Fig. 2, Table 2). This is not surprising given the larger number of zoonotic bacterial species relative to other taxonomic groups (Woolhouse 2005a). Interestingly however, 68% of the bacterial species identified were rod-shaped bacilli. On the basis of our current understanding, inferring the reasons behind potential selection factors of bacterial morphology cannot be stated confidently but warrant further discussion (Mitchell 2002, Young 2007).

Parasitic and viral pathogens also made up a significant proportion of bovine zoonotic pathogens, 29% and 22%, respectively. Although parasites are a significant proportion of zoonotic infections both generally and in terms of bovine zoonoses, they have been shown to be relatively unlikely emerging pathogens (Cleaveland et al. 2001). The authors propose these results may be related to the relative complexity of their life cycles and longer generation times. Viral pathogens, however, were found to be a clear risk factor for disease emergence in humans and animals. In our study of viral bovine zoonoses, 80% contained an RNA genome and represented all of the emerging viral zoonoses (Table 2). This may be best explained by the high mutation rate of RNA viruses, aiding in rapid adaptation to new environments (Horsburgh 1998, Woolhouse 2005c). Another explanation may be the subclinical nature of many of these viruses as well the difficulty in treating them. Few effective antiviral therapies are widely available and used, thus allowing many of these viruses to easily spread to human and animal populations unrestrained.

The propensity of some pathogens to evolve with their rapidly changing environment creates troubling circumstances for future treatment and management strategies of emerging pathogens. The potential high morbidity and mortality rates associated with many cattle zoonotic diseases, such as Rift Valley fever, Crimean–Congo hemorrhagic fever, Q fever, and anthrax, coupled with the negative public impact that can result from an outbreak of these diseases, has garnered extra interest from both governmental and public health agencies. Furthermore, to meet the growing demand for beef and dairy products globally, cattle stocks will likely continue to increase, providing increased opportunities for zoonotic transmission. Both the CDC and NIAID have listed approximately half of all bovine zoonotic pathogens as both biological weapons (52%) and as potential emerging pathogens (50%). Their potential as biological weapons was most recently highlighted in the anthrax attacks that occurred in the United States shortly after September 11, 2001 (Warrick 2010, Federal Bureau of Investigation 2011). The number of cattle is projected to continue to increase worldwide (United States Department of Agriculture: Interagency Agricultural Projections Committee 2011), thus the role and impact cattle play on the future of public health will likely remain compelling.

The recognition of zoonotic pathogens as a vital component of a global health system is essential in the study of infectious diseases. Infectious diseases are not bound by the geographical or international boundaries recognized by humans; they are truly a global issue. Arguably, globalization is aiding these organisms in their quest to find new hosts, both traditional and exotic, and in the diversification of their genetic repertoire due to new selective pressures. This has become increasingly evident in the spread of microbial resistance. It is crucial that environmental, veterinary, and human health sectors work in close collaboration to better our understanding of zoonotic disease ecology and prevention. With the rising rate of contact between humans and cattle, as well as their global movement, establishing a strong epidemiological framework with which to study and monitor these pathogens on a global scale will be essential.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Fiona Maunsell, University of Florida, College of Veterinary Medicine, for her expertise and constructive comments in developing this manuscript. We would also like to thank the Department of Defense for financial support during the research and writing of this publication.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Abalos P, Retamal P. Tuberculosis: A re-emerging zoonosis? Rev Sci Tech 2004; 23:583–594.
- Abe T, Yamaki K, Hayakawa T, Fukuda H, et al. A seroepidemiological study of the risks of Q fever infection in Japanese veterinarians. Eur J Epidemiol 2001; 17:1029–1032.v
- Altekruse SF, Stern NJ, Fields PI, Swerdlow DL. *Campylobacter jejuni*—an emerging foodborne pathogen. Emerg Infect Dis 1999; 5:28–35.
- American Veterinary Medical Association. One Health: A New Professional Imperative. 2008. Available at https://www.avma .org/KB/Resources/Reports/Pages/One-Health.aspx/. Accessed April 28, 2013.
- Amor A, Enríquez A. Corcuera MT, Toro C, Herrero D, Baquero M. Is infection by Dermatophilus congolensis underdiagnosed? J Clin Microbiol 2011; 49:449–451.

- Armstrong GL, Conn L A, Pinner R W. Trends in infectious disease mortality in the United States during the 20th century. JAMA 1999; 281:61–66.
- Arricau-Bouvery N, Rodolakis A. Is Q fever an emerging or reemerging zoonosis? Vet Res 2005; 36:327–349.
- Ashford DA, Kaiser RM, Spiegel RA, Perkins BA, et al. Asymptomatic infection and risk factors for leptospirosis in Nicaragua. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2000; 63:249–254.
- Baxby D, Bennett M, Getty B. Human cowpox 1969–1993: A review based on 54 cases. Br J Dermatol 1994; 131:598–607.
- Bayraktar B, Bulut E, Bariş AB, Toksoy B, et al. Species distribution of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex in clinical isolates from 2007 to 2010 in Turkey: A prospective study. J Clin Microbiol 2011a; 49:3837–3841.
- Bayraktar B, Togay A, Gencer H, Kockaya T, et al. Mycobacterium caprae causing lymphadenitis in a child. Pediatr. Infect Dis J 2011b; 30:1012–1013.
- Belay ED, Schonberger LB. The public health impact of prion diseases. Annu Rev Public Health 2005; 26:191–212.
- Bemis DA, Craig LE, Dunn JR. Salmonella transmission through splash exposure during a bovine necropsy. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2007; 4:387–390.
- Bhanuprakash V, Venkatesan G, Balamurugan V, Hosamani M, et al. Zoonotic infections of buffalopox in India. Zoonoses Public Health 2010; 57:149–155.
- Board on International Health. America's vital interest in global health: Protecting our people, enhancing our economy, and advancing our international interests. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 1997.
- Bosnjak E, Hvass a M S W, Villumsen S, Nielsen H. Emerging evidence for Q fever in humans in Denmark: Role of contact with dairy cattle. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010; 16:1285–1288.
- Bradley R, Liberski P P. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE): The end of the beginning or the beginning of the end? Folia Neuropathol 2004; 42(Suppl A):55–68.
- Cacciapuoti B, Vellucci A, Ciceroni L, Pinto A, et al. Prevalence of leptospirosis in man. Pilot survey. Eur J Epidemiol 1987; 3:137–142.
- Cain DB, McCann VL. An unusual case of cutaneous listeriosis. J Clin Microbiol 1986; 23:976–977.
- Cavirani S. Cattle industry and zoonotic risk. Vet Res Commun 2008; 32(Suppl 1):S19–S24.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Human Ingestion of Bacillus Anthracis-Contaminated Meat—Minnesota, August 2000. JAMA 2000; 49:813–816.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Human anthrax associated with an epizootic among livestock—North Dakota, 2000. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2001; 50:677–680.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Multistate outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections associated with eating ground beef—United States, June–July 2002. Morb Mortal WklyRep 2002; 51:637–639.
- Centers for Disease Control. Rift Valley fever outbreak-Kenya, November 2006–January 2007. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2007; 56:73–76.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Newport infections associated with consumption of unpasteurized Mexicanstyle aged cheese—Illinois, March 2006–April 2007. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2008; 57:432–435.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Gastrointestinal Anthrax after an Animal-Hide Drumming Event—New Hampshire and Massachusetts, 2009. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2010; 59:872–877.

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Bioterrorism Agents/Diseases: By Category. 2011a; (March 8, 2011). Available at www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/agentlist-category.asp/. Accessed April 28, 2013.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases. 2011b; 2011(July 17). Available at www.cdc.gov/ncezid/. Accessed October 31, 2013.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC Estimates of Foodborne Illness in the United States. 2012. Available at www.cdc.gov/foodborneburden/. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- Cinquetti G, Banal F, Dupuy AL, Girault PY, et al. Three related cases of cutaneous anthrax in France: Clinical and laboratory aspects. Medicine (Baltimore) 2009; 88:371–375.
- Cleaveland S, Laurenson MK, Taylor LH. Diseases of humans and their domestic mammals: Pathogen characteristics, host range and the risk of emergence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2001; 356:991–999.
- Coleman PG. Zoonotic diseases and their impact on the poor. Investing in Animal Health Research to Alleviate Poverty. Nairobi: International Livestock Research Institute 2002; 1–29.
- Collins JD. Tuberculosis in cattle: Strategic planning for the future. Vet Microbiol 2006; 112:369–381.
- Cvetnic Z, Katalinic-Jankovic V, Sostaric B, Spicic S, et al. Mycobacterium caprae in cattle and humans in Croatia. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2007; 11:652–658.
- D'Amore M, Lisi S, Sisto M, Cucci L, Dow CT. Molecular identification of Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis in an Italian patient with Hashimoto's thyroiditis and Melkersson-Rosenthal syndrome. J Med Microbiol 2010; 59: 137–139.
- Davies PDO. Tuberculosis in humans and animals: Are we a threat to each other? J R Soc Med 2006; 99:539.
- de Kantor IN, Ambroggi M, Poggi S, Morcillo N, et al. Human *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in ten Latin American countries. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2008; 88:358–365.
- De la Rua-Domenech R. Human *Mycobacterium bovis* infection in the United Kingdom: Incidence, risks, control measures and review of the zoonotic aspects of bovine tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2006; 86:77–109.
- de Souza Trindade G, Drumond BP, Guedes MIMC, Leite JA, et al. Zoonotic vaccinia virus infection in Brazil: Clinical description and implications for health professionals. J Clin Microbiol 2007; 45:1370–1372.
- Delpietro HA, Larghi OP, Russo RG. Virus isolation from saliva and salivary glands of cattle naturally infected with paralytic rabies. Prev Vet Med 2001; 48:223–228.
- Devriese LA, Hommez J. Epidemiology of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in dairy herds. Res Vet Sci 1975; 19:23–27.
- Doganay M, Aygen B. Diagnosis: Cutaneous anthrax. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 25:725.
- Essbauer S, Pfeffer M, Meyer H. Zoonotic poxviruses. Vet Microbiol 2010; 140:229–236.
- Federal Bureau of Investigation. Amerithrax or Anthrax Investigation. 2011. Available at www.fbi.gov/about-us/history/famous-cases/anthrax-amerithrax/amerithrax-investigation/. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- Fields BN, Knipe DM, Howley PM, Griffin DE. *Fields' Virology*, 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2001.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT. 2010 Data. Available at http://faostat.fao.org/ site/339/default.aspx/ and http://faostat3.fao.org/home/ index.html/. Accessed April 26, 2013.

- Fritsche A, Engel R, Buhl D, Zellweger JP. *Mycobacterium bovis* tuberculosis: From animal to man and back. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2004; 8:903–904.
- Gear JH, Thomson PD, Hopp M, Andronikou S, et al. Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in South Africa. Report of a fatal case in the Transvaal. S Afr Med J 1982; 62:576–580.
- Gilbert M, Mitchell A, Bourn D, Mawdsley J, et al. Cattle movements and bovine tuberculosis in Great Britain. Nature 2005; 435:491–496.
- Gilpin BJ, Scholes P, Robson B, Savill MG. The transmission of thermotolerant *Campylobacter* spp. to people living or working on dairy farms in New Zealand. Zoonoses Public Health 2008; 55:352–360.
- Golding GR, Bryden L, Levett PN, McDonald RR, et al. Livestock-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* sequence type 398 in humans, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 2010; 16:587–594.
- Greenwood MH, Hooper WL. Excretion of *Yersinia* spp. associated with consumption of pasteurized milk. Epidemiol Infect 1990; 104:345–350.
- Grinberg A, Hittman A, Leyland M, Rogers L, et al. Epidemiological and molecular evidence of a monophyletic infection with Staphylococcus aureus causing a purulent dermatitis in a dairy farmer and multiple cases of mastitis in his cows. Epidemiol Infect 2004; 132:507–513.
- Hallin M, De Mendonça R, Denis O, Lefort A, et al. Diversity of accessory genome of human and livestock-associated ST398 methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. Infect Genet Evol 2011; 11:290–299.
- Hassanein K, El-Azazy O, Yousef H. Detection of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus antibodies in humans and imported livestock in Saudi Arabia. Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg. Elsevier 1997; 91:536–537.
- Hendriksen SW, Orsel Karin, Wagenaar JA. Animal-to-human transmission of *Salmonella* Typhimurium DT104A variant. Emerg Infect Dis 2004; 10:2225–2227.
- Heymann DL, Association American Public Health. *Control of Communicable Diseases Manual*, 19th ed. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association, 2008.
- Hoar BR, Atwill ER, Elmi C. An examination of risk factors associated with beef cattle shedding pathogens of potential zoonotic concern. Epidemiol Infect 2001; 127:147–155.
- Holmes MA, Zadoks RN. Methicillin resistant *S. aureus* in human and bovine mastitis. J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia 2011; 16:373–382.
- Horsburgh CR. *Pathology of Emerging Infections* 2. Washington, DC: ASM Press, 1998.
- Huang H, Flynn NM, King JH, Monchaud C, et al. Comparisons of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and hospital-associated MSRA infections in Sacramento, California. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44:2423–2427.
- Hunter PR, Hughes S, Woodhouse S, Syed Q, et al. Sporadic cryptosporidiosis case-control study with genotyping. Emerg Infect Dis 2004; 10:1241–1249.
- Hunter PR, Thompson RCA. The zoonotic transmission of *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium*. Int J. Parasitol 2005; 35:1181–1190.
- Indra A, Lassnig H, Baliko N, Much P, et al. Clostridium difficile: A new zoonotic agent? Wien Klin Wochenschr 2009; 121:91–95.
- Ingram PR, Bremner P, Inglis TJ, Murray RJ, et al. Zoonotic tuberculosis: On the decline. Commun Dis Intell 2010; 34: 339–341.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli, unpasteurized milk—UK (England) (02). ProMED-mail 1998:19981031 .2126.

- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Salmonellosis, raw milk—USA (Ohio). ProMED-mail 2003; September 2002: 20030105.0033.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157: H7, unpasteurized milk—Canada (ONT). ProMED-mail 2005a: 20050411.1047.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O26, unpasteurized cheese—UK ex France: recall. ProMED-mail 2005b:20051222.3662.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Shigellosis, unpasteurized milk curds, 2004—Lithuania (Vilnius). ProMED-mail 2005c:20051203.3494.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157, unpasteurized milk—USA (CA)(03). ProMED-mail 2006a: 20060929.2791.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157, unpasteurized milk—USA (OR,WA)(04). ProMED-mail 2006b: 20060121.0199.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157, unpasteurized milk—USA (WA): recall. ProMED-mail 2006c: 20060929.2790.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, bovine—Guinea-Bissau (Oio). ProMED-mail 2007a:20070412 .1223.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis, human, animals—Bulgaria (Haskovo) (04). ProMED-mail 2007b: 20070927.3202.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis, unpasteurized cheese—Mexico (03): background. ProMED-mail 2007c:20090818.2933.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (GA). ProMED-mail 2007d: 20070803.2520.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (KS). ProMED-mail 2007e: 20071205.3922.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (UT). ProMED-mail 2007f: 20070322.1004.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157, unpasteurized milk, 2005—USA (WA). ProMED-mail 2007g: 20070302.0741.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Listeriosis, unpasteurized cheese—USA (IN). ProMED-mail 2007h:20070425 .1351.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Salmonellosis, free unpasteurized milk—USA (PA). ProMED-mail 2007i:20070722 .2354.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Salmonellosis, serotype Typhimurium, raw milk—USA (02): (PA), CDC report. ProMED-mail 2007j;20071108.3629.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Vaccinia, bovine, human—Brazil (Mato Grosso). ProMED-mail 2007k: 20071120.3755.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Yersiniosis, listeriosis—Canada (ON): unpasteurized milk/cheese. ProMEDmail 2007l:20070319.0968.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis, livestock, human—Azerbaijan, Russia. ProMED-mail 2008a:20080518.1658.
- 2008bInternational Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (CA). ProMED-mail 2008b:20080817.2557.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis, livestock, human—Russia (02): (VR). ProMED-mail 2009a:20091012.3526.

- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (02): (WI). ProMED-mail 2009b:20090917.3264.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (CO). ProMED-mail 2009c: 20090415.1430.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, livestock—Bhutan: (ZH). ProMED-mail 2010a:20100907.3223.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis, human, livestock—Russia: (SR) RFI. ProMED-mail 2010b:20101206.4363.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis, livestock, human—Kazakhstan: (AM). ProMED-mail 2010c: 20100707.2263.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, cryptosporidiosis, raw milk—USA: (MN). ProMED-mail 2010d:20101030.3939.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (02): (UT). ProMED-mail 2010e: 20100519.1654.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA: (MI ex IN). ProMED-mail 2010f:20100329.0981.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157—USA (04): (MN) unpasteurized milk. ProMED-mail 2010g:2010 0607.1900.
- 2010hInternational Society for Infectious Diseases. Salmonellosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (02): (UT) serotype Newport. ProMED-mail 2010h:20100709.2287.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Salmonellosis, unpasteurized milk—USA: (UT). ProMED-mail 2010i:20100517.1616.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, bovine—Guinea Bissau (OI, BM). ProMED-mail 2011a: 20110616.1833.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, bovine—Romania: (TL) susp. ProMED-mail 2011b:20111010.3037.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, bovine—Uganda (03): (Western) conf. ProMED-mail 2011c: 20111111.3343.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, livestock—Georgia (04): (KH). ProMED-mail 2011d:20110904 .2698.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Brucellosis—Russia: (BK) bovine source. ProMED-mail 2011e:20110505.1386.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis, unpasteurized milk—USA (02): (NC, SC). ProMED-mail 2011f:20110718.2171.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Papular stomatitis, bovine, human—UK. ProMED-mail 2011g; 169:20110827.2613.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. ProMED-mail. 2011h. Available at www.promedmail.org/. Accessed October 31, 2013.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax—Lesotho: (BE) human, livestock. ProMED-mail 2012a:20120312.1068241.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, bovine—Togo: (KA). ProMED-mail 2012b:20120221.1048049.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis—USA (10): unpasteurized milk. ProMED-mail 2012c: 20120301.1057870.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Campylobacteriosis—USA (12): (CA) unpasteurized cream. ProMED-mail 2012d:20120324.1079609.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli EHEC—USA (08): (MO) O157, unpasteurized dairy susp. ProMED-mail 2012e;(08):20120414.1101362.

- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli EHEC—USA (10): (OR) O157, unpasteurized milk. ProMED-mail 2012f: 20120418.1105459.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli EHEC, 2011–USA: (CA), raw milk, environmental source. ProMED-mail 2012g:20120122.1017852.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. E. coli O157—Canada: (ON), ground beef, recall, susp. ProMED-mail 2012h: 20120207.1035742.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Rabies—South Africa: (LP) bovine, human exposure. ProMED-mail 2012i: 20120229.1056327.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. See Campylobacteriosis—USA (11): (KS) unpasteurized milk. ProMED-mail 2012j:20120319.1074483.
- International Society for Infectious Diseases. Anthrax, human, bovine Togo: (KA). ProMED mail. 2012k.
- Jansen A, Schoneberg I, Frank C, Alpers K, et al. Leptospirosis in Germany, 1962–2003. Emerg Infect Dis 2005; 11:1048–1054.
- Jayarao BM, Henning DR. Prevalence of foodborne pathogens in bulk tank milk. J Dairy Sci 2001; 84:2157–2162.
- Jayarao BM, Donaldson SC, Straley BA, Sawant AA, et al. A survey of foodborne pathogens in bulk tank milk and raw milk consumption among farm families in Pennsylvania. J Dairy Sci 2006; 89:2451–2458.
- Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, et al. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 2008; 451:990–993.
- Kariv R, Klempfner R, Barnea A, Sidi Y, et al. The changing epidemiology of leptospirosis in Israel. Emerg Infect Dis 2001; 7:990.
- Kim HJ, Jun WB, Hong Lee S, Ho Rho M. CT and MR findings of anthrax meningoencephalitis: Report of two cases and review of the literature. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2001; 22:1303.
- Kobbe R, Kramme S, Gocht A, Werner M, et al. Travelassociated *Coxiella burnetii* infections: Three cases of Q fever with different clinical manifestation. Travel Med Infect Dis 2007; 5:374–379.
- Koch R. Die Ätiologie der Milzbrand-Krankheit, begründet auf die Entwicklungsgeschichte des Bacillus Anthracis. Cohns Beiträge zur Biologie der Pflanzen 1876:277.
- Krauss H, Weber A, Appel M, Enders B, et al. Bacterial zoonoses. In: Zoonoses: Infectious Diseases Transmissible from Animals to Humans, 3rd ed. Washington DC: ASM Press, 2003:173–252.
- Layton MC, Hierholzer WJ, Patterson JE. The evolving epidemiology of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus at a university hospital. Infect. Control Hosp Epidemiol 1995;16:12–17.
- Lazarus R, Waghorn D, Nash C. Cutaneous *Salmonella* infection. Scand J Infect Dis 2007; 39:257–258.
- Leblebicoglu H, Turan D, Eroglu C, Esen S, et al. A cluster of anthrax cases including meningitis. Trop Doct 2006; 36:51–53.
- Lengerich EJJ, Addiss DGG, Marx JJJ, Ungar BLPL, et al. Increased exposure to cryptosporidia among dairy farmers in Wisconsin. J Infect Dis 1993; 167:1252–1255.
- Lester R, Beaton S, Carnie J, Barbis D, et al. A case of human anthrax in Victoria. Commun Dis Intell 1997; 21:47–48.
- Loftis AD, Priestley RA, Massung RF. Detection of *Coxiella burnetii* in commercially available raw milk from the United States. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2010; 7:1453–1456.
- Lynch M, O'Leary J, Murnaghan D, Cryan B. Actinomyces pyogenes septic arthritis in a diabetic farmer. J Infect 1998; 37:71–73.
- Mattison K, Shukla A, Cook A, Pollari F, et al. Human noroviruses in swine and cattle. Emerg Infect Dis 2007; 13:1184–1188.

- McLauchlin J, Low J C. Primary cutaneous listeriosis in adults: An occupational disease of veterinarians and farmers. Vet Rec 1994; 135:615–617.
- McMichael T, Knobler Stacey, Lederberg Joshua. *The Emergence* of Zoonotic Diseases: Understanding the Impact on Animal and Human Health. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2002.
- McQuiston JH, Childs JE. Q Fever in Humans and Animals in the United States. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2002; 2:179–191.
- Meemken D, Blaha T, Tegeler R, Tenhagen B A, et al. Livestock associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (LaMR-SA) isolated from lesions of pigs at necropsy in northwest Germany between 2004 and 2007. Zoonoses Public Health 2010; 57:e143–e148.
- Megid J, Appolinário CM, da Costa Carreira R. Vaccinia virus in humans and cattle in southwest region of Sao Paulo state, Brazil Am J Trop Med Hyg 2008; 79:646.
- Ming PX, Ti YLX, Bulmer GS. Outbreak of *Trichophyton verrucosum* in China transmitted from cows to humans. Mycopathologia 2006; 161:225–228.
- Miron D, Kenes J, Dagan R. Calves as a source of an outbreak of cryptosporidiosis among young children in an agricultural closed community. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1991; 10:438–4341.
- Mitchell JG. The energetics and scaling of search strategies in bacteria. Am Nat 2002; 160:727–740.
- Moda G, Daborn CJ, Grange JM, Cosivi O. The zoonotic importance of *Mycobacterium bovis*. Tuber Lung Dis 1996; 77:103–108.
- Moodley A, Latronico F, Guardabassi L. Experimental colonization of pigs with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA): Insights into the colonization and transmission of livestock-associated MRSA. Epidemiol Infect 2010; 139:1594– 1600.
- Moritz ED, Smith TC. Livestock-associated *Staphylococcus aureus* in childcare worker. Emerg Infect Dis 2011; 17:742–743.
- Mudaliar S, Bhore A, Pandit D. Detection of antibodies to Brucella abortus in animal handlers. Indian J Med Sci 2003; 57:181–186.
- National Center for Biotechnology Information. PubMed. 2011. Available at www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed. Accessed October 31, 2013.
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Diseases: Introduction and Goals. 2010; 2011(March 8). Available at www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/ emerging/pages/introduction.aspx/. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. List of NIAID Emerging and Re-emerging Diseases. 2011; (July 17). Available at www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/emerging/pages/ introduction.aspx/. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- Nemati M, Hermans K, Lipinska U, Denis O, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of old and recent Staphylococcus aureus isolates from poultry: First detection of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant strain ST398. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008; 52:3817–3819.
- Nitsche A, Pauli G. Sporadic human cases of cowpox in Germany. Euro Surveill 2007; 12:E070419.3.
- Nowgesic E, Fyfe M, Hockin J, King A, et al. Outbreak of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* in British Columbia—November 1998. Can Commun Dis Rep 1999; 25:97–100.
- Ocepek M, Pate M, Zolnir-Dove M, Poljak M. Transmission of Mycobacterium tuberculosis from human to cattle. J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43:3555–3557.
- Odontsetseg N, Uuganbayar D, Tserendorj Sh, Adiyasuren Z. Animal and human rabies in Mongolia Rev Sci Tech 2009; 28:995.

- O'Handley RM. Cryptosporidium parvum infection in cattle: Are current perceptions accurate? Trends Parasitol 2007; 23:477–480.
- O'Handley RM, Olson ME. Giardiasis and cryptosporidiosis in ruminants. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 2006; 22:623–643.
- Orda R, Wiznitzer T. Actinobacillus lignieresii human infection. J R Soc Med Royal Society of Medicine Press 1980; 73:295–297.
- Park SH, Choi YS, Choi YJCho SH, et al. Brucella endocarditis with splenic abscess: A report of the first case diagnosed in Korea. Yonsei Med J 2009; 50:142–146.
- Parry SM, Salmon RL, Willshaw GA, Cheasty T, et al. Haemorrhagic colitis in child after visit to farm visitor centre. Lancet 1995; 346:572.
- Pelkonen PM, Tarvainen K, Hynninen A, Kallio ERK, et al. Cowpox with severe generalized eruption, Finland. Emerg Infect Dis 2003; 9:1458–1461.
- Pourrut X, Nkoghé D, Souris M, Paupy C, et al. Rift Valley fever virus seroprevalence in human rural populations of Gabon. PLoS Neglected Trop Dis 2010; 4:e763.
- Prodinger WM, Eigentler A, Allerberger F, Schönbauer M, et al. Infection of red deer, cattle, and humans with *Mycobacterium bovis* subsp. caprae in western Austria J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40:2270–2272.
- Public Health Laboratory Service. Unpasteurised milk and *Streptococcus zooepidemicus*. Commun Dis Rep CDR Wkly 1994; 4:241–244.
- Reddy I, Ferguson DA Jr, Sarubbi F. Endocarditis due to Actinomyces pyogenes. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 25:1476–1477.
- Regan E J, Harrison G A J, Butler S, Mclauchlin J, et al. Primary cutaneous listeriosis in a veterinarian. Vet Rec 2005; 15:207.
- Renwick SA, Wilson JB, Clarke RC, Lior H, et al. Evidence of direct transmission of *Escherichia coli* 0157: H7 infection between calves and a human. J Infect Dis 1993; 168:792–793.
- Rodolakis A. Q Fever in dairy animals. Ann NY Acad Sci 2009; 1166:90–93.
- Schnurrenberger PR, Swango LJ, Bowman GM, Luttgen PJ. Bovine papular stomatitis incidence in veterinary students. Can J Comp Med 1980; 44:239.
- Schupp P, Pfeffer M, Meyer H, Burck G, et al. Cowpox virus in a 12year-old boy: Rapid identification by an orthopoxvirus-specific polymerase chain reaction. Br J Dermatol 2001; 145:146–150.
- Schwartz M. Cutaneous anthrax. J Travel Med 2002; 9:333.
- Seleem MN, Boyle SM, Sriranganathan N. Brucellosis: A reemerging zoonosis. Vet Microbiol 2010; 140:392–398.
- Shrikrishna D, de la Rua-Domenech R, Smith NH, Colloff A, et al. Human and canine pulmonary Mycobacterium bovis infection in the same household: Re-emergence of an old zoonotic threat? Thorax 2009; 64:89–91.
- Silver S, Vinh DC, Embil JM. The man who got too close to his cows. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2008; 60:419–420.
- Singh RK, Hosamani M, Balamurugan V, Bhanuprakash V, et al. Buffalopox: An emerging and re-emerging zoonosis. Anim Health Res Rev 2007; 8:105–114.
- Smith TC, Pearson N. The emergence of *Staphylococcus aureus* ST398. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2011; 11:327–339.
- Storck CH, Postic D, Lamaury I, Perez JM. Changes in epidemiology of leptospirosis in 2003–2004, a two El Niño Southern Oscillation period, Guadeloupe archipelago, French West Indies. Epidemiol Infect 2008; 136:1407–1415.
- Swanepoel R, Struthers JK, Shepherd AJ, McGillivray GM, et al. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in South Africa. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1983; 32:1407–1415.
- Swanepoel R, Sheperd AJ, Leman PA, Shepherd SP. Investigations after the initial recogoition of Crimean-Congo haemor-

rhagic fever in South Africa and the diagnosis of 2 further cases. S Afr Med J 1985; 68:638–641.

- Tacket CO, Narain JP, Sattin R, Lofgren JP, et al. A multistate outbreak of infections caused by Yersinia enterocolitica transmitted by pasteurized milk. JAMA 1984; 251:483–486.
- Tappe D, Schubert B, Frosch M, Brocker E-B. Group A streptococcal infection after bovine bone. Lancet Infect Dis 2004; 4:646.
- Tar SY, Bozdemir B, Gurel M S, Bilgin FU, et al. *Mycobacterium bovis caprae*: A rare agent of lupus vulgaris. Clin Exp Dermatol 2009; 34:532–533.
- Taylor LH, Latham SM, et al.. Risk factors for human disease emergence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2001; 356:983.
- Thompson RCA. Towards a better understanding of host specificity and the transmission of Giardia: The impact of molecular epidemiology. In: Olson BE, Olson ME, Wallis PM, eds. *Giardia: The Cosmopolitan Parasite*. Wallingford, UK: CAB International, 2002:55–69.
- Torgerson PR, Torgerson DJ. Public health and bovine tuberculosis: What's all the fuss about? Trends Microbiol 2010; 18:67–72.
- Torres-Vitela MR, Mendoza-Bernardo M, Castro-Rosas J, Gomez-Aldapa CA, et al. Incidence of Salmonella, Listeria monocytogenes, Escherichia coli O157:H7, and staphylococcal enterotoxin in two types of Mexican fresh cheeses. J Food Protect 2012; 75:79–84.
- Trindade GS, Guedes MIC, Drumond BP, Mota BEF, et al. Zoonotic vaccinia virus: Clinical and immunological characteristics in a naturally infected patient. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48:e37–e40.
- United States Department of Agriculture: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. Overview of U.S. Livestock, Poultry, and Aquaculture Production in 2010 and Statistics on Major Commodities. Washington, DC. 2011. Available at www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/animal_health_report/ 2010/Appendix_2.pdf Accessed October 31, 2013.
- United States Department of Agriculture: Interagency Agricultural Projections Committee. USDA Agricultural Projections to 2020. 2011:5. Available at www.usda.gov/oce/ ...projections/USDAAgriculturalProjections2021.pdf/. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- van Cleef BA, Monnet DL, Voss A, Krziwanek K, et al. Livestock-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in humans, Europe. Emerg Infect Dis 2011a; 17:502–505.
- van Cleef BAGLA, Graveland H, Haenen APJP, van de Giessen AWW, et al. Persistence of livestock-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in field workers after short-term occupational exposure to pigs and veal calves. J Clin Microbiol 2011b; 49:1030–1033.
- Van Kessel JS, Karns JS, Lombard JE, Kopral CA. Prevalence of Salmonella enterica, Listeria monocytogenes, and Escherichia coli virulence factors in bulk tank milk and in-line filters from U.S. dairies. J Food Protect 2011; 74:759–768.
- Vanderhaeghen W, Cerpentier T, Adriaensen C, Vicca J, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) ST398 associated with clinical and subclinical mastitis in Belgian cows. Vet Microbiol 2010; 144:166–171.
- Waguri A, Sakuraba M, Sawada Y, Abe K, et al. Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 infection presumably caused by contact with infected cows, Aomori Prefecture, Japan. Japan J Infect Dis 2007; 60:321–322.
- Warrick J. FBI investigation of 2001 anthrax attacks concluded; U.S. releases details. The Washington Post 2010. Available at www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/

article/2010/02/19/AR2010021902369.html/. Accessed April 26, 2013.

- Wassenberg MW, Bootsma MC, Troelstra A, Kluytmans JA, et al. Transmissibility of livestock-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (ST398) in Dutch hospitals. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17:316–319.
- Whitney EAS, Massung RF, Candee AJ, Ailes EC, et al. Seroepidemiologic and occupational risk survey for Coxiella burnetii antibodies among US veterinarians. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48:550–557.
- Wienecke R, Wolff H, Schaller M, Meyer H, et al. Cowpox virus infection in an 11 year-old girl. J Am Acad Dermatol 2000; 42(5 Pt 2):892–894.
- Woolhouse ME, Gowtage-Sequeria S. Host range and emerging and reemerging pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis 2005a; 11:1842– 1847.
- Woolhouse MEJ, Shaw DJ, Matthews L, Liu W-C, et al. Epidemiological implications of the contact network structure for cattle farms and the 20–80 rule. Biol Lett 2005b; 1:350–352.
- Woolhouse MEJ, Haydon DT, Antia R. Emerging pathogens: The epidemiology and evolution of species jumps. Trends Ecol Evol 2005c; 20:238–244.
- World Health Organization. Neglected zoonotic diseases. 2011a; 2011(July 17). Available at www.who.int/neglected_ diseases/zoonoses/en/. Accessed April 26, 2013.

- World Health Organization. Zoonoses Vet Public Health. 2011b; (July 17). Available at www.who.int/zoonoses/en/. Accessed October 31, 2013.
- World Health Organization. The Control of Neglected Zoonotic Diseases: A Route to Poverty Alleviation. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2006. Available at www.who.int/ zoonoses/Report_Sept06.pdf /. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- World Health Organization. WHO Expert Consultation on Rabies. WHO Techical Report Series 931, 2004. Available at www.who.int/rabies/ExpertConsultationOnRabies.pdf/. Accessed April 26, 2013.
- Young KD. Bacterial morphology: Why have different shapes? Curr Opin Microbiol 2007; 10:596–600.
- Zhang S, Zhang W, Tang Y-W. Molecular diagnosis of viral respiratory infections. Curr Infect Dis Rep 2011; 13:149–158.

Address correspondence to: Clinton J. McDaniel, MPH, CPH Emerging Pathogens Institute University of Florida 2055 Mowry Road Gainesville, FL 23611

E-mail: mcdancj@epi.ufl.edu