Escherichia coli O157:H7: Animal Reservoir and Sources of Human Infection

Witold A. Ferens and Carolyn J. Hovde

Abstract

This review surveys the literature on carriage and transmission of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) O157:H7 in the context of virulence factors and sampling/culture technique. EHEC of the O157:H7 serotype are worldwide zoonotic pathogens responsible for the majority of severe cases of human EHEC disease. EHEC O157:H7 strains are carried primarily by healthy cattle and other ruminants, but most of the bovine strains are not transmitted to people, and do not exhibit virulence factors associated with human disease. Prevalence of EHEC O157:H7 is probably underestimated. Carriage of EHEC O157:H7 by individual animals is typically shortlived, but pen and farm prevalence of specific isolates may extend for months or years and some carriers, designated as supershedders, may harbor high intestinal numbers of the pathogen for extended periods. The prevalence of EHEC O157:H7 in cattle peaks in the summer and is higher in postweaned calves and heifers than in younger and older animals. Virulent strains of EHEC O157:H7 are rarely harbored by pigs or chickens, but are found in turkeys. The bacteria rarely occur in wildlife with the exception of deer and are only sporadically carried by domestic animals and synanthropic rodents and birds. EHEC O157:H7 occur in amphibian, fish, and invertebrate carriers, and can colonize plant surfaces and tissues via attachment mechanisms different from those mediating intestinal attachment. Strains of EHEC O157:H7 exhibit high genetic variability but typically a small number of genetic types predominate in groups of cattle and a farm environment. Transmission to people occurs primarily via ingestion of inadequately processed contaminated food or water and less frequently through contact with manure, animals, or infected people.

Introduction

 $\mathbf{E}_{ ext{hemorrhagic }}$ colitis and are often associated with devastating or life-threatening systemic manifestations. The most severe sequelae, the hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS), results from Shiga toxins (Stxs) produced by the bacteria in the intestine and act systemically on sensitive cells in the kidneys, brain, and other organs (Gyles, 2007). Although most EHEC strains produce Stxs, EHEC O157:H7 are especially virulent and are responsible for the majority of HUS cases of bacterial etiology worldwide (Gyles, 2007; Serna and Boedeker, 2008). In this review, findings on transmission of EHEC O157:H7 within the animal reservoir and the farm environment are presented in context of virulence characteristics and the culture techniques used, to complement the reviews that typically rarely include the methodological details and do not take into account virulence of the isolates (Hussein and Bollinger, 2005; Muniesa et al., 2006). It is well documented that the E. coli strains of the O157:H7 serotype differ widely in their ability to cause human disease, colonize animal carriers, and survive in the environment (Dorn and Angrick, 1991; Roldgaard et al., 2004; Marouani-Gadri et al., 2009b; Vanaja et al., 2009), and it is likely that these characteristics are influenced by virulence factors. Also, the findings on EHEC prevalence should not be generalized without regard to methodology, since probability of detection of E. coli O157:H7 is highly dependent upon the specific approaches to sampling and culturing. To provide a complete view of EHEC circulation, the review includes data on carriage of EC O157:H7 by animals whose role in transmission of the pathogen remains undetermined. Some related topics were recently reviewed elsewhere and are not included here: decontamination and sterilization of carcasses (LeJeune and Wetzel, 2007); decontamination of produce and suppression of the pathogen by food additives (Erickson and Doyle, 2007); the impact of diet on EHEC O157 carriage by cattle (Callaway et al., 2009); and preslaughter measures aiming to reduce the pathogen load in cattle via herd management, vaccination, probiotics, and bacteriophages (LeJeune and Wetzel, 2007).

EHEC and Human Disease

HUS and other devastating manifestations of EHEC infection are caused by Stxs, extremely potent cytotoxins that enter host cells expressing toxin receptors and block protein synthesis by irreversibly damaging ribosomal RNA (Endo et al., 1988). EHEC are a subgroup of Stx-producing *E. coli* (STEC) that together comprise hundreds of O:H serotypes and are commonly carried by healthy wild and domesticated ruminant animals (Beutin et al., 1993; Cerqueira et al., 1999; Kaddu-Mulindw et al., 2001). Ruminants are not sensitive to Stxs due to an absence of vascular Stx receptors (Pruimboom-Brees et al., 2000), and the widespread carriage of stx genes by E. coli colonizing ruminant animals has not been satisfactorily explained; hypotheses include a modulation of immune respone by Stxs (Hoffman et al., 2006) and antiviral activity of STEC (Ferens et al., 2006). Non-EHEC STEC can be pathogenic to humans with the disease severity highly dependent on serotype and a combination of virulence factors (reviewed in Gyles, 2007; Hussein, 2007), but the Stx genes are not necessarily associated with morbidity, and STEC may be carried asymptomatically by humans (Koch et al., 2001; Stephan and Schumacher, 2001; Friedrich et al., 2003; Jenkins et al., 2003). Non-O157 STEC were found in 5.6% (90/1602) of healthy workers at a slaughter company in Korea (Hong et al., 2009) and O157 STEC in 1.1% (4/350) of farm workers in Italy (Silvestro et al., 2004).

While the attention devoted to EHEC O157:H7 is justified by the pathogenicity, low infectious dose, and ability of the bacteria to survive in extra-intestinal environments, a number of non-O157:H7 EHEC cause severe human disease and are often implicated in HUS, and their animal reservoirs and modes of transmission are not well understood (Karch *et al.*, 2005). Almost half (47%) of 424 HUS isolates collected in Germany from 1996 to 2003 were of a canonical O157:H7 serotype, 17% were sorbitol-fermenting O157:NM, and the rest were O26:H11/NM, O145:H25/H28/NM, O111:H8/NM, O103:H2, and others (Karch *et al.*, 2005). Non-O157:H7 EHEC lack the biochemical characteristics differentiating them from nonpathogenic *E. coli*, and thus present a special detection challenge and are perhaps insufficiently investigated.

Identification and Detection of EHEC 0157:H7

Almost all EHEC O157 display delayed (negative) fermentation of D-sorbitol with >99% of the sorbitol-negative H7 strains of the O157 serotype (al-Saigh et al., 2004) β glucuronidase deficient and resistant to several wide-spectrum antibiotics and antimicrobial agents (Ratnam et al., 1988). The bacteria are commonly identified by plating on sorbitol MacConkey agar supplemented with cefixime and potassium tellurite (CT-SMAC) (Chapman et al., 1991; Zadik et al., 1993) and confirmed serologically and biochemically as pale colonies positive for O157 and H7. CT-SMAC may be supplemented with 4-methylumbelliferyl-beta-D-glucuronide that is converted by most non-O157:H7 E. coli to UV-fluorescing 4methylumbelliferone (Fujisawa et al., 2000), or with lightvisible 8-hydroxyquinoline-beta-D-glucuronide (Reinders et al., 2000). On this medium, EHEC O157:H7 appear pale and nonfluorescent under UV light. Selective plating will occasionally generate false-positives and false-negatives because rare O157:H7 isolates may be sorbitol-fermenting and glucuronidase positive (Sanchez et al., 2009b). Moreover, non-O157 E. coli may be unable to ferment sorbitol, whereas sorbitolnegative isolates obtained by selective plating may be able to process the sugar. Among 139 sorbitol-negative isolates of 38 E. coli serogroups from food and cattle feces, only 14.6% were O157; however, 39.2% of 139 fermented sorbitol in broth, and exclusion of these increased the percentage of O157 serotype to over 24% (Manna et al., 2009). Serotyping may generate false-negatives since H7 and O157 antigens may not be expressed; out of 100 meat isolates, 42 were H7-negative by latex agglutination but H7 positive by polymerase chain reaction (Narang et al., 2009). An O157-negative rough phenotype can be identified by phage testing (Rozand and Feng, 2009; Rump et al., 2009). In some parts of the world, especially in Europe, sorbitol-fermenting nonmotile isolates of O157:H- emerged, characterized by a higher incidence of progression to HUS than canonical O157:H7 (Buvens et al., 2009; Orth et al., 2009).

Direct plating on CT-SMAC can enumerate viable EHEC O157 at densities >100 colony forming units (CFU)/g sample but may fail to detect highly stressed bacteria unable to survive in a selective medium (Brashears et al., 2001). The detection limit can be lowered by enrichment, that is, incubation in broth before plating and/or concentration by immunomagnetic separation (IMS) with anti-O157 beads (Chapman et al., 1994), but recovery is highly dependent on technique and still likely to underestimate a true prevalence of E. coli O157:H7 (Vidovic et al., 2007). The probability of detection can be greatly increased by nonselective enrichment in broth (e.g., buffered peptone water, or McConkey broth) without antimicrobials other than novobiocin as fully viable bacteria of some strains may not grow in selective media; however, a disadvantage of nonselective medium is a possibility of competitive exclusion of O157:H7 strains by other strains of E. coli, better able to grow in nonrestrictive conditions. Thus, fresh fecal samples from 43 cattle farms yielded 89 and 127 isolates with selective and nonselective enrichment, respectively, and the latter yielded more isolates associated with severe disease, although a few strains were isolated with selective enrichment only (Foster et al., 2003). Low prevalence or EHEC O157 may thus reflect scarcity of the bacteria, but can also result from subjecting samples to exacting storage and culture conditions. For example, a year-long survey of two feedlots in Alberta yielded surprisingly few (namely, 2) isolates of EHEC O157:H7 by direct plating swabs transported in a chilled selective medium onto CT-SMAC (Van Donkersgoed et al., 2005). A more recent prevalence survey of 21 Alberta feedlots employed nonselective overnight enrichment culture at 42°C followed by IMS, and revealed the presence of E. coli O157 in up to 15% of cattle and 57% of pens (Van Donkersgoed et al., 2009). Since the results of studies on EHEC O157:H7 prevalence are critically dependent on processing of samples and identification procedures, the methodological details are specified in this review where relevant.

EHEC isolates are commonly matched by patterns generated by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) of genomic DNA digests. The resulting multitude of genetic patterns may be misleading, as shown by the results of oral challenge of cattle with a single strain of the EHEC O157 that yielded 12 different PFGE profiles resulting from five chromosomal deletions (Yoshii *et al.*, 2009). In general, genetic analysis of EHEC O157:H7 relatedness is hampered by a high degree of variation that may reflect widespread genetic drift of unclear practical significance. For example, highly related lineages

and subpopulations of the pathogen exhibit varied expression of genes of the locus of enterocyte effacement due to genomic instability of a prophage-dense region adjacent to the *pch* sequences (Yang *et al.*, 2009). Also, nonparental PFGE patterns of <89% similarity to cognate strain were observed among randomly selected progeny of a single colony of EC O157:NM (Bielaszewska *et al.*, 2006).

Our current understanding of the ecology of *E. coli* O157:H7 (O157) comes from numerous studies that span several decades and used different sample/culture technique combinations with different sensitivities and criteria to distinguish the bacteria. To fully convey the information, we differentiate specific categories of *E. coli*, defined by serotypic and metabolic characteristics, and virulence factors (Table 1). The term "clinical isolate" when used in reference to the bacteria cultured from animal or environmental samples is used to denote a genetic identity (usually determined by PFGE patterns) between such isolate and the bacteria cultured from a human patient. Included are some relevant surveys for STEC, and unless otherwise indicated, studies were within the United States.

Animal Reservoir of EHEC

EHEC 0157:H7 prevalence paradox

Results of sampling for the presence of EHEC O157:H7 are paradoxical: the bacteria occur worldwide, but in many prevalence studies are found infrequently. The reasons for this discrepancy are complex, and may reflect either scarcity of the bacteria in excreta and environment resulting from the sporadic nature of EHEC O157 carriage and low numbers of the bacteria residing in colonized animals, or insufficiently sensitive sampling and culturing approaches. For example, nonenrichment testing of 425 calves in Argentina yielded only two isolates of EC O157:H7 (Chinen et al., 2003), whereas another study of preslaughter cattle using enrichment found EHEC O157:H7 in 4.1% of fecal samples, a result congruent with a high incidence of HUS in the country (Masana et al., 2010). In Norway, only <0.1% of cattle, sheep, and pigs from >800 farms carried EC O157:H7 detected by selective enrichment (Johnsen et al., 2001), but 4.6% (23/504) of cattle imported to the country tested positive with nonselective enrichment (Vold et al., 2001). Farm prevalence of EHEC O157 was 18% (88/481) in Scotland in a study employing IMS and a rigorous sampling pattern) (Chase-Topping et al., 2007), and the highest prevalence was noted in Japan, where up to a third of animals were positive for the pathogen on 3/4 farms; in this study, large fecal samples (10 g) were subjected to 18 h nonselective enrichment culture at 42°C (Ezawa et al., 2004a). However, some studies yielded very low prevalence data despite using enrichment and IMS. A two-country study found EC O157 in 4/50 farms in Ohio (5/750 animals), but in 0/50 farms (n = 680) in Norway (LeJeune et al., 2006a); in Greece, only one goat isolate of EC O157:H7 was found at 25 goat, sheep, and cattle farms (Dontorou et al., 2004); in Australia, 1/505 dairy cows from >200 farms harbored two EC O157 isolates, but the samples were analyzed after prolonged refrigeration (Hallaran and Sumner, 2001); in Taiwan, EC O157:H7 were found in 4/3062 cattle in 2/78 herds (Lin et al., 2001); in France, a representative survey of abattoir cattle did not find STEC O157, although 18.1% fecal samples were stx^+ by polymerase chain reaction, and STEC were found in 67/154; (Rogerie *et al.*, 2001). A nationwide survey in Sweden found EHEC O157 in 1.2% (37/3071) cattle from >2000 farms (Albihn et al., 2003). Among Scandinavian countries, an exceptionally high STEC O157 prevalence was noted using nonselective enrichment and IMS in Denmark, in 10/60 farms, and 21% (88/412) of tested animals (Nielsen et al., 2002). Low prevalence results usually reflect a reduced probability of detecting EHEC O157 due to one or more aspects of methodology, including single sampling visits, small numbers of tested animals/farm, absence of comprehensive farm surveys, and selective or no enrichment applied to stored samples. On the other hand, cattle farms in the negative countries were typically much smaller operations compared to positive countries. Correlation between herd/farm size and EHEC prevalence was not studied specifically, but surveys of large cattle operations usually detect the organism. Thus, a 1-visit, selective enrichment culture fecal sampling of 15 animals/pen in 73 feedlots in the United States (>7500 head) found EHEC O157 in 10.2% (n = 10,622) of animals in 70/73 lots, 52% of pens, and up to 14/15 animals sampled (Sargeant et al., 2003); sampling of other large feedlots found average prevalence of 1%–2% (Berg et al., 2004) detecting the organism at all visits.

Prevalence studies of EC O157:H7 are associated with two major caveats: first, the bacteria may be harbored extraintestinally with little correlation to fecal shedding (Boqvist *et al.*, 2009), and second, intestinal carriage is usually intermittent or short-term (Shere *et al.*, 1998). The bacteria were much more prevalent among all age groups on the ears than in

Table 1. The Serotypic, Biochemical, and Virulence Characteristics of the Categories of $Escherichia\ coli\ Differentiated\ in\ the\ Review$

			Category		
	EC O157	EC O157:H7	ЕНЕС О157	ЕНЕС О157:Н7	STEC E. coli
O157 antigen	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
H7 antigen	?	Yes	?	Yes	?
Growth on selective media ^a	Yes	Yes	?	Yes	?
stx	?	?	Yes	Yes	Yes
eae, tir, hly, ehx, or 92-Kb plasmid	?	?	Yes ^b	Yes^b	?

^aStandard selective media used to isolate typical E. coli O157:H7.

^bOne or more of the virulence factors detected in an isolate.

^{? =} Undetermined or not reported.

EHEC, enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*; STEC, Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*.

fecal samples in a survey in Sweden (Boqvist *et al.*, 2009); hide prevalence of EC O157:H7 was as high as 92% on belly swipes of feedlot cattle (Kalchayanand *et al.*, 2009). STEC O157 were isolated from the oral cavity or hides of 130/139 feedlot cattle, including 50 cattle that were fecal-negative (Keen and Elder, 2002). Possibly, extra-intestinal prevalence is reduced by high insolation; in a survey of 13 dairy herds in Louisiana, STEC O157:H7 were found in 0%, 0.7%, and 25.2% of samples from oral cavity, skin, and feces, respectively (Dunn *et al.*, 2004b), whereas hide prevalence was 10 times higher than fecal prevalence (60.6% vs. 5.9%) in a study performed at three midwestern beef plants found (Barkocy-Gallagher *et al.*, 2003).

EHEC 0157:H7 in domesticated ruminant animals

The major animal carriers are healthy domesticated ruminants, primarily cattle (Gyles, 2007) and, to a lesser extent, sheep and possibly goats (La Ragione et al., 2009). Genetic analysis of 31 strains of EHEC O157:H7 of different phage types revealed 4,084 open reading frames in all strains and 1,751 that were variably present or absent, indicating that the strains form at least two distinct lineages, with 11 distinct genetic regions present in \geq 80% of strains of lineage I and absent from \geq 92% of lineage II; strains of lineage I are more often associated with human disease than the strains of lineage II that typically occur in cattle and other ruminants (Zhang et al., 2007). A number of studies performed in different countries compared PFGE profiles and virulence factors of bovine and human isolates and concluded that the majority of bovine isolates of EHEC O157 do not occur, or are underrepresented, in people (Chapman et al., 2001; Galland et al., 2001; Lahti et al., 2001b; Cho et al., 2006). For example, a comparison of 63 bovine isolates and 86 human isolates in Denmark revealed that human isolates associated with severe disease constituted a minor fraction of the bovine strains, and that little overlap existed between the two sets in a number of phage types (Roldgaard et al., 2004); also, analysis of phage insertion points revealed greater genetic diversity of bovine isolates compared to human ones (Besser et al., 2007). Although bovine strains of EHEC O157 share numerous virulence factors with human strains, including stxs and eae, and may be considered potential human pathogens (Heuvelink et al., 1998), bovine isolates generally harbor fewer virulence factors while exhibiting greater tolerance for adverse conditions (Vanaja et al., 2009). This contention is supported by the finding that the isolates from healthy cattle were less virulent in gnotobiotic pigs than human clinical isolates (Baker et al., 2007). Non-O157 STEC isolated from healthy cattle also harbor relatively few virulence factors; 77% of 222 STEC isolates from slaughtered cattle in France presented only one of stx, eae, or ehx genes, and only 3/222 presented these 3 virulence genes together (Rogerie et al., 2001).

Although the majority of bovine strains of EHEC O157 are not transmitted to humans, there is little doubt that cattle are the main source of human EHEC infections (Dorn and Angrick, 1991; Blanco *et al.*, 1996; Heuvelink *et al.*, 1996; Besser *et al.*, 1997; Toth *et al.*, 2009). Outbreaks have been linked to consumption of undercooked beef or unpasteurized dairy products (Anonymous, 1993, 1997, 2002); in 350 U.S. outbreaks between 1982 and 2002, ground beef was the vehicle in 75/183 foodborne outbreaks (Rangel *et al.*, 2005). Isolates from beef products and from cattle were matched to the outbreak isolates (Willshaw *et al.*, 1994; Meng *et al.*, 1995; Anonymous,

2002), and to isolates from clinical cases (Paros et al., 1993; Tuttle et al., 1999; Proctor et al., 2002; Hussein, 2007) and specifically to HUS (Heuvelink et al., 1998). Carriage of clinical EC O157:H7 isolates by cattle may simply reflect a high probability of pathogen transmission from cattle to people as a consequence of the predominance of beef and dairy cattle among domesticated animals, and the voluminous output of bovine manure. EHEC is a special case of zoonotic STEC infections, and there is a clear association of cattle density and the occurrence of all STEC-related gastroenteritis in humans; in Ontario, there was a correlation with cattle density, but not with presence of sheep or goats (Valcour et al., 2002), and in Germany the risk increased by 68% per additional 100 cattle/km² (Frank et al., 2008). However, studies that measured intestinal prevalence of EHEC O157 in cattle and sheep at slaughter consistently show higher prevalence in cattle. In the United Kingdom, the bacteria were found in 4.7% of cattle and 1.7% of sheep (Paiba et al., 2002), in 15.7% of cattle versus 2.2% of sheep (Chapman et al., 1997), and in 4.7% of cattle and 0.7% of sheep (Milnes et al., 2008); in Holland, abattoir survey revealed EC O157 in 10.6% cattle and 4.0% sheep (Heuvelink et al., 1998). This relationship was also true when overall prevalence of the pathogen was very low; in Norway, EHEC O157:H7 was found in 0.19% of cattle (3/1541) and 0/665 sheep (Johnsen et al., 2001). In seasons of low prevalence when EC O157:H7 are typically rarely found in sheep and other farm animals, cattle are most likely to carry the bacteria (Chapman et al., 1997; Hancock et al., 1997; Kudva et al., 1997).

Typical EC O157:H7 are prevalent in bovines other than Bos taurus. Fecal prevalence of the bacteria in farmed bison was greater than in cattle and ranged from 17% to 83% across days (Reinstein et al., 2007); high prevalence was noted in water buffalo in Bangladesh (Islam et al., 2008) and 11 EC O157:H7 strains were isolated from 300 healthy water buffalo in Turkey (Seker et al., 2009). Small ruminants can be a significant source of human EHEC infection (La Ragione et al., 2009), and EHEC O157:H7 were identified in sheep (Chapman et al., 1997; Kudva et al., 1997; Heuvelink et al., 1998; Lenahan et al., 2007) and matched to clinical strains (Chapman et al., 2000b). In Italy, most adult sheep tested in a slaughterhouse were positive for virulent O157 STEC (Franco et al., 2009); 8/13 STEC strains isolated from ovine dairy products in Mexico were O157 (Caro et al., 2007); EC O157:H7 were isolated from pelts and carcasses in a lamb-processing plants (Kalchayanand et al., 2007). EHEC O157:H7 were found in 8% of beef, and 2% of lamb and goat meat samples in Ethiopia (Hiko et al., 2008), and were isolated from goats in Spain (Orden et al., 2008). STEC O157 was more often found in goats (9%) than in cows (7%) in Bangladesh (Islam et al., 2008). Some ruminants appear remarkably free of EHEC O157; a prevalence survey of slaughtered animals, using enrichment and IMS, failed to detect the bacteria in semidomesticated free-range reindeer (n = 1387) (Lahti et al., 2001a), and none were found in 192 llamas at 22 farms in California (Rulofson et al., 2001). No STEC nor anti-Stx antibodies were detected in fecal and serum samples from 400 camels in five east African countries (El-Sayed et al., 2008).

Carriage of EHEC O157:H7 by nonruminant farm animals

Pigs routinely carry STEC, and can be colonized by EC O157; inoculated pigs harbored EC O157:H7 for up to 2

months (Booher et al., 2002), and passed the bacteria to naïve penmates by direct and indirect contact (Cornick and Helgerson, 2004; Cornick and Vukhac, 2008). However, EHEC O157:H7 can be highly pathogenic in swine and are rarely found in these animals; a 2-year multifarm survey in the United States did not yield any isolates (Richards et al., 2006) and in another 13-state survey no H7 or hly933 were detected among 106 isolates of EC O157 from healthy pigs (Feder et al., 2007); in Canada EC O157 were found in 3% of samples from 10 farms, but no EC O157:H7 (Farzan et al., 2009). In the United Kingdom, an abattoir survey yielded EHEC O157 from 15.7% of cattle and 2.2% of sheep, but only from 0.4% of pigs; while almost all of 752 cattle isolates and all 22 sheep isolates were stx+ with a 92 Kb plasmid, these virulence factors were absent in swine isolates (Chapman et al., 1997), that are typically deficient in major virulence factors. Only 8.8% (283/3,218) of fecal *E. coli* isolates from piglets with edema disease in Germany were stx+, and all 283 lacked genes for intimin, EHEC hemolysin, and subtilase cytotoxin (Barth et al., 2007). In France, all 48 STEC swine isolates were non-O157:H7 and $stx2 + eae^{-}ehx^{-}$ (Bouvet et al., 2002) and in Italy 1/150 pigs carried five strains of EC O157, 4/5 stx and eae negative (Bonardi et al., 2003). The prevalence of EC O157:H7 in a Swedish survey of five swine abattoirs was <0.01% (2/2446) and although a swine herd on a farm with 8–12% of cattle positive for EC O157:H7 was positive for 11 months, the bacteria did not persist in pigs transferred to a cattle-free farm (Eriksson et al., 2003). In Switzerland, STEC were found in 22% of pigs, but EC O157 only in 7.5% and 30/31 isolates were non-H7 sorbitol positive (Kaufmann et al., 2006). None of the 23 STEC strains and 76 isolates from swine/pork samples (meat, feces, or carcasses) in Germany was associated with human disease (von Muffling et al., 2007). In contrast with the prevailing results, a 2-year U.S. study of four swine farms found EC O157:H7 in 8.9% of rectal swabs (Doane et al., 2007), but the study did not report any morbidity, and the bacteria could be nonvirulent. High prevalence of EC O157 in swine feces was noted in South Africa (9.5%, 23/76), but none of 20 isolates harbored stx genes and only 5/20 were eae+ (Ateba and Bezuidenhout, 2008). Potentially pathogenic EHEC O157:H7 were isolated from 2% of slaughter pigs (6/305) (Feder et al., 2003), and feral swine in California carried an EHEC O157:H7 isolate matched to the strain from a major spinach-mediated outbreak (Jay et al., 2007).

The relative scarcity of EHEC in swine contrasts with more frequent isolation of EHEC O157 from pork. Typical EC O157:H7 were found in 1.5% of pork samples in Canada (Doyle and Schoeni, 1987), 1% of swine carcasses in New Zealand (Wong *et al.*, 2009), and in 1/50 swine meat samples in Greece (Dontorou *et al.*, 2003). However, a literature search revealed only one small outbreak of EHEC O157 infection traced to consumption of pork meat and salami in Italy (Conedera *et al.*, 2007). In contrast to cattle, swine may be an unlikely source of human infection, due to their sensitivity to virulent EHEC, that would result in curing or culling morbid animals, thus limiting transmission of the pathogen.

EHEC O157:H7 is rare in chickens, but frequently isolated from turkeys. Chickens (n = 1000) harbored no EC O157 in the United Kingdom (Chapman *et al.*, 1997) or in Holland (n = 501, pooled fecal samples), but 1/6 of the EC O157 isolates from turkeys (n = 459) was virulent (Heuvelink *et al.*, 1999). Fecal samples from seven species of domestic animals

revealed no stx genes in chicken feces (Beutin et al., 1993), and surveys of farms with multiple animal types indicate that the prevalence of EC O157 in chickens is low, even if the bacteria are carried by the neighboring cattle. In the United States, EC O157:H7 was found in 3.6% of beef cattle and 7.5% of turkeys but only in 0.9% of chicken (Doane et al., 2007); 1%-6% of bovine fecal samples in each of 12 large cattle farms contained sorbitol-negative EC O157, but only 0.5% (1/200) of pooled samples from poultry was positive (Hancock et al., 1998). In Korea, up to 6.7% of cattle were positive for EC O157 but only one pig (0.3%) and no chickens were positive (Jo et al., 2004). However, a survey of a Norwegian farm found EHEC O157:H7 of the same PFGE type in cattle, sheep, and chickens (Wasteson et al., 2005), and a beef isolate was PFGE-matched to a chicken isolate in Argentina (Chinen et al., 2009). Typical EC O157:H7 were found in 1.5% (4/263) of the poultry samples from retail outlets in Wisconsin (Doyle and Schoeni, 1987). Interestingly, chickens inoculated with EC O157:H7 became colonized and shed for up to 11 months (Schoeni and Doyle, 1994), indicating a possibility that poor transmission, rather than host incompatibility, creates a barrier to infection.

Other carriers of EHEC O157:H7 in the farm environment

EC O157 are occasionally found in domestic animals, synanthropic rodents, and birds. Fecal samples from cats, rodents, or birds were negative on a dairy farm positive for EHEC O157:H7 (Rahn et al., 1997), but another 3-visit survey found sorbitol-negative EC O157 in 1%-6% of bovine fecal samples and in 1/90 from horses, 2/65 from dogs, and none from cats (0/33), or mice/rats (0/300) (Hancock et al., 1998). In Norway, EHEC O157 were carried by 20% of feedlot cattle and 4/10 rats, but droppings of pigeons and house sparrows were negative (Cizek et al., 1999). Interestingly, inoculated domestic pigeons shed EC O157 for 2-3 weeks, whereas shedding by rats was 2 or 10 days, depending on dose, although the bacteria survived >36 weeks in their feces (Cizek et al., 2000). Pigeons rarely carry EC O157:H7, but a strain PFGE-identical to a cattle isolate was found in 1/99 samples at a dairy farm (Shere et al., 1998). In circumstances facilitating transmission of EHEC (crowding, intense movement) the bacteria can be harbored by nonhabitual carriers. Thus, PFGEindistinguishable EHEC O157 with the same virulence factors were isolated from pigs, sheep, and goats of several breeds, other animals, and compost at an inner-city exposition farm in the United Kingdom (Chapman et al., 2000a). STEC O157 were found in 19/31 public displays of animals in the United Kingdom and risk was correlated with the number of animals and the presence of young cattle and adult pigs (Pritchard et al., 2009).

Wildlife

Wildlife does not constitute a significant source of EHEC O157; rather, sporadic isolation of the bacteria likely reflects environment-mediated transmission from humans and animal reservoirs. EHEC O157 were found only sporadically in wildlife other than deer (Rice *et al.*, 2003). Only 1/521 scat samples contained the bacteria, whereas 1% of range cattle feces were positive (Renter *et al.*, 2003). Carriage was noted in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) in the United States, where 2.4% of fecal samples collected on cattle pastures at a

period of low prevalence in cattle contained EHEC O157 (Sargeant et al., 1999), and a deer survey revealed EC H7 only in samples from white-tailed deer (Renter et al., 2001). Extensive analysis of feces of a number of wildlife ruminant and bird species (n = 2228) found five isolates of EC O157:H7 in 0.8% of samples from white-tailed deer and one in pooled bird feces (0.3%) (Rice et al., 2003). In the southeastern United States, 4.3% of cattle were positive for EC O157:H7 and rectal feces of 3/469 deer killed in the vicinity of cattle pastures yielded isolates, but none matched to cattle isolates and no deer were positive (0/140) on the same site the next year (Fischer et al., 2001). EC O157 were found in 1.25% of cattle and 2.22% of sheep but not in white-tailed deer cograzing with livestock (Branham et al., 2005); in other studies, prevalence was <1% in a captive deer herd (Rice et al., 2003; Dunn et al., 2004a). In Spain, EHEC O157:H7 from deer feces was phagetyped to a human isolate (Sanchez et al., 2009b) and EC O157:H7 were found in 1.5% (3/206) of red deer (Cervus elaphus) (Garcia-Sanchez et al., 2007). Inoculated deer shed EC O157:H7 for >26 days and passed the strain to naive penmates (Fischer et al., 2001). Fermented deer sausage was a vehicle for O157:H7 transmission in Missouri (Ahn et al., 2009). High prevalence of 3.3% (7/212) of EHEC O157:H7 was found in wild boars in Spain with one isolate PFGE-identical to a clinical isolate (Sanchez et al., 2009a).

A clinical isolate of EHEC O157 was found in rook feces (Ejidokun *et al.*, 2006) and a 3-year composite of wild bird feces in Scotland yielded a strain with virulence factors and a phage type associated with severe human disease (Foster *et al.*, 2006). In the United Kingdom, STEC O157 were found in 0.9% of fresh fecal samples from wild birds (mostly gulls) at a landfill and 2.9% at an intertidal zone (Wallace *et al.*, 1997); notably, seafood can contain EC O157 (Sehgal *et al.*, 2008).

Nonmammalian carriers

EHEC O157 can be carried by amphibians and fish, as well as invertebrates, such as insects and mollusks. Fish caught near a site of slaughter of zebu (Bos indicus) in central Africa harbored EC O157:H7 (Tuyet et al., 2006) and American bullfrogs (Rana catesbeiana) are suitable hosts for EC O157:H7 (Gray et al., 2007). EC O157 survived on a slug for 14 days; viable bacteria were shed in feces and persisted there for up to 3 weeks (Sproston et al., 2006). EC O157:H7, identical by PFGE pattern and virulence genes to human isolates, were found in dung beetles (Xu et al., 2003). EHEC O157 were isolated from house flies at cattle (Hancock et al., 1998, Iwasa et al., 1999) and turkey farms (Szalanski et al., 2004). Flies fed four EHEC strains transmitted the bacteria to all 11 exposed calves; all 8 calves singly exposed to flies fed nalidixic acid-resistant EC O157:H7 shed high numbers of the bacteria for 11 days (Ahmad et al., 2007). EHEC can multiply in fly mouthparts and accumulate in crops, resulting in efficient dispersal for >3 days after feeding (Kobayashi et al., 1999). Houseflies carried GFP-tagged O157:H7 from manure to spinach plants (Talley et al., 2009). Black dump flies associated with poultry carried EC O157:H7 in turkey facilities in Arkansas (Szalanski et al., 2004). Flies developing in bovine manure were shown to carry EHEC O157:H7 and deposit the bacteria on leafy vegetables (Talley et al., 2009). The extent of transmission of EHEC O157:H7 mediated by animal vectors is not clear, but it may account for transfer of the bacteria without direct contact between naïve and colonized carriers. Insects may be especially important, as shown by the results of U.S. surveys of 29 county and three state agricultural fairs for EC O157:H7, that found the bacteria in 11.4% of cattle (n = 1407), 1.2% of swine (n = 1102), 3.6% of sheep and goats (n = 364), and in 5.2% of 154 fly pools; at some fairs, isolates from cattle, swine, and flies shared indistinguishable subtypes (Keen *et al.*, 2006).

Transmission of EHEC 0157:H7

Extra intestinal survival of EC O157

A conceptual distinction should be made between mere retention of viability by the bacteria in nonsupportive or hostile environments that may provide a conduit for transmission, versus the ability of the bacteria to multiply and persist for extended periods in habitats that may form environmental reservoirs. EHEC survive well and multiply in raw manure and bedding, but do not survive proper composting or waste treatment. EC O157:H7 inoculated in moist bovine manure initially declined, but subsequently grew to a maximum in 5 days at 37°C (Delazari et al., 1998); EHEC O157:H7 human strain 932 survived best at 22° and 37°C for up to 70 days (Wang et al., 1996), and EC O157 survived up to 18 weeks at 15°C, and 14 weeks at 25°C; the best survival occurred with high concentrations of inocula (Fukushima et al., 1999). In a 3month cattle study, EC O157:H7 were frequently cultured from cedar chip bedding that supported growth of the bacteria when moistened with bovine urine, and samples from the ambient environment were positive when the animals did not carry the bacteria (Davis et al., 2005). Survival in bovine manure may be strongly influenced by diet; manure from cattle fed bromegrass hay supported a dosed streptomycinresistant EC O157:H7 for 28 days when animals were fed hay for <1 month, but the bacteria survived for >120 days when feed was consistent for >1 month; the impact of diet was attributed to phenolic acids common in forage plants and the fact that ruminants fully adapted to hay diet metabolize the antimicrobial phenolic acids in the rumen. The bacteria also survived up to 45 days longer in manure from corn silage-fed compared to hay-fed cattle (Wells et al., 2005). However, EC O157:H7 survival at -10°C was only marginally better in manure from corn fed steers and no difference between corn and barley was found at 4° and 22°C; the bacteria survived better at 22°C for >2 months (Bach et al., 2005). Decline of antibiotic resistant EC O157:H7 was similar in bovine feces and soil, but the bacteria were detectable in soil for up to 99 days (Bolton et al., 1999). In ovine manure the bacteria survived best at or below 23°C for up to 21 months, yielding from 10² to 10⁶ CFU/g and genetically similar isolates 12 months apart, but ovine manure aerated by periodic mixing remained culture positive for only 4 months, and survival was >100 days at -20°C, but proper composting killed all EHEC O157:H7 (Kudva et al., 1998). Some discrepancy of EHEC survival time in manure may be related to the origin of the bacterial inoculum; passage of EC O157:H7 through bovine gastro-intestinal tract (GIT) extended manure survival of the bacteria by up to 10 weeks (Scott et al., 2006b).

Treatment of dairy wastewater in an artificial wetland resulted in a 2-log reduction of EC O157:H7 numbers (Ibekwe et al., 2002). Survival was poor in water from waste lagoons independent of circulation and EC O157:H7 failed to establish even after four sequential inoculations (Ravva et al., 2006).

EC O157:H7 survived in raw chicken manure for 6-22 weeks at 4°C, multiplied at 20°C (Himathongkham and Riemann, 1999), and declined at 37°C (Himathongkham et al., 2000); drying and exposing manure to ammonia reduced numbers by 8 logs (Himathongkham and Riemann, 1999). EHEC persisted on wood and galvanized steel for >28 days, but were quickly eliminated by desiccation and heat (Williams et al., 2005); survival of EC O157 was better in straw and on hide than on concrete or metal, and in fecal versus broth inoculum (Small et al., 2003). Ability to survive drying on concrete was compared among 123 isolates of EC O157 obtained from human patients, cattle and sheep, and food samples; isolates from human disease were on average more sensitive to drying over a 24-h period, but some strains of phage types associated with severe disease (PT 4, 21/28, and 32) survived better than strains of other phage types (Avery and Buncic, 2003). However, the ability to withstand antimicrobial interventions did not differ between strains of EC O157:H7 with genetic polymorphism associated with human disease and strains not found in humans (Arthur et al., 2008). The prophage-encoded antiterminator Q gene upstream of stx2 was associated with resistance of EC O157 to inactivation by high pressure, heat, and UV and gamma radiation (Malone et al., 2007), and EC O157:H7 repaired UV-induced damage within 30 min versus 2h required by nonpathogenic E. coli (Zimmer-Thomas et al., 2007).

Samples of farm water inoculated with EC O157:H7 yielded viable bacteria for >1 month at 15°C (McGee *et al.*, 2002) and infectivity to 10-week old calves was retained after >6 months in simulated water trough sediments (LeJeune *et al.*, 2001). EHEC O157 survived for 8 and 16 days in water samples from two dairy farms (Rice and Johnson, 2000), but EC O157:H7 survived 5–7 weeks in rain/river water and 38 weeks in tap water (Randall *et al.*, 1999).

EC O157:H7 biofilms on stainless chips defeated washing with commonly used concentrations of detergents (Silagyi et al., 2009) and were not completely inactivated by NaClO (Ueda and Kuwabara, 2007); incomplete removal of biofilm may habituate the bacteria to antimicrobials and promote increased acid resistance (Skandamis et al., 2009). Proteins of the autotransporter family are involved in biofilm formation by EHEC O157 (Wells et al., 2009b). Bovine EC O157:H7 isolate grown in meat and produce broths formed similar biofilms on steel and glass, but spinach broth stimulated much greater production of autoinducer 2 (Silagyi et al., 2009). Genetic profiles of 48 EC O157 isolates from animal feces (cattle, goats, sheep, chickens, and pigs) and freshwater biofilms collected downstream of the farm revealed that only cattle isolates clustered with the biofilm isolates and 2/4 of the cattle isolates were within a 0.975 value of Simpson's diversity index, indicating clonal identity (Cooper et al., 2007). The O157 plasmid is required for a hyper-adherence phenotype (Lim et al., 2009). Attachment of EC O157:H7 isolates from a meatprocessing plant to polyurethane increased in the presence of Staphylococcus spp. and Bacillus spp. bacteria (Marouani-Gadri et al., 2009a). Substituting a nonpathogenic derivative of EHEC for the parent may produce misleading results in studies of adherence (Marouani-Gadri et al., 2009b).

The presence of highly genetically similar strains at distant sites, not involved in exchange of animals, suggests that the environment can provide vehicles for effective transmission. Ninety-two EC O157:H7 isolates from 20 dairy farms in Ohio

were divided into 50 PFGE subtypes and indistinguishable types were located in eight pairs of farms, 9–50 km apart, in cattle and wild bird feces and bedding (Wetzel and LeJeune, 2006). In Norway, PFGE-identical EC O157:H7 isolates were found on two cattle farms <10 km apart, and on a distant swine farm (>50 km) (Johnsen et al., 2001). A detailed study of 69 isolates of EC O157:H7 from four countries and continents yielded statistically significant but low correlation between geographic distance and genetic similarity (Dice index of 6-enzyme PFGE profiles) showing that increased distance reduced but did not eliminate transmission (Davis et al., 2003a). PFGE-similar EC O157:H7 found in cattle feed and feces suggested feed as a possible vehicle (Davis et al., 2003b).

Long-term survival of EHEC in the environment may be contingent upon the presence of animals carriers. In Holland, EC 0157:H7-infected calves transmitted the bacteria to naïve calves present at the same pasture; however, naïve animals introduced to the pasture the next year did not shed the pathogen, indicating that a pasture did not function as a reservoir for the pathogen (Schouten *et al.*, 2009).

EHEC in the farm environment

Farm surveys may reveal large numbers of EHEC strains, but typically a small number of genetic types predominate, and sometimes these strains persist on the farm independently of animal carriers. A 20-pen feedlot EC O157:H7 survey yielded 56 PFGE patterns, but 4 closely related ones comprised $\sim 60\%$ of 230 isolates and were found in all pens throughout the sampling period despite massive turnover of the cattle population (LeJeune et al., 2004). In an 11-month study of range cattle, 235 isolates formed 79 unique PFGE patterns; 54/79 were found once, but 7 most frequent were identified in 124/235 isolates, and PFGE-identical isolates occurred up to 10 months apart (Renter et al., 2003). A 1-year survey of Alberta dairies yielded 65 isolates of EC H7 grouped into 23 PFGE 2-enzyme profiles; 60/65 belonged to three patterns with >90% homology (Stanford et al., 2005). In a long-term study of EC O157:H7 carriage by feedlot cattle, 132 isolates were divided into 32 PFGE types; one type accounted for 53% of all isolates, and showed high adherence to Caco-2 cells (Carlson et al., 2009). Persistent and dominant strains may be those that can easily colonize cattle and survive in the farm environment; the pen prevalence may be continuous for 5 months, and a given strain may persist on a farm for >2years (Shere et al., 1998). A survey of an empty feedlot yielded EC O157 isolates of different PFGE profiles, but a strain first identified 5 days postcattle entry spread to all pens (Sanderson et al., 2006), whereas 95 EC O157:H7 isolates from four adjacent pens differed by 0-2 bands in PFGE profiles, clustered within 80% similarity, and were shed by over half of 69 cattle within 3 months (Scott et al., 2006a). EHEC O157 can circulate among cattle, extending group prevalence; each of weekly tested 100 steers assigned to 10 pens harbored EC O157:H7 at least once, with >1 positive animal each week, and 1–8 positive animals/pen (Khaitsa et al., 2003). It is likely that EHEC reciprocally circulates between cattle and the environment, but data on direction of transmission are scarce. Water tanks in large cattle feedlots were five times more likely to harbor EHEC O157 if a pen was positive for the bacteria, but the direction of spread was not determined (Sargeant et al., 2003).

Movement of large groups of cattle may transmit EHEC independent of environment and promote strains that are not easily transmitted. A survey of four cattle feedlots (>35,000 head, up to 250/pen) yielded 57 highly genetically diverse isolates of EC O157:H7, rarely found in consecutive samplings, indicating that most isolates originated from incoming cattle and were stably associated with groups of penmates, as 3/5 isolates from one lot were similar, and 2/3 originated from the same pen, when tested 3 weeks apart (Galland et al., 2001). Likewise, 19 EHEC O157 isolates from 1448 cattle brought from 16 farms at separate geographic locations in Finland belonged to 10 different PFGE profiles (Lahti et al., 2001b). Transfer of animals from a farm characterized by a high prevalence of EHEC may reduce carriage; hide and fecal prevalence in feedlot cattle was 18% and 9.5% 2 weeks before transport and 4.5% and 5.5% at slaughter, respectively (Barham et al., 2002).

An experimental system used to study the spread of EHEC by natural circumstance involves "Trojan" carriers, whereby dosed EHEC-positive animals are introduced to negative penmates. Typically, the bacteria are rapidly transmitted. Within 24 h of placement of individual EC 0157:H7-shedding animals in six pens, the bacteria were present in environmental samples, within 48 h on hides of 20/30 animals, and from days 3–23 in fecal samples of 15/30 calves (McGee *et al.*, 2004). Calves located in adjacent or across pens started shedding within 8 days of introduction of a positive calf to a room (Shere *et al.*, 2002). However, this experimental model does not guarantee transmission and may result in highly variable and inconsistent carriage in potential recipients (Sheng *et al.*, 2004).

A recent study compared prevalence of EHEC O157:H7 among 180 farms of different types (dairy, beef, mixed, and veal) in Belgium and found higher farm prevalence in dairy farms (61%) than in beef farms (23%), with an intermediate prevalence in mixed farms (44%); pen prevalence was also higher at dairy farms (Cobbaut et al., 2009). Since this is the first comparative study of this kind, which rigorously applied the same sampling and culturing techniques to all surveyed farms, it is not yet possible to conclude what factors may be responsible for the noticed differences. Individual prevalence of EC O157:H7 at slaughter was higher in downer dairy cattle (10/204, or 4.9%) than in healthy cattle (3/201, or 1.5%), indicating a possibility that exhausting physiological stress imposed by milking may play a role. However, in contrast to beef cattle, dairy cattle are moved several times daily between pens and milking parlors, and this may greatly facilitate dissemination and transmission of the bacteria.

Clustered distribution of EHEC O157 in cattle

Most cattle pass EC O157 at <100 CFU/g of feces, that is, close to the lower limit of detection by IMS, and it is likely that studies underestimate the true prevalence (LeJeune *et al.*, 2006b). Moreover, EHEC present in the bovine GIT may not necessarily be excreted with every bowel evacuation and are unevenly distributed in feces; when 120 fresh fecal pats from two feedlots were sampled multiple times, prevalence increased from 8.2% with one sample/pat to a plateau of 20% with five samples/pat (Echeverry *et al.*, 2005). However, rare individuals may harbor high numbers of EC O157:H7 and/or for long duration. A summertime, 9-visit survey of abattoir

cattle (n = 589) in Scotland found EHEC O157 in rectal feces of 44 cattle, with 27/44 at CFU/g < log 2, 13/44 at 2–4 logs, and 4/44 at 4-6 logs; thus, 1/10 carriers excreted >96% of total EHEC O157 (Omisakin et al., 2003). The concept of "supershedders" posits that some cattle are predisposed to carry exceptionally high numbers of EHEC ($>10^3-10^4$ CFU/g) and are primarily responsible for spreading the pathogen at the farm (Cobbold et al., 2007); the term "supershedder" has not been strictly defined, and is used to denote animals shedding relatively high numbers of the bacteria for a given herd. Among 160 steers randomly assigned to 20 pens in a feedlot, five cattle were identified as persistently EC O157:H7-colonized supershedders; their penmates showed above average prevalence, and yielded isolates similar in PFGE profile, whereas negative animals were five times more likely not to share a pen with a supershedder (Cobbold et al., 2007). Presence of supershedders secreting >200 CFU/g feces was associated with high pen hide prevalence (Arthur et al., 2009). U.K. farms harboring high-shedding cattle exhibited high prevalence of low-level shedding, consistent with a possibility of higher-level transmission (Chase-Topping et al., 2007); thus, 78% of 952 farms were negative for EC O157, but at 2% of farms 90%-100% of fecal pats were positive (Matthews et al., 2006). Weekly survey of an Alberta feedlot from May to January revealed greater fecal and hide prevalence in the spring/summer than in fall/winter; the presence of supershedders increased the probability of fecal and hide carriage by penmates in all seasons (Stephens et al., 2009).

Age-dependent carriage

Preweaning calves rarely carry EHEC O157, whereas the prevalence is much higher in postweaning calves and heifers than in older cattle (Wells et al., 1991; Cray and Moon, 1995; Garber et al., 1995; Zhao et al., 1995; Hancock et al., 1997), and heifers shed at higher CFU/g feces than other cattle carriers (Hancock et al., 1997, Shere et al., 1998); in Japan, overall prevalence was 5%-10%, but 32%-46% among heifers (n > 400) (Ezawa *et al.*, 2004b). In Italy, 3.6% of adult cattle but not veal calves (0/437) carried intestinal EHEC O157 at slaughter (Conedera et al., 1997); in another study 16% (58/360) of beef and dairy cows but none of veal calves (0/90)were positive for stx+ EC O157 (Bonardi et al., 1999). Three cohorts of veal calves, reared from 1 to 20 weeks on milk replacer, were tested for STEC and O157 weekly; 68% of fecal samples, and 62/62 calves had fecal cultures positive for STEC by Stx ELISA, but only 2/1151 fecal samples from 2/62 calves were positive for virulent EC O157:H7 (Cristancho et al., 2008). EHEC can, however, colonize preweaned calves; animals 13-30 days old at challenge and fed milk replacer shed the inoculated EC O157:H7 strain for up to 58 days, whereas adult cows shed only for up to 2 weeks (Wray et al., 2000). EHEC shedding on eight cattle farms in Denmark was higher among postweaned than nonweaned calves, and it was reduced among calves 1-4 months old if they suckled colostrum or stayed >2 days with their dams after birth (Rugbjerg et al., 2003), whereas calves inoculated with EC H7 and fed milk replacer exhibited somewhat increased shedding on days 6 and 10, compared to milk-fed controls (Alali et al., 2004). In England and Wales within-herd prevalence of STEC O157 in cattle from 29/75 positive farms was 1%-51% and the shedding

was the lowest among calves <2 months old, and highest in calves 2–6 months (Paiba *et al.*, 2003). EHEC O157 were found in fresh fecal samples collected in abattoirs in Holland over 2 successive years from 10% of the adult cows (27/270), but only once each year from veal calves (1/183, 1/214) (Heuvelink *et al.*, 1998). Similar to cattle, in 378 weaned and 265 suckling lambs tested for EC O157 all five isolates were found in weaned lambs (Battisti *et al.*, 2006), and colostrum-deprived lambs inoculated with EC O157:H7 shed more bacteria than conventionally reared animals (La Ragione *et al.*, 2006).

Low prevalence of EC O157:H7 in calves may be related to the presence of protective antibodies in colostrum and milk, as indicated by results of some studies with colostrum-deprived animals, or to EHEC-adverse microbiota and other factors of immature GIT. High prevalence in heifers, but not in young bulls, indicates a role of sex and may involve hormonal shifts associated with pregnancy and/or lactation. Heifers also exhibit high rate of intramammary bacterial infections for as yet unexplained reasons (Nickerson *et al.*, 1995; Fox, 2009) and may be similarly susceptible to intestinal carriage of EHEC.

Seasonal prevalence

In temperate climates, EHEC O157 prevalence in cattle peaks between late spring and early fall. EC O157:H7 were enumerated in fecal samples and rope swabs in Alberta dairies for 1 year and occurred 15-fold more often from June to September than in other periods (Stanford et al., 2005); in 91 Colorado dairies sampled from February to July, STEC O157 were 7-fold more likely to occur on/after May 1st (Garber et al., 1999). In Sweden, peak prevalence coincided with the period of pasture grazing from May to September (Albihn et al., 2003). In Finland, prevalence of EC O157 in abattoir cattle peaked in July and none were found in November and December (Lahti et al., 2001b). In Holland, a 1-year survey of slaughter cattle registered the highest prevalence of EC O157:H7 in summer (Van Donkersgoed et al., 1999), whereas no EC O157 were isolated between December and April in 1visit year-long survey of 678 dairy farms (Schouten et al., 2004). In Nebraska, carriage of EC O157:H7 by feedlot cattle approached 100% in most pens during fall and then declined sharply to <20% by March; in most pens the hide prevalence rose rapidly to an overall 63% in April (Arthur et al., 2009).

The seasonal differences are also apparent in countries featuring mild winters. In northern Italy, up to 17% of abattoir cattle carried STEC O157 in spring and summer, but only up to 2.9% in winter (Bonardi et al., 1999), and in a 15-month farm survey, peak prevalence in heifers was eightfold higher than the low and occurred in July and August (Conedera et al., 2001). In a 15-month postoutbreak U.K. survey of a cattle farm, EC O157:H7 were found in 4.3% (153/3593) of rectal swabs, with the peak prevalence in May/July and briefly in November after transfer of cattle into a building, with no shedding from December to May (Mechie et al., 1997); in another survey a decrease of CFU/g digesta and feces occurred in the winter (Laven et al., 2003). Consistent with peak summer prevalence, 0/32 wild rabbits caught from January to March in proximity to cattle farms in the United Kingdom carried EC O157, but 8/97 were positive from May 30 to August 5 (Scaife et al., 2006). A year-long survey of 93 abattoirs in the United Kingdom showed peak carriage of EC O157:H7 from June to August (Milnes et al., 2009).

In warm climates, prevalence of EHEC in cattle may be less season dependent; in an October to December survey of a herd in Florida (n = 296), 3% of fecal samples were culture positive for EC O157:H7, and 9% of cows were culture positive at least once (Riley et al., 2003); in Lousiana, 5/13 dairy herds and 3%-34% cattle were positive for EC O157:H7, prevalence in spring (13.3%) was higher than in summer (10.5%), and dropped further in fall and winter; interestingly, in variance to most other studies, fecal prevalence was higher than hide prevalence (Dunn et al., 2004b). Only a few exceptions to high summer prevalence were noted: in the United Kingdom, STEC O157 were isolated from $\sim 1\%$ of 1356 herds with no regard to season (Richards et al., 1998), but the samples were from cases of bovine gastrointestinal disease and so not random or systematic. In Japan, summer prevalence peaked in the first year, was lower in second, and disappeared in the third year; secondary peaks between January and March were also noted (Ezawa et al., 2004b). EHEC were detected in 6.3% (81/1281) of the bovine fecal samples in Belgium, and 0.7% (4/551) samples in Poland, and positive samples occurred in August to October (Tutenel et al., 2002). It is possible that seasonal preference may be absent in large or high-density cattle operations, that may foster environmentindependent EHEC transmission. Thus, prevalence of EC O157:H7 in a large beef feedlot in Kansas was 9.2% (82/891 representative samples) with no difference among season and the highest prevalence of 18.1% in February (Alam and Zurek, 2006); no seasonal preference was found during a 1-year survey on a finishing cattle farm where animals were housed indoors (Lahti et al., 2003). A caveat that applies to longitudinal surveys is that most test only fecal samples, but peak seasonal prevalence in feces does not necessarily coincide with hide contamination (Barkocy-Gallagher et al., 2003). Since viable extra-intestinal bacteria may be present at the farm even when fecal samples are negative, and the intestinal recolonization can occur at any time, the longitudinal studies of EC O157:H7 prevalence should include nonfecal samples.

It is not known what climate-associated factors contribute to peak summer prevalence of EHEC; a hypothesis that day length impacts bovine hormones was tested by exposing cattle to additional 5 h of artificial lighting/day, and increased prevalence of EC O157:H7 was observed, compared to controls; 43 days after cessation of lighting, prevalence decreased to control levels (Edrington et al., 2006), and cattle treated with exogenous thyroid hormone shed the same numbers of EC O157 as controls in winter, and marginally less in summer (Edrington et al., 2007). Acyl-homoserine-lactone (AHL) autoinducer produced by non-EHEC appear to enhance EHEC colonization of the bovine GIT, and the rumen samples from feedlot cattle were negative for AHLs in the winter (Edrington et al., 2009). EHEC harbors SdiA, a regulator that senses AHLs and is necessary for efficient EHEC colonization of cattle; when AHLs are prominent within the bovine rumen, SdiA-AHL chemical signaling upregulated acid resistance genes to help EHEC pass through the acidic abomasum; AHLs are absent in other areas of the GI tract and lack of SdiA-AHL chemical signaling upregulates the LEE for efficient bacterial colonization of the recto-anal junction mucosa (Hughes et al., 2010). One study found association between seasonal prevalence and diet; fecal and hide prevalence of EC

O157:H7 was higher in feedlot cattle fed wet distillers grains with solubles during periods of seasonally dependent low pathogen prevalence (Wells *et al.*, 2009a). In a survey of five dairy farms in Argentina, prevalence of *stx1* increased and *stx2* decreased in the summer (Fernandez *et al.*, 2009).

Sources of Human Infection

Undercooked contaminated ground beef and other meats

Ground beef is a particularly efficient transmission vehicle of EHEC due to the ease of cross-contamination, dispersion of the bacteria throughout the substrate, and poor efficiency of dry heat as a sterilizing agent, whereas bacteria contaminating the surface of a meat slab are unlikely to survive heat exposure. In the first U.S. outbreak in which EHEC was recognized as a class of human pathogens, 17/19 nursing home residents with hemorrhagic colitis ate hamburgers, but only 28/67 healthy residents (Ryan et al., 1986), and indirect evidence pointed to ground meat in a number of other outbreaks (Belongia et al., 1991). A multistate outbreak was traced to hamburgers distributed by a restaurant chain (1993), and isolates with identical toxin profiles and plasmid traits were found in patients (O'Brien et al., 1993). PFGE-identical EC O157:H7 were isolated from 26 patients and 27 samples of incriminated ground meat (Barrett et al., 1994); direct evidence implicated undercooked hamburgers in a number of other outbreaks (Roberts et al., 1995; Shefer et al., 1996; Cieslak et al., 1997). One- third of the meat samples from randomly selected stores in Bologna (northern Italy) contained E. coli, and 3/149 were positive for STEC O157 (Stampi et al., 2004). In a cluster of EC O157:H7-associated HUS and gastroenteritis cases, PFGE-identical isolates were found in ground meat samples in processing plant, in grocery store, and patients (Vogt and Dippold, 2005). Hamburgers prepared at home were also implicated (Mead et al., 1997). Contaminated equipment, such as meat grinders, was linked to food-borne EC O157:H7 infections (Banatvala et al., 1996). Sausages, dry-cured salami, and other food items containing uncooked meat were shown to transmit EC O157:H7 (1995) (Williams et al., 2000; Mac-Donald et al., 2004; Sartz et al., 2008), and pork salami transmitted a severe EC O157 infection (Conedera et al., 2007).

Unpasteurized dairy products

Uncooked/unpasteurized cow and goat milk was a vehicle of infection in several clusters of HUS caused by EC O157:H7 (Wells et al., 1991; Bielaszewska et al., 1997) and EHEC O157:H7 were found in sheep dairy products (Caro et al., 2007). PFGE-identical isolates were obtained from patients and the implicated dairy (Keene et al., 1997; Denny et al., 2008), and an outbreak was traced to a dairy not licensed to distribute raw milk (Anonymous, 2007b), and to a faulty pasteurizer at a small dairy farm (Clark et al., 1997). EC O157:H7 can survive and sometimes grow in different cheeses made from unpasteurized milk (Jordan and Maher, 2006; Schlesser et al., 2006; Wahi et al., 2006), and Gouda cheese was a vehicle (Honish et al., 2005); STEC were detected in cheese after 12month ripening (Caro and Garcia-Armesto, 2007). EHEC O157:H7 were found in 2/268 bulk tank milk samples and on 8/30 dairy farms in Tennessee (Murinda et al., 2002), and 4.3% (36/859) of samples of bulk tank milk from U.S. dairies were positive for virulence factors associated with O157:H7 (*eaeA*, *tir*, and *stx*) (Karns *et al.*, 2007). Although STEC may be routinely found in dairy products, relatively few outbreaks were traced to this vehicle; in France only one O5 isolate among 40 STEC strains from milk and cheese samples had a virulence gene panel identical to HUS strains (Pradel *et al.*, 2008) and non-O157 STEC were found in cheese samples in Switzerland (Stephan *et al.*, 2008).

Contaminated fresh fruits and vegetables

Produce provides a variety of vehicles for transmission of EHEC as the bacteria can attach to intact or processed fruits and vegetables as well as survive in fruit juice. EC O157:H7 survived for 20 days in apple cider (Besser et al., 1993) and outbreaks were traced to this vehicle (Hilborn et al., 2000). The bacteria can grow in apple tissue and defeat decontamination procedures (Janes et al., 2005), although pasteurization is protective (Mak et al., 2001), as are some additives (Uljas and Ingham, 1999; Comes and Beelman, 2002; Knight and McKellar, 2007). The ability of EHEC O157:H7 to survive and grow in produce is highly dependent on the plant species and specific conditions; a cocktail of four strains grew on cut mangoes and papayas at 23°C, but only on papayas at 12°C; the bacteria survived for at least 180 days on both substrates (Strawn and Danyluk, 2009). EHEC O157:H7 interact with plants in complex ways, throughout the plant growing cycle, processing, and storage. Major outbreaks were linked to lettuce (Ackers et al., 1998), including a multistate outbreak (Hilborn et al., 1999); sprouts (Michino et al., 1999) and spinach (Anonymous, 2006) are implicated in numerous HUS cases (Grant et al., 2008). Internalization of the bacteria by growing spinach was rare (1/120 plants), but surface contamination was common once plants had grown for 3 weeks and the pathogen survived throughout the cultivation period when introduced into the growth medium at 10⁷ CFU/mL level (Pu et al., 2009). EHEC O157:H7 were shown to adhere to and penetrate roots (Wachtel et al., 2002), although typically produce-mediated outbreaks were linked to foliage contaminated by irrigation/spray water (Wachtel et al., 2002; Solomon et al., 2003). The natural microflora on lettuce and spinach may be inhibitory (Johnston et al., 2009); EC O157:H7 were not detected on lettuce grown for 50 days in organic manure inoculated with 10⁴ CFU/g, although the bacteria persisted in soil for 8 weeks; Pseudomonas fluorescence, an in vitro inhibitor of EC O157:H7, was isolated from the plants (Johannessen et al., 2005). However, lettuce grown in contaminated soil internalized EC O157:H7 as indicated by detection of the pathogen from surface-sterilized plants (Mootian et al., 2009). In the absence of mechanical damage, heat and drought stress do not promote internalization of O157:H7 by plants (Zhang et al., 2009). EC O157:H7 sprinkled on growing spinach survived and multiplied for 14 days (Mitra et al., 2009) and repeated exposure to contaminated water increased bacterial load (Solomon et al., 2003). The bacteria grew better on young romaine lettuce than on middle-leaf (Brandl and Amundson, 2008) and could be transferred to produce from contaminated ice and from contaminated product (Kim and Harrison, 2008).

EHEC O157:H7 grew on spinach stored at 8°C or above (Luo *et al.*, 2009), survived refrigeration for up to 15 days (Beuchat, 1999), and increased expression of virulence factors during cold storage (Carey *et al.*, 2009). Exposure of the

bacteria to lettuce lysates resulted in activation of genes associated with resistance to environmental stress, attachment, and virulence, and DNA repair, imparting increased resistance to hydrogen peroxide and calcium hypochlorate (Kyle et al., 2010). The density of the bacteria inoculated on intact, damaged, and exposed to phytopathogen lettuce leaves decreased over 10 days, but more bacteria survived on damaged leaves (Aruscavage et al., 2008). EC O157:H7 load increased 4– 11-fold on damaged lettuce leaves and only 2-fold on intact ones, and leaves affected by soft rot (Erwinia chrysanthemi) harbored 27 more bacteria than healthy ones (Brandl, 2008). In some major EHEC outbreaks, pathogen was carried on spinach or other produce harvested in the proximity of cattle farms (Jay et al., 2007), and a spinach outbreak strain grew better in autoclaved bovine manure than two other strains (Kim et al., 2009a).

Colonization of spinach and lettuce leaves by EC O157:H7 involves flagella and type III secretion (Xicohtencatl-Cortes *et al.*, 2009); more specifically, the bacteria attach to leaves via filamentous type III secretion that is independent of effector protein translocation (Shaw *et al.*, 2008). Attachment to plant cells occurs by different mediators than to mammalian cells and requires polysaccharides cellulose, poly-beta-1,6-N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, and colanic acid (Matthysse *et al.*, 2008).

Food products subjected to fermentation or harboring adversarial microorganisms can reduce the viability of EHEC O157:H7. All 40 isolates of *Lactococcus lactis* from Greek raw goat milk cheese inhibited EC O157:H7 growth (Psoni *et al.*, 2007). EHEC O157:H7 declined by over 2 logs in fermented sausage (Glass *et al.*, 1992) and up to 3.5 logs in soudjouk sausage (Porto-Fett *et al.*, 2008; Hwang *et al.*, 2009). However, fermented products may provide a good vehicle for infection if curing conditions are inadequate; a large outbreak in Sweden was traced to improperly processed sausage (Sartz *et al.*, 2008).

Environment-mediated transmission

Manure is a good vehicle of EHEC and large outbreaks were associated with public events held on grazing areas presumably strewn with manure, especially in rainy weather. Isolates of EHEC O157 from music festival attendees were matched to a cattle herd (Crampin et al., 1999). A scouting event held in Scotland on a muddy field grazed by sheep resulted in an 8% attack rate (18/226), with PFGE-identical isolates from patients, the field, and sheep feces (Strachan et al., 2001); culturable bacteria were isolated for 15 weeks from soil (Ogden et al., 2002). Bioluminescent EC O157:H7 leached from bovine and ovine feces subjected to stimulated rain fall and were more metabolically active in ovine leachate (Williams et al., 2008). EC H7 survived for >2 months in garden soil fertilized with manure (Mukherjee et al., 2006), and four PFGE-identical isolates were obtained from an implicated cow and three people visiting a meadow strewn with manure (Grif et al., 2005). A comparison of 183 cases of sporadic EC O157 human infection with 545 matched controls implicated exposure to animal feces as the strongest risk factor (Locking *et al.*, 2001).

EHEC can grow in sterile fresh water at low carbon source concentration (Vital *et al.*, 2008), and waterborne origin was implicated in a number of sporadic cases and outbreaks of STEC O157 infection (Chalmers *et al.*, 2000) and EC O157:H7

(Muniesa et al., 2006). EHEC outbreaks were associated with swimming in lakes (Keene et al., 1994; Ackman et al., 1997) and pools (Verma et al., 2007), and consumption of water from a farm well (Jackson et al., 1998) or a private water supply (Mannix et al., 2007). PFGE-identical isolates were obtained from a campsite water, sheep feces, and patients (Licence et al., 2001). In Australia, 10% (10/104) of surface water samples contained E. coli with 1+ virulence genes, and 6/10 were biochemically identical to human isolates of EHEC O157 (Ahmed et al., 2007). EHEC with similar PFGE profiles, and the same virulence factors were isolated from patients, water samples, and duck feces (Samadpour et al., 2002); EC O157:H7 remained viable for 5 days in beach sand either dry or intermittently wetted with sea water (Williams et al., 2007). Identical and closely related strains of EC O157 were located in California within 135 m from a point source at low water level in an affected stream and up to 32 km at high water level (Cooley et al., 2007). Nontoxigenic bacteria are used as indicators of pathogen contamination potential, but migration potential of toxigenic and nontoxigenic strains may differ (Castro and Tufenkji, 2007). The presence of EC O157 in local recreational water was not related to fecal indicator bacteria (Duris et al., 2009), but the presence of bovine-specific species of Bacteroidales was a good predictor for contamination by EC O157 (Walters et al., 2007), although EC O157:H7 were rarely detected in the absence of indicator *E. coli* within a large geographic setting, such as a river basin in Canada (Wilkes et al., 2009). Interestingly, EC O157:H7 do not survive well in the Ganges river water due to heat-labile noncellular antimicrobials, presumably colicins or antimicrobial peptides (Nautiyal, 2009).

Direct transmission

Even brief physical human-animal contact can transmit EHEC, and hand-washing is the single most important prevention step to reduce transmission resulting from humananimal contact (Anonymous, 2007a). Children are at most risk, as highlighted by an HUS case in a visitor to a petting zoo (Heuvelink et al., 2002) and EHEC O157 diarrhea in children visiting an inner-city exposition farm (Chapman et al., 2000a). In Finland, five EC O157:H7 cases in children living on or visiting a farm were traced to five different dairy farms and isolates PFGE-identical to clinical ones (Lahti et al., 2002). Attendees of agricultural fairs are at increased risk of EHEC infection due to the proximity of animals, concession stands, and people (Crump et al., 2003). In 2004–2005, large outbreaks of pediatric EC O157:H7 infections occurred among visitors to petting zoos at agricultural fairs (Anonymous, 2005). In a study of STEC transmission in Germany, acquisition of isolates from 202 human cases analyzed risk factors by comparing age- and region-matched controls; in children <3 years, touching a ruminant was the greatest risk source (Werber et al., 2007).

Person-to-person transmission of EHEC may contribute to outbreaks from a primary source (Parry *et al.*, 1998; Seto *et al.*, 2007) and may reach 14% (Rangel *et al.*, 2005) or higher; secondary cases occurred in 20/89 households with STEC O157 infection in the United Kingdom (Werber *et al.*, 2008), and significant interpersonal spread was noted in 18-case O157 outbreak in Ireland, with identical/close PFGE patterns in isolates from patients and a rectal animal swab (Mannix *et al.*,

2007). Asymptomatic infected people may be an unappreciated source of the pathogen (Gilbert *et al.*, 2008).

Conclusions

- 1. Detection of EC O157:H7 is a multistep process that should start with definition of the identifying criteria and end with serological confirmation of suspected colonies. A successful outcome of prevalence survey requires capture of the bacteria by sampling, retention of viability by the organisms during storage, and their ability to avoid a competitive exclusion during culture. Probability of capture of EC O157:H7 in complex environment (e.g., farm) can be increased by multiple (combined) and repeated sampling, stratified by time, season, location, age, and sex of carriers, body site, and form of excreta. Storage may reduce viability of the bacteria, and recovery may require a prolonged incubation in enrichment broth.
- 2. A conceptual distinction should be made between comparative (different farms/environments) and longitudinal (same farm over time) studies of EC O157:H7 prevalence. The former should employ identical sampling and culturing methods for all surveyed entities, whereas the latter should maximize probability of detection by extensive sampling and culture techniques supportive of recovery of stressed or injured bacteria.
- 3. Cattle and possibly small domesticated ruminants constitute a primary animal reservoir of EC O157:H7, although most cattle isolates are not highly virulent and do not occur in people. Distribution of EC O157:H7 in cattle is highly clustered, and most of the bacteria are excreted by a small number of carriers ("supershedders") that may harbor large intestinal loads of the pathogen for extended periods. Prevalence of EC O157:H7 in cattle is strongly season dependent and tends to be higher in spring and summer than in fall and winter; the season effect may be reduced in large cattle operations and in climate featuring mild winters. Prevalence is very low in young calves, but highest in heifers. Hide prevalence may not coincide with intestinal prevalence.
- 4. EHEC O157:H7 may be harbored by pigs and poultry, other domestic and synanthropic animals, ruminant and nonruminant wildlife, and nonmammalian vectors. However, these animals are unlikely to support the continuous existence of the bacteria in the absence of canonical carriers. They can, however, provide a conduit for transmission of the bacteria, and may be responsible for the appearance of the genetically matching isolates at distant geographic locations.
- 5. EHEC O157:H7 can be transmitted to humans by contaminated undercooked meat and dairy products, produce and fruit juice, drinking and surface water, and, to lesser extent, by contact with animals or manure, and person-to-person contact. The bacteria can colonize plants by mechanisms distinct from those mediating colonization of mammalian tissues, survive for extended time in water and soil, and multiply in manure and other substrates. Survival and transmission can be aided by formation of biofilm, and extraintestinal survival can be influenced by virulence characteristics.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported, in part, by the Idaho Agriculture Experiment Station, Public Health Service Grants U54-AI-57141 and P20-RR16454 from the National Institutes of Health, and by grants from the Idaho Beef Council.

Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Ackers ML, Mahon BE, Leahy E, Goode B, Damrow T, Hayes PS, Bibb WF, Rice DH, Barrett TJ, Hutwagner L, Griffin PM, and Slutsker L. An outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections associated with leaf lettuce consumption. J Infect Dis 1998;177:1588–1593.
- Ackman D, Marks S, Mack P, Caldwell M, Root T, and Birkhead G. Swimming-associated haemorrhagic colitis due to *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection: evidence of prolonged contamination of a fresh water lake. Epidemiol Infect 1997;119:1–8.
- Ahmad A, Nagaraja TG, and Zurek L. Transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to cattle by house flies. Prev Vet Med 2007;80:74–81.
- Ahmed W, Tucker J, Bettelheim KA, Neller R, and Katouli M. Detection of virulence genes in *Escherichia coli* of an existing metabolic fingerprint database to predict the sources of pathogenic *E. coli* in surface waters. Water Res 2007;41:3785–3791.
- Ahn CK, Russo AJ, Howell KR, Holt NJ, Sellenriek PL, Rothbaum RJ, Beck AM, Luebbering LJ, and Tarr PI. Deer sausage: a newly identified vehicle of transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. J Pediatr 2009;155:587–589.
- Alali WQ, Sargeant JM, Nagaraja TG, and DeBey BM. Effect of antibiotics in milk replacer on fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in calves. J Anim Sci 2004;82:2148–2152.
- Alam MJ and Zurek L. Seasonal prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in beef cattle feces. J Food Prot 2006;69:3018–3020.
- Albihn A, Eriksson E, Wallen C, and Aspan A. Verotoxinogenic Escherichia coli (VTEC) O157:H7—a nationwide Swedish survey of bovine faeces. Acta Vet Scand 2003;44:43–52.
- al-Saigh H, Zweifel C, Blanco J, Blanco JE, Blanco M, Usera MA, and Stephan R. Fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157, *Salmonella*, and *Campylobacter* in Swiss cattle at slaughter. J Food Prot 2004;67:679–684.
- Anonymous. Compendium of measures to prevent disease associated with animals in public settings, 2007: National Association of State Public Health Veterinarians, Inc. (NASPHV). MMWR Recomm Rep 2007a;56:1–14.
- Anonymous. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection associated with drinking raw milk—Washington and Oregon, November-December 2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2007b;56: 165–167.
- Anonymous. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections associated with eating a nationally distributed commercial brand of frozen ground beef patties and burgers—Colorado, 1997. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1997;46:777–778.
- Anonymous. Multistate outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections associated with eating ground beef—United States, June-July 2002. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2002;51: 637–639.
- Anonymous. Ongoing multistate outbreak of *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 infections associated with consumption of fresh spinach—United States, September 2006. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2006;55:1045–1046.

- Anonymous. Outbreaks of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 associated with petting zoos—North Carolina, Florida, and Arizona, 2004 and 2005. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2005;54:1277–1280
- Anonymous. Update: multistate outbreak of Escherichia coli O157:H7 infections from hamburgers—western United States, 1992–1993. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1993;42:258–263.
- Arthur TM, Kalchayanand N, Bosilevac JM, Brichta-Harhay DM, Shackelford SD, Bono JL, Wheeler TL, and Koohmaraie M. Comparison of effects of antimicrobial interventions on multidrug-resistant *Salmonella*, susceptible *Salmonella*, and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. J Food Prot 2008;71:2177–2181.
- Arthur TM, Keen JE, Bosilevac JM, Brichta-Harhay DM, Kalchayanand N, Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL, Nou X, and Koohmaraie M. Longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a beef cattle feedlot and role of high-level shedders in hide contamination. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:6515–6523.
- Aruscavage D, Miller SA, Ivey ML, Lee K, and LeJeune JT. Survival and dissemination of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on physically and biologically damaged lettuce plants. J Food Prot 2008;71:2384–2388.
- Ateba CN and Bezuidenhout CC. Characterisation of *Escherichia coli* O157 strains from humans, cattle and pigs in the North-West Province, South Africa. Int J Food Microbiol 2008;128: 181–188
- Avery SM and Buncic S. *Escherichia coli* O157 diversity with respect to survival during drying on concrete. J Food Prot 2003; 66:780–786.
- Bach SJ, Stanford K, and McAllister TA. Survival of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in feces from corn- and barley-fed steers. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2005;252:25–33.
- Baker DR, Moxley RA, Steele MB, Lejeune JT, Christopher-Hennings J, Chen DG, Hardwidge PR, and Francis DH. Differences in virulence among *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains isolated from humans during disease outbreaks and from healthy cattle. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:7338–7346.
- Banatvala N, Magnano AR, Cartter ML, Barrett TJ, Bibb WF, Vasile LL, Mshar P, Lambert-Fair MA, Green JH, Bean NH, and Tauxe RV. Meat grinders and molecular epidemiology: two supermarket outbreaks of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection. J Infect Dis 1996;173:480–483.
- Barham AR, Barham BL, Johnson AK, Allen DM, Blanton JR Jr., and Miller MF. Effects of the transportation of beef cattle from the feedyard to the packing plant on prevalence levels of *Escherichia coli* O157 and *Salmonella* spp. J Food Prot 2002;65:280– 283.
- Barkocy-Gallagher GA, Arthur TM, Rivera-Betancourt M, Nou X, Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL, and Koohmaraie M. Seasonal prevalence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*, including O157:H7 and non-O157 serotypes, and *Salmonella* in commercial beef processing plants. J Food Prot 2003;66:1978–1986.
- Barrett TJ, Lior H, Green JH, Khakhria R, Wells JG, Bell BP, Greene KD, Lewis J, and Griffin PM. Laboratory investigation of a multistate food-borne outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 by using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and phage typing. J Clin Microbiol 1994;32:3013–3017.
- Barth S, Tscholshiew A, Menge C, Weiss R, Baljer G, and Bauerfeind R. Virulence and fitness gene patterns of Shiga toxin-encoding *Escherichia coli* isolated from pigs with edema disease or diarrhea in Germany. Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr 2007;120:307–316.
- Battisti A, Lovari S, Franco A, Di Egidio A, Tozzoli R, Caprioli A, and Morabito S. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in lambs at

- slaughter in Rome, central Italy. Epidemiol Infect 2006;134:415–419.
- Belongia EA, MacDonald KL, Parham GL, White KE, Korlath JA, Lobato MN, Strand SM, Casale KA, and Osterholm MT. An outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 colitis associated with consumption of precooked meat patties. J Infect Dis 1991; 164:338–343.
- Berg J, McAllister T, Bach S, Stilborn R, Hancock D, and LeJeune J. Escherichia coli O157:H7 excretion by commercial feedlot cattle fed either barley- or corn-based finishing diets. J Food Prot 2004;67:666–671.
- Besser RE, Lett SM, Weber JT, Doyle MP, Barrett TJ, Wells JG, and Griffin PM. An outbreak of diarrhea and hemolytic uremic syndrome from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in fresh-pressed apple cider. JAMA 1993;269:2217–2220.
- Besser TE, Hancock DD, Pritchett LC, McRae EM, Rice DH, and Tarr PI. Duration of detection of fecal excretion of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in cattle. J Infect Dis 1997;175:726–729.
- Besser TE, Shaikh N, Holt NJ, Tarr PI, Konkel ME, Malik-Kale P, Walsh CW, Whittam TS, and Bono JL. Greater diversity of Shiga toxin-encoding bacteriophage insertion sites among *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates from cattle than in those from humans. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:671–679.
- Beuchat LR. Survival of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in bovine feces applied to lettuce and the effectiveness of chlorinated water as a disinfectant. J Food Prot 1999; 62:845–849.
- Beutin L, Geier D, Steinruck H, Zimmermann S, and Scheutz F. Prevalence and some properties of verotoxin (Shiga-like toxin)producing *Escherichia coli* in seven different species of healthy domestic animals. J Clin Microbiol 1993;31:2483–2488.
- Bielaszewska M, Janda J, Blahova K, Minarikova H, Jikova E, Karmali MA, Laubova J, Sikulova J, Preston MA, Khakhria R, Karch H, Klazarova H, and Nyc O. Human *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection associated with the consumption of unpasteurized goat's milk. Epidemiol Infect 1997;119:299–305.
- Bielaszewska M, Prager R, Zhang W, Friedrich AW, Mellmann A, Tschape H, and Karch H. Chromosomal dynamism in progeny of outbreak-related sorbitol-fermenting enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:NM. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72: 1900–1909.
- Blanco M, Blanco JE, Blanco J, Gonzalez EA, Mora A, Prado C, Fernandez L, Rio M, Ramos J, and Alonso MP. Prevalence and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7 and other verotoxin-producing *E. coli* in healthy cattle. Epidemiol Infect 1996;117:251–257.
- Bolton DJ, Byrne CM, Sheridan JJ, McDowell DA, and Blair IS. The survival characteristics of a non-toxigenic strain of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. *J Appl Microbiol* 1999;86:407–411.
- Bonardi S, Brindani F, Pizzin G, Lucidi L, D'Incau M, Liebana E, and Morabito S. Detection of Salmonella spp., Yersinia enterocolitica and verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in pigs at slaughter in Italy. Int J Food Microbiol 2003;85: 101–110.
- Bonardi S, Maggi E, Bottarelli A, Pacciarini ML, Ansuini A, Vellini G, Morabito S, and Caprioli A. Isolation of Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from cattle at slaughter in Italy. Vet Microbiol 1999;67:203–211.
- Booher SL, Cornick NA, and Moon HW. Persistence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in experimentally infected swine. Vet Microbiol 2002;89:69–81.
- Boqvist S, Aspan A, and Eriksson E. Prevalence of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in fecal and ear samples from slaughtered cattle in Sweden. J Food Prot 2009;72:1709–1712.

- Bouvet J, Montet MP, Rossel R, Le Roux A, Bavai C, Ray-Gueniot S, Mazuy C, Atrache V, and Vernozy-Rozand C. Effects of slaughter processes on pig carcass contamination by verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* and *E. coli* O157:H7. Int J Food Microbiol 2002;77:99–108.
- Brandl MT. Plant lesions promote the rapid multiplication of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on postharvest lettuce. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:5285–5289.
- Brandl MT and Amundson R. Leaf age as a risk factor in contamination of lettuce with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* Enterica. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:2298–2306.
- Branham LA, Carr MA, Scott CB, and Callaway TR. *E. coli* O157 and *Salmonella* spp. in white-tailed deer and livestock. Curr Issues Intest Microbiol 2005;6:25–29.
- Brashears MM, Amezquita A, and Stratton J. Validation of methods used to recover *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* spp. subjected to stress conditionst. J Food Prot 2001;64: 1466–1471.
- Buvens G, Pierard D, Hachimi-Idrissi S, and Lauwers S. First sorbitol-fermenting Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157: H- isolated in Belgium. Acta Clin Belg 2009;64:59–64.
- Callaway TR, Carr MA, Edrington TS, Anderson RC, and Nisbet DJ. Diet, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and cattle: a review after 10 years. Curr Issues Mol Biol 2009;11:67–79.
- Carey CM, Kostrzynska M, and Thompson S. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 stress and virulence gene expression on Romaine lettuce using comparative real-time PCR. J Microbiol Methods 2009;77:235–242.
- Carlson BA, Nightingale KK, Mason GL, Ruby JR, Choat WT, Loneragan GH, Smith GC, Sofos JN, and Belk KE. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains that persist in feedlot cattle are genetically related and demonstrate an enhanced ability to adhere to intestinal epithelial cells. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:5927–5937.
- Caro I and Garcia-Armesto MR. Occurrence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in a Spanish raw ewe's milk cheese. Int J Food Microbiol 2007;116:410–413.
- Caro I, Mateo J, and Garcia-Armesto MR. Phenotypical characteristics of Shiga-like toxin *Escherichia coli* isolated from sheep dairy products. Lett Appl Microbiol 2007;45:295–300.
- Castro FD and Tufenkji N. Relevance of nontoxigenic strains as surrogates for *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in groundwater contamination potential: role of temperature and cell acclimation time. Environ Sci Technol 2007;41:4332–4338.
- Cerqueira AM, Guth BE, Joaquim RM, and Andrade JR. High occurrence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) in healthy cattle in Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. Vet Microbiol 1999;70:111–121.
- Chalmers RM, Aird H, and Bolton FJ. Waterborne *Escherichia coli* O157. Symp Ser Soc Appl Microbiol 2000;29:124S–132S.
- Chapman PA, Cerdan Malo AT, Ellin M, Ashton R, and Harkin. *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle and sheep at slaughter, on beef and lamb carcasses and in raw beef and lamb products in South Yorkshire, UK. Int J Food Microbiol 2001;64: 139–150.
- Chapman PA, Cornell J, and Green C. Infection with verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 during a visit to an inner city open farm. Epidemiol Infect 2000a;125:531–536.
- Chapman PA, Siddons CA, Cerdan Malo AT, and Harkin MA. A one year study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in raw beef and lamb products. Epidemiol Infect 2000b;124:207–213.
- Chapman PA, Siddons CA, Gerdan Malo AT, and Harkin MA. A 1-year study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle, sheep, pigs and poultry. Epidemiol Infect 1997;119:245–250.

Chapman PA, Siddons CA, Zadik PM, and Jewes L. An improved selective medium for the isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157. J Med Microbiol 1991;35:107–110.

- Chapman PA, Wright DJ, and Siddons CA. A comparison of immunomagnetic separation and direct culture for the isolation of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from bovine faeces. J Med Microbiol 1994;40:424–427.
- Chase-Topping ME, McKendrick IJ, Pearce MC, MacDonald P, Matthews L, Halliday J, Allison L, Fenlon D, Low JC, Gunn G, and Woolhouse ME. Risk factors for the presence of high-level shedders of *Escherichia coli* O157 on Scottish farms. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45:1594–1603.
- Chinen I, Epszteyn S, Melamed CL, Aguerre L, Martinez Espinosa E, Motter MM, Baschkier A, Manfredi E, Miliwebsky E, and Rivas M. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in beef and chicken burgers, and chicken carcasses in Buenos Aires, Argentina. Int J Food Microbiol 2009;132: 167–171.
- Chinen I, Otero JL, Miliwebsky ES, Roldan ML, Baschkier A, Chillemi GM, Noboli C, Frizzo L, and Rivas M. Isolation and characterisation of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from calves in Argentina. Res Vet Sci 2003;74:283– 286.
- Cho S, Bender JB, Diez-Gonzalez F, Fossler CP, Hedberg CW, Kaneene JB, Ruegg PL, Warnick LD, and Wells SJ. Prevalence and characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157 isolates from Minnesota dairy farms and county fairs. J Food Prot 2006; 69:252–259.
- Cieslak PR, Noble SJ, Maxson DJ, Empey LC, Ravenholt O, Legarza G, Tuttle J, Doyle MP, Barrett TJ, Wells JG, McNamara AM, and Griffin PM. Hamburger-associated *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in Las Vegas: a hidden epidemic. Am J Public Health 1997;87:176–180.
- Cizek A, Alexa P, Literak I, Hamrik J, Novak P, and Smola J. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlot cattle and Norwegian rats from a large-scale farm. Lett Appl Microbiol 1999;28:435–439.
- Cizek A, Literak I, and Scheer P. Survival of Escherichia coli O157 in faeces of experimentally infected rats and domestic pigeons. Lett Appl Microbiol 2000;31:349–352.
- Clark A, Morton S, Wright P, Corkish J, Bolton FJ, and Russell J. A community outbreak of Vero cytotoxin producing *Escherichia coli* O157 infection linked to a small farm dairy. Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev 1997;7:R206–R211.
- Cobbaut K, Berkvens D, Houf K, De Deken R, and De Zutter L. Escherichia coli O157 prevalence in different cattle farm types and identification of potential risk factors. J Food Prot 2009;72:1848–1853.
- Cobbold RN, Hancock DD, Rice DH, Berg J, Stilborn R, Hovde CJ, and Besser TE. Rectoanal junction colonization of feedlot cattle by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and its association with supershedders and excretion dynamics. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:1563–1568.
- Comes JE and Beelman RB. Addition of fumaric acid and sodium benzoate as an alternative method to achieve a 5-log reduction of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 populations in apple cider. J Food Prot 2002;65:476–483.
- Conedera G, Chapman PA, Marangon S, Tisato E, Dalvit P, and Zuin A. A field survey of *Escherichia coli* O157 ecology on a cattle farm in Italy. Int J Food Microbiol 2001;66:85–93.
- Conedera G, Marangon S, Chapman PA, Zuin A, and Caprioli A. Atypical strains of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in beef cattle at slaughter in Veneto region, Italy. Zentralbl Veterinarmed B 1997;44:301–306.

- Conedera G, Mattiazzi E, Russo F, Chiesa E, Scorzato I, Grandesso S, Bessegato A, Fioravanti A, and Caprioli A. A family outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157 haemorrhagic colitis caused by pork meat salami. Epidemiol Infect 2007;135:311–314.
- Cooley M, Carychao D, Crawford-Miksza L, Jay MT, Myers C, Rose C, Keys C, Farrar J, and Mandrell RE. Incidence and tracking of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a major produce production region in California. PLoS One 2007;2:e1159.
- Cooper IR, Taylor HD, and Hanlon GW. Virulence traits associated with verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 recovered from freshwater biofilms. J Appl Microbiol 2007;102:1293–1299.
- Cornick NA and Helgerson AF. Transmission and infectious dose of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in swine. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:5331–5335.
- Cornick NA and Vukhac H. Indirect transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 occurs readily among swine but not among sheep. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:2488–2491.
- Crampin M, Willshaw G, Hancock R, Djuretic T, Elstob C, Rouse A, Cheasty T, and Stuart J. Outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157 infection associated with a music festival. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1999;18:286–288.
- Cray WC Jr., and Moon HW. Experimental infection of calves and adult cattle with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995;61:1586–1590.
- Cristancho L, Johnson RP, McEwen SA, and Gyles CL. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* in white veal calves. Vet Microbiol 2008;126:200–209.
- Crump JA, Braden CR, Dey ME, Hoekstra RM, Rickelman-Apisa JM, Baldwin DA, De Fijter SJ, Nowicki SF, Koch EM, Bannerman TL, Smith FW, Sarisky JP, Hochberg N, and Mead PS. Outbreaks of *Escherichia coli* O157 infections at multiple county agricultural fairs: a hazard of mixing cattle, concession stands and children. Epidemiol Infect 2003;131:1055–1062.
- Davis MA, Cloud-Hansen KA, Carpenter J, and Hovde CJ. Escherichia coli O157:H7 in environments of culture-positive cattle. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:6816–6822.
- Davis MA, Hancock DD, Besser TE, Rice DH, Hovde CJ, Digiacomo R, Samadpour M, and Call DR. Correlation between geographic distance and genetic similarity in an international collection of bovine faecal *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates. Epidemiol Infect 2003a;131:923–930.
- Davis MA, Hancock DD, Rice DH, Call DR, DiGiacomo R, Samadpour M, and Besser TE. Feedstuffs as a vehicle of cattle exposure to *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and Salmonella enterica. Vet Microbiol 2003b;95:199–210.
- Delazari I, Iaria ST, Riemann H, Cliver DO, and Jothikumar N. Removal of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from surface tissues of beef carcasses inoculated with wet and dry manure. J Food Prot 1998;61:1265–1268.
- Denny J, Bhat M, and Eckmann K. Outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 associated with raw milk consumption in the Pacific Northwest. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2008;5:321–328.
- Doane CA, Pangloli P, Richards HA, Mount JR, Golden DA, and Draughon FA. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in diverse farm environments. J Food Prot 2007;70:6–10.
- Dontorou A, Papadopoulou C, Filioussis G, Apostolou I, Economou V, Kansouzidou A, and Levidiotou S. Isolation of a rare *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strain from farm animals in Greece. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis 2004;27:201–207.
- Dontorou C, Papadopoulou C, Filioussis G, Economou V, Apostolou I, Zakkas G, Salamoura A, Kansouzidou A, and Levidiotou S. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from foods in Greece. Int J Food Microbiol 2003;82:273–279.

- Dorn CR and Angrick EJ. Serotype O157:H7 Escherichia coli from bovine and meat sources. J Clin Microbiol 1991;29: 1225–1231.
- Doyle MP and Schoeni JL. Isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from retail fresh meats and poultry. Appl Environ Microbiol 1987;53:2394–2396.
- Dunn JR, Keen JE, Moreland D, and Alex T. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in white-tailed deer from Louisiana. J Wildl Dis 2004a;40:361–365.
- Dunn JR, Keen JE, and Thompson RA. Prevalence of Shigatoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in adult dairy cattle. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2004b;224:1151–1158.
- Duris JW, Haack SK, and Fogarty LR. Gene and antigen markers of shiga-toxin producing *E. coli* from Michigan and Indiana river water: occurrence and relation to recreational water quality criteria. J Environ Qual 2009;38:1878–1886.
- Echeverry A, Loneragan GH, Wagner BA, and Brashears MM. Effect of intensity of fecal pat sampling on estimates of *Escherichia coli* O157 prevalence. Am J Vet Res 2005;66:2023–2027.
- Edrington TS, Callaway TR, Hallford DM, Anderson RC, and Nisbet DJ. Influence of exogenous triiodothyronine (T3) on fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle. Microb Ecol 2007;53:664–669.
- Edrington TS, Callaway TR, Ives SE, Engler MJ, Looper ML, Anderson RC, and Nisbet DJ. Seasonal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in ruminants: a new hypothesis. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2006;3:413–421.
- Edrington TS, Farrow RL, Sperandio V, Hughes DT, Lawrence TE, Callaway TR, Anderson RC, and Nisbet DJ. Acyl-homoserine-lactone autoinducer in the gastrointestinal [corrected] tract of feedlot cattle and correlation to season, *E. coli* O157:H7 prevalence, and diet. Curr Microbiol 2009;58:227–232.
- Ejidokun OO, Walsh A, Barnett J, Hope Y, Ellis S, Sharp MW, Paiba GA, Logan M, Willshaw GA, and Cheasty T. Human Vero cytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) O157 infection linked to birds. Epidemiol Infect 2006;134:421–423.
- El-Sayed A, Ahmed S, and Awad W. Do camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) play an epidemiological role in the spread of Shiga Toxin producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) infection? Trop Anim Health Prod 2008;40:469–473.
- Endo Y, Tsurugi K, Yutsudo T, Takeda Y, Ogasawara T, and Igarashi K. Site of action of a Vero toxin (VT2) from *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and of Shiga toxin on eukaryotic ribosomes. RNA N-glycosidase activity of the toxins. Eur J Biochem 1988; 171:45–50.
- Erickson MC and Doyle MP. Food as a vehicle for transmission of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. J Food Prot 2007;70: 2426–2449.
- Eriksson E, Nerbrink E, Borch E, Aspan A, and Gunnarsson A. Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in the Swedish pig population. Vet Rec 2003;152:712–717.
- Ezawa A, Gocho F, Kawata K, Takahashi T, and Kikuchi N. High prevalence of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) O157 from cattle in selected regions of Japan. J Vet Med Sci 2004a;66:585–587.
- Ezawa A, Gocho F, Saitoh M, Tamura T, Kawata K, Takahashi T, and Kikuchi N. A three-year study of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157 on a farm in Japan. J Vet Med Sci 2004b; 66:779–784.
- Farzan A, Friendship RM, Cook A, and Pollari F. Occurrence of *Salmonella, Campylobacter, Yersinia enterocolitica, Escherichia coli* O157 and *Listeria monocytogenes* in Swine. Zoonoses Public Health 2009 [Epub ahead of print].

- Feder I, Gray JT, Pearce RA, Fratamico PM, Bush E, Porto-Fett A, Wallace FM, Fedorka-Cray PJ, and Luchansky JB. Testing of swine feces obtained through the National Animal Health Monitoring System's Swine 2000 study for the presence of Escherichia coli O157:H7. J Food Prot 2007;70:1489–1492.
- Feder I, Wallace FM, Gray JT, Fratamico P, Fedorka-Cray PJ, Pearce RA, Call JE, Perrine R, and Luchansky JB. Isolation of Escherichia coli O157:H7 from intact colon fecal samples of swine. Emerg Infect Dis 2003;9:380–383.
- Ferens WA, Cobbold R, and Hovde CJ. Intestinal Shiga toxinproducing *Escherichia coli* bacteria mitigate bovine leukemia virus infection in experimentally infected sheep. Infect Immun 2006;74:2906–2916.
- Fernandez D, Rodriguez EM, Arroyo GH, Padola NL, and Parma AE. Seasonal variation of Shiga toxin-encoding genes (stx) and detection of *E. coli* O157 in dairy cattle from Argentina. J Appl Microbiol 2009;106:1260–1267.
- Fischer JR, Zhao T, Doyle MP, Goldberg MR, Brown CA, Sewell CT, Kavanaugh DM, and Bauman CD. Experimental and field studies of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in white-tailed deer. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001;67:1218–1224.
- Foster G, Evans J, Knight HI, Smith AW, Gunn GJ, Allison LJ, Synge BA, and Pennycott TW. Analysis of feces samples collected from a wild-bird garden feeding station in Scotland for the presence of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72:2265–2267.
- Foster G, Hopkins GF, Gunn GJ, Ternent HE, Thomson-Carter F, Knight HI, Graham DJ, Edge V, and Synge BA. A comparison of two pre-enrichment media prior to immunomagnetic separation for the isolation of *E. coli* O157 from bovine faeces. J Appl Microbiol 2003;95:155–159.
- Fox LK. Prevalence, incidence and risk factors of heifer mastitis. Vet Microbiol 2009;134:82–88.
- Franco A, Lovari S, Cordaro G, Di Matteo P, Sorbara L, Lurescia M, Donati V, Buccella C, and Battisti A. Prevalence and concentration of Verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in adult sheep at slaughter from Italy. Zoonoses Public Health 2009; 56:215–220.
- Frank C, Kapfhammer S, Werber D, Stark K, and Held L. Cattle density and Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection in Germany: increased risk for most but not all serogroups. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2008;8:635–643.
- Friedrich AW, Borell J, Bielaszewska M, Fruth A, Tschape H, and Karch H. Shiga toxin 1c-producing *Escherichia coli* strains: phenotypic and genetic characterization and association with human disease. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:2448–2453.
- Fujisawa T, Sata S, Aikawa K, Takahashi T, Yamai S, and Shimada T. Modification of sorbitol MacConkey medium containing cefixime and tellurite for isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from radish sprouts. Appl Environ Microbiol 2000; 66:3117–3118.
- Fukushima H, Hoshina K, and Gomyoda M. Long-term survival of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O26, O111, and O157 in bovine feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65:5177–5181.
- Galland JC, Hyatt DR, Crupper SS, and Acheson DW. Prevalence, antibiotic susceptibility, and diversity of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates from a longitudinal study of beef cattle feedlots. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001;67:1619–1627.
- Garber L, Wells S, Schroeder-Tucker L, and Ferris K. Factors associated with fecal shedding of verotoxin-producing Escherichia coli O157 on dairy farms. J Food Prot 1999;62:307–312.
- Garber LP, Wells SJ, Hancock DD, Doyle MP, Tuttle J, Shere JA, and Zhao T. Risk factors for fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy calves. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1995;207:46–49.

Garcia-Sanchez A, Sanchez S, Rubio R, Pereira G, Alonso JM, Hermoso de Mendoza J, and Rey J. Presence of Shiga toxinproducing E. coli O157:H7 in a survey of wild artiodactyls. Vet Microbiol 2007;121:373–377.

- Gilbert M, Monk C, Wang HL, Diplock K, and Landry L. Screening policies for daycare attendees: lessons learned from an outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 in a daycare in Waterloo, Ontario. Can J Public Health 2008;99:281–285.
- Glass KA, Loeffelholz JM, Ford JP, and Doyle MP. Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 as affected by pH or sodium chloride and in fermented, dry sausage. Appl Environ Microbiol 1992; 58:2513–2516.
- Grant J, Wendelboe AM, Wendel A, Jepson B, Torres P, Smelser C, and Rolfs RT. Spinach-associated *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 outbreak, Utah and New Mexico, 2006. Emerg Infect Dis 2008;14:1633–1636.
- Gray MJ, Rajeev S, Miller DL, Schmutzer AC, Burton EC, Rogers ED, and Hickling GJ. Preliminary evidence that American bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) are suitable hosts for *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73:4066–4068.
- Grif K, Orth D, Lederer I, Berghold C, Roedl S, Mache CJ, Dierich MP, and Wurzner R. Importance of environmental transmission in cases of EHEC O157 causing hemolytic uremic syndrome. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2005;24: 268–271.
- Gyles CL. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*: an overview. J Anim Sci 2007;85:E45–E62.
- Hallaran G and Sumner J. Prevalence of E coli O157 in dairy cows presented for slaughter in Victoria. Aust Vet J 2001;79: 707–708.
- Hancock DD, Besser TE, Rice DH, Ebel ED, Herriott DE, and Carpenter LV. Multiple sources of *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlots and dairy farms in the northwestern USA. Prev Vet Med 1998;35:11–19.
- Hancock DD, Besser TE, Rice DH, Herriott DE, and Tarr PI. A longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in fourteen cattle herds. Epidemiol Infect 1997;118:193–195.
- Heuvelink A, Schulten S, Hoenderken R, Bijker P, and de Boer E. [Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in Dutch veal calves and beef cattle]. Tijdschr Diergeneeskd 1996;121:642–646.
- Heuvelink AE, van den Biggelaar FL, de Boer E, Herbes RG, Melchers WJ, Huis in't Veld JH, and Monnens LA. Isolation and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 strains from Dutch cattle and sheep. J Clin Microbiol 1998;36:878–882.
- Heuvelink AE, van Heerwaarden C, Zwartkruis-Nahuis JT, van Oosterom R, Edink K, van Duynhoven YT, and de Boer E. *Escherichia coli* O157 infection associated with a petting zoo. Epidemiol Infect 2002;129:295–302.
- Heuvelink AE, Zwartkruis-Nahuis JT, van den Biggelaar FL, van Leeuwen WJ, and de Boer E. Isolation and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from slaughter pigs and poultry. Int J Food Microbiol 1999;52: 67–75.
- Hiko A, Asrat D, and Zewde G. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in retail raw meat products in Ethiopia. J Infect Dev Ctries 2008;2:389–393.
- Hilborn ED, Mermin JH, Mshar PA, Hadler JL, Voetsch A, Wojtkunski C, Swartz M, Mshar R, Lambert-Fair MA, Farrar JA, Glynn MK, and Slutsker L. A multistate outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections associated with consumption of mesclun lettuce. Arch Intern Med 1999;159:1758–1764.
- Hilborn ED, Mshar PA, Fiorentino TR, Dembek ZF, Barrett TJ, Howard RT, and Cartter ML. An outbreak of *Escherichia coli*

- O157:H7 infections and haemolytic uraemic syndrome associated with consumption of unpasteurized apple cider. Epidemiol Infect 2000;124:31–36.
- Himathongkham S and Riemann H. Destruction of *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* in chicken manure by drying and/or gassing with ammonia. FEMS Microbiol Lett 1999;171:179–182.
- Himathongkham S, Riemann H, Bahari S, Nuanualsuwan S, Kass P, and Cliver DO. Survival of *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in poultry manure and manure slurry at sublethal temperatures. Avian Dis 2000;44:853–860.
- Hoffman MA, Menge C, Casey TA, Laegreid W, Bosworth BT, and Dean-Nystrom EA. Bovine immune response to shigatoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2006;13:1322–1327.
- Hong S, Oh KH, Cho SH, Kim JC, Park MS, Lim HS, and Lee BK. Asymptomatic healthy slaughterhouse workers in South Korea carrying Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol 2009;56:41–47.
- Honish L, Predy G, Hislop N, Chui L, Kowalewska-Grochowska K, Trottier L, Kreplin C, and Zazulak I. An outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 hemorrhagic colitis associated with unpasteurized gouda cheese. Can J Public Health 2005;96:182–184.
- Hughes DT, Terekhova DA, Liou LC, Hovde CJ, Sahl JW, Patankar AV, Gonzalez JE, Edrington TS, Rasko DA, and Sperandio V. Chemical sensing in mammalian host-bacterial commensal associations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2010;107: 9831–9836.
- Hussein HS. Prevalence and pathogenicity of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in beef cattle and their products. J Anim Sci 2007;85:E63–E72.
- Hussein HS, Bollinger LM. Prevalence of Shiga toxin–producing *Escherichia coli* in beef cattle. J Food Prot 2005;68:2224–2241.
- Hwang CA, Porto-Fett AC, Juneja VK, Ingham SC, Ingham BH, and Luchansky JB. Modeling the survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella Typhimurium* during fermentation, drying, and storage of soudjouk-style fermented sausage. Int J Food Microbiol 2009;129:244–252.
- Ibekwe AM, Watt PM, Grieve CM, Sharma VK, and Lyons SR. Multiplex fluorogenic real-time PCR for detection and quantification of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy wastewater wetlands. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68:4853–4862.
- Islam MA, Mondol AS, de Boer E, Beumer RR, Zwietering MH, Talukder KA, and Heuvelink AE. Prevalence and genetic characterization of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from slaughtered animals in Bangladesh. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:5414–5421.
- Iwasa M, Makino S, Asakura H, Kobori H, and Morimoto Y. Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from Musca domestica (Diptera: Muscidae) at a cattle farm in Japan. J Med Entomol 1999;36:108–112.
- Jackson SG, Goodbrand RB, Johnson RP, Odorico VG, Alves D, Rahn K, Wilson JB, Welch MK, and Khakhria R. Escherichia coli O157:H7 diarrhoea associated with well water and infected cattle on an Ontario farm. Epidemiol Infect 1998;120:17–20.
- Janes ME, Kim KS, and Johnson MG. Transmission electron microscopy study of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in apple tissue. J Food Prot 2005;68:216–224.
- Jay MT, Cooley M, Carychao D, Wiscomb GW, Sweitzer RA, Crawford-Miksza L, Farrar JA, Lau DK, O'Connell J, Millington A, Asmundson RV, Atwill ER, and Mandrell RE. Escherichia coli O157:H7 in feral swine near spinach fields and cattle, central California coast. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:1908– 1911.

- Jenkins C, Perry NT, Cheasty T, Shaw DJ, Frankel G, Dougan G, Gunn GJ, Smith HR, Paton AW, and Paton JC. Distribution of the saa gene in strains of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* of human and bovine origins. J Clin Microbiol 2003;41:1775– 1778.
- Jo MY, Kim JH, Lim JH, Kang MY, Koh HB, Park YH, Yoon DY, Chae JS, Eo SK, and Lee JH. Prevalence and characteristics of Escherichia coli O157 from major food animals in Korea. Int J Food Microbiol 2004;95:41–49.
- Johannessen GS, Bengtsson GB, Heier BT, Bredholt S, Wasteson Y, and Rorvik LM. Potential uptake of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from organic manure into crisphead lettuce. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:2221–2225.
- Johnsen G, Wasteson Y, Heir E, Berget OI, and Herikstad H. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in faeces from cattle, sheep and pigs in the southwest part of Norway during 1998 and 1999. Int J Food Microbiol 2001;65:193–200.
- Johnston MA, Harrison MA, and Morrow RA. Microbial antagonists of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on fresh-cut lettuce and spinach. J Food Prot 2009;72:1569–1575.
- Jordan KN and Maher MM. Sensitive detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7 by conventional plating techniques. J Food Prot 2006; 69:689–692.
- Kaddu-Mulindw DH, Aisu T, Gleier K, Zimmermann S, and Beutin L. Occurrence of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in fecal samples from children with diarrhea and from healthy zebu cattle in Uganda. Int J Food Microbiol 2001;66:95–101.
- Kalchayanand N, Arthur TM, Bosilevac JM, Brichta-Harhay DM, Guerini MN, Shackelford SD, Wheeler TL, and Koohmaraie M. Microbiological characterization of lamb carcasses at commercial processing plants in the United States. J Food Prot 2007;70:1811–1819.
- Kalchayanand N, Brichta-Harhay DM, Arthur TM, Bosilevac JM, Guerini MN, Wheeler TL, Shackelford SD, and Koohmaraie M. Prevalence rates of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* at different sampling sites on cattle hides at a feedlot and processing plant. J Food Prot 2009;72:1267–1271.
- Karch H, Tarr PI, and Bielaszewska M. Enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli in human medicine. Int J Med Microbiol 2005; 295:405–418.
- Karns JS, Van Kessel JS, McClusky BJ, and Perdue ML. Incidence of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and E. coli virulence factors in US bulk tank milk as determined by polymerase chain reaction. J Dairy Sci 2007;90:3212–3219.
- Kaufmann M, Zweifel C, Blanco M, Blanco JE, Blanco J, Beutin L, and Stephan R. *Escherichia coli* O157 and non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in fecal samples of finished pigs at slaughter in Switzerland. J Food Prot 2006;69: 260–266.
- Keen JE and Elder RO. Isolation of shiga-toxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 from hide surfaces and the oral cavity of finished beef feedlot cattle. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2002;220:756–763.
- Keen JE, Wittum TE, Dunn JR, Bono JL, and Durso LM. Shigatoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 in agricultural fair livestock, United States. Emerg Infect Dis 2006;12:780–786.
- Keene WE, Hedberg K, Herriott DE, Hancock DD, McKay RW, Barrett TJ, and Fleming DW. A prolonged outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections caused by commercially distributed raw milk. J Infect Dis 1997;176:815–818.
- Keene WE, McAnulty JM, Hoesly FC, Williams LP Jr., Hedberg K, Oxman GL, Barrett TJ, Pfaller MA, and Fleming DW. A swimming-associated outbreak of hemorrhagic colitis caused by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and Shigella sonnei. N Engl J Med 1994;331:579–584.

- Khaitsa ML, Smith DR, Stoner JA, Parkhurst AM, Hinkley S, Klopfenstein TJ, and Moxley RA. Incidence, duration, and prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 fecal shedding by feedlot cattle during the finishing period. J Food Prot 2003;66:1972–1977.
- Kim J, Luo F, and Jiang X. Factors impacting the regrowth of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy manure compost. J Food Prot 2009a;72:1576–1584.
- Kim JK and Harrison MA. Transfer of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 to romaine lettuce due to contact water from melting ice. J Food Prot 2008;71:252–256.
- Knight KP and McKellar RC. Influence of cinnamon and clove essential oils on the D- and z-values of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in apple cider. J Food Prot 2007;70:2089–2094.
- Kobayashi M, Sasaki T, Saito N, Tamura K, Suzuki K, Watanabe H, and Agui N. Houseflies: not simple mechanical vectors of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999;61:625–629.
- Koch C, Hertwig S, Lurz R, Appel B, and Beutin L. Isolation of a lysogenic bacteriophage carrying the stx(1(OX3)) gene, which is closely associated with Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia* coli strains from sheep and humans. J Clin Microbiol 2001; 39:3992–3998.
- Kudva IT, Blanch K, and Hovde CJ. Analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 survival in ovine or bovine manure and manure slurry. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998;64:3166–3174.
- Kudva IT, Hatfield PG, and Hovde CJ. Characterization of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and other Shiga toxin-producing E. coli serotypes isolated from sheep. J Clin Microbiol 1997;35:892–899.
- Kyle JL, Parker CT, Goudeau D, and Brandl MT. Transcriptome analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 exposed to lysates of lettuce leaves. Appl Environ Microbiol 2010;76:1375–1387.
- La Ragione RM, Best A, Clifford D, Weyer U, Johnson L, Marshall RN, Marshall J, Cooley WA, Farrelly S, Pearson GR, and Woodward MJ. Influence of colostrum deprivation and concurrent *Cryptosporidium parvum infection* on the colonization and persistence of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 in young lambs. J Med Microbiol 2006;55:819–828.
- La Ragione RM, Best A, Woodward MJ, and Wales AD. Escherichia coli O157:H7 colonization in small domestic ruminants. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2009;33:394–410.
- Lahti E, Eklund M, Ruutu P, Siitonen A, Rantala L, Nuorti P, and Honkanen-Buzalski T. Use of phenotyping and genotyping to verify transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from dairy farms. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2002;21:189–195.
- Lahti E, Hirvela-Koski V, and Honkanen-Buzalski T. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus*). Vet Rec 2001a;148:633–634.
- Lahti E, Keskimaki M, Rantala L, Hyvonen P, Siitonen A, and Honkanen-Buzalski T. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in Finnish cattle. Vet Microbiol 2001b;79:239–251.
- Lahti E, Ruoho O, Rantala L, Hanninen ML, and Honkanen-Buzalski T. Longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157 in a cattle finishing unit. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:554–561.
- Laven RA, Ashmore A, and Stewart CS. *Escherichia coli* in the rumen and colon of slaughter cattle, with particular reference to *E. coli* O157. Vet J 2003;165:78–83.
- LeJeune JT, Besser TE, and Hancock DD. Cattle water troughs as reservoirs of *Escherichia coli* O157. Appl Environ Microbiol 2001;67:3053–3057.
- LeJeune JT, Besser TE, Rice DH, Berg JL, Stilborn RP, and Hancock DD. Longitudinal study of fecal shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in feedlot cattle: predominance and persistence of specific clonal types despite massive cattle population turnover. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:377–384.

LeJeune JT, Hancock D, Wasteson Y, Skjerve E, and Urdahl AM. Comparison of *E. coli* O157 and Shiga toxin-encoding genes (stx) prevalence between Ohio, USA and Norwegian dairy cattle. Int J Food Microbiol 2006a;109:19–24.

- LeJeune JT, Hancock DD, and Besser TE. Sensitivity of *Escherichia coli* O157 detection in bovine feces assessed by broth enrichment followed by immunomagnetic separation and direct plating methodologies. J Clin Microbiol 2006b;44:872–875.
- LeJeune JT and Wetzel AN. Preharvest control of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle. J Anim Sci 2007;85:E73–E80.
- Lenahan M, O'Brien S, Kinsella K, Sweeney T, and Sheridan JJ. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on Irish lamb carcasses, fleece and in faeces samples. J Appl Microbiol 2007;103:2401–2409.
- Licence K, Oates KR, Synge BA, and Reid TM. An outbreak of *E. coli* O157 infection with evidence of spread from animals to man through contamination of a private water supply. Epidemiol Infect 2001;126:135–138.
- Lim JY, La HJ, Sheng H, Forney LJ, and Hovde CJ. Plasmid O157 influences Escherichia coli O157:H7 Sakai biofilm formation. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;76:963–966.
- Lin YL, Chou CC, and Pan TM. Screening procedure from cattle feces and the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Taiwan dairy cattle. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2001;34:17–24.
- Locking ME, O'Brien SJ, Reilly WJ, Wright EM, Campbell DM, Coia JE, Browning LM, and Ramsay CN. Risk factors for sporadic cases of *Escherichia coli* O157 infection: the importance of contact with animal excreta. Epidemiol Infect 2001; 127:215–220.
- Luo Y, He Q, McEvoy JL, and Conway WS. Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in the presence of indigenous microorganisms on commercially packaged baby spinach, as impacted by storage temperature and time. J Food Prot 2009;72:2038–2045.
- MacDonald DM, Fyfe M, Paccagnella A, Trinidad A, Louie K, and Patrick D. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 outbreak linked to salami, British Columbia, Canada, 1999. Epidemiol Infect 2004;132:283–289.
- Mak PP, Ingham BH, and Ingham SC. Validation of apple cider pasteurization treatments against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella*, and *Listeria monocytogenes*. J Food Prot 2001;64: 1679–1689.
- Malone AS, Yousef AE, and LeJeune JT. Association of prophage antiterminator Q alleles and susceptibility to food-processing treatments applied to *Escherichia coli* O157 in laboratory media. J Food Prot 2007;70:2617–2619.
- Manna SK, Manna C, Batabyal K, Das B, Golder D, Chattopadhyay S, and Biswas BK. Serogroup distribution and virulence characteristics of sorbitol-negative *Escherichia coli* from food and cattle stool. J Appl Microbiol 2009;108: 658–665.
- Mannix M, Whyte D, McNamara E, O Connell N, Fitzgerald R, Mahony M, Prendiville T, Norris T, Curtin A, Carroll A, Whelan E, Buckley J, McCarthy J, Murphy M, and Greally T. Large outbreak of *E. coli* O157 in 2005, Ireland. Eurosurveillance 2007;12:54–56.
- Marouani-Gadri N, Augier G, and Carpentier B. Characterization of bacterial strains isolated from a beef-processing plant following cleaning and disinfection—Influence of isolated strains on biofilm formation by Sakai and EDL 933 *E. coli* O157:H7. Int J Food Microbiol 2009a;133:62–67.
- Marouani-Gadri N, Chassaing D, and Carpentier B. Comparative evaluation of biofilm formation and tolerance to a chemical shock of pathogenic and nonpathogenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains. J Food Prot 2009b;72:157–164.

- Masana MO, Leotta GA, Del Castillo LL, D'Astek BA, Palladino PM, Galli L, Vilacoba E, Carbonari C, Rodriguez HR, and Rivas M. Prevalence, characterization, and genotypic analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7/NM from selected beef exporting abattoirs of Argentina. J Food Prot 2010;73:649–656.
- Matthews L, McKendrick IJ, Ternent H, Gunn GJ, Synge B, and Woolhouse ME. Super-shedding cattle and the transmission dynamics of *Escherichia coli* O157. Epidemiol Infect 2006; 134:131–142.
- Matthysse AG, Deora R, Mishra M, and Torres AG. Polysaccharides cellulose, poly-beta-1,6-n-acetyl-D-glucosamine, and colanic acid are required for optimal binding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains to alfalfa sprouts and K-12 strains to plastic but not for binding to epithelial cells. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:2384–2390.
- McGee P, Bolton DJ, Sheridan JJ, Earley B, Kelly G, and Leonard N. Survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in farm water: its role as a vector in the transmission of the organism within herds. J Appl Microbiol 2002;93:706–713.
- McGee P, Scott L, Sheridan JJ, Earley B, and Leonard N. Horizontal transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during cattle housing. J Food Prot 2004;67:2651–2656.
- Mead PS, Finelli L, Lambert-Fair MA, Champ D, Townes J, Hutwagner L, Barrett T, Spitalny K, and Mintz E. Risk factors for sporadic infection with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Arch Intern Med 1997;157:204–208.
- Mechie SC, Chapman PA, and Siddons CA. A fifteen month study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a dairy herd. Epidemiol Infect 1997;118:17–25.
- Meng J, Zhao S, Zhao T, and Doyle MP. Molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis and plasmid DNA analysis. J Med Microbiol 1995;42:258–263.
- Michino H, Araki K, Minami S, Takaya S, Sakai N, Miyazaki M, Ono A, and Yanagawa H. Massive outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in schoolchildren in Sakai City, Japan, associated with consumption of white radish sprouts. Am J Epidemiol 1999;150:787–796.
- Milnes AS, Sayers AR, Stewart I, Clifton-Hadley FA, Davies RH, Newell DG, Cook AJ, Evans SJ, Smith RP, and Paiba GA. Factors related to the carriage of Verocytotoxigenic *E. coli, Salmonella*, thermophilic *Campylobacter* and *Yersinia enterocolitica* in cattle, sheep and pigs at slaughter. Epidemiol Infect 2009;137:1135–1148.
- Milnes AS, Stewart I, Clifton-Hadley FA, Davies RH, Newell DG, Sayers AR, Cheasty T, Cassar C, Ridley A, Cook AJ, Evans SJ, Teale CJ, Smith RP, McNally A, Toszeghy M, Futter R, Kay A, and Paiba GA. Intestinal carriage of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157, *Salmonella*, thermophilic *Campylobacter* and *Yersinia enterocolitica*, in cattle, sheep and pigs at slaughter in Great Britain during 2003. Epidemiol Infect 2008;136:739–751.
- Mitra R, Cuesta-Alonso E, Wayadande A, Talley J, Gilliland S, and Fletcher J. Effect of route of introduction and host cultivar on the colonization, internalization, and movement of the human pathogen *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in spinach. J Food Prot 2009;72:1521–1530.
- Mootian G, Wu WH, and Matthews KR. Transfer of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from soil, water, and manure contaminated with low numbers of the pathogen to lettuce plants. J Food Prot 2009;72:2308–2312.
- Mukherjee A, Cho S, Scheftel J, Jawahir S, Smith K, and Diez-Gonzalez F. Soil survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 acquited by a child from garden soil recently fertilized with cattle manure. J Appl Microbiol 2006;101:429–436.

- Muniesa M, Jofre J, Garcia-Aljaro C, and Blanch AR. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in the environment. Environ Sci Technol 2006;40: 7141–7149.
- Murinda SE, Nguyen LT, Ivey SJ, Gillespie BE, Almeida RA, Draughon FA, and Oliver SP. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in bulk tank milk and fecal samples from cull cows: a 12-month survey of dairy farms in east Tennessee. J Food Prot 2002;65:752–759.
- Narang N, Fratamico PM, Tillman G, Pupedis K, and Cray WC Jr. Performance comparison of a fliC(h7) real-time PCR assay with an H7 latex agglutination test for confirmation of the H type of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. J Food Prot 2009;72:2195–2197.
- Nautiyal CS. Self-purificatory Ganga water facilitates death of pathogenic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Curr Microbiol 2009;58: 25–29.
- Nickerson SC, Owens WE, and Boddie RL. Mastitis in dairy heifers: initial studies on prevalence and control. J Dairy Sci 1995;78:1607–1618.
- Nielsen EM, Tegtmeier C, Andersen HJ, Gronbaek C, and Andersen JS. Influence of age, sex and herd characteristics on the occurrence of Verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in Danish dairy farms. Vet Microbiol 2002;88:245–257.
- O'Brien AD, Melton AR, Schmitt CK, McKee ML, Batts ML, and Griffin DE. Profile of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 pathogen responsible for hamburger-borne outbreak of hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome in Washington. J Clin Microbiol 1993;31:2799–2801.
- Ogden ID, Hepburn NF, MacRae M, Strachan NJ, Fenlon DR, Rusbridge SM, and Pennington TH. Long-term survival of *Escherichia coli* O157 on pasture following an outbreak associated with sheep at a scout camp. Lett Appl Microbiol 2002; 34:100–104.
- Omisakin F, MacRae M, Ogden ID, and Strachan NJ. Concentration and prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle feces at slaughter. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:2444–2447.
- Orden JA, Cortes C, Horcajo P, De la Fuente R, Blanco JE, Mora A, Lopez C, Blanco J, Contreras A, Sanchez A, Corrales JC, and Dominguez-Bernal G. A longitudinal study of verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in two dairy goat herds. Vet Microbiol 2008;132:428–434.
- Orth D, Grif K, Zimmerhackl LB, and Wurzner R. Sorbitolfermenting Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in Austria. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2009;121:108–112.
- Paiba GA, Gibbens JC, Pascoe SJ, Wilesmith JW, Kidd SA, Byrne C, Ryan JB, Smith RP, McLaren M, Futter RJ, Kay AC, Jones YE, Chappell SA, Willshaw GA, and Cheasty T. Faecal carriage of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle and sheep at slaughter in Great Britain. Vet Rec 2002;150:593–598.
- Paiba GA, Wilesmith JW, Evans SJ, Pascoe SJ, Smith RP, Kidd SA, Ryan JB, McLaren IM, Chappell SA, Willshaw GA, Cheasty T, French NP, Jones TW, Buchanan HF, Challoner DJ, Colloff AD, Cranwell MP, Daniel RG, Davies IH, Duff JP, Hogg RA, Kirby FD, Millar MF, Monies RJ, Nicholls MJ, and Payne JH. Prevalence of faecal excretion of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle in England and Wales. Vet Rec 2003;153:347–353.
- Paros M, Tarr PI, Kim H, Besser TE, and Hancock DD. A comparison of human and bovine *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates by toxin genotype, plasmid profile, and bacteriophage lambda-restriction fragment length polymorphism profile. J Infect Dis 1993;168:1300–1303.
- Parry SM, Salmon RL, Willshaw GA, and Cheasty T. Risk factors for and prevention of sporadic infections with vero cytotoxin

(shiga toxin) producing *Escherichia coli* O157. Lancet 1998;351: 1019–1022.

- Porto-Fett AC, Hwang CA, Call JE, Juneja VK, Ingham SC, Ingham BH, and Luchansky JB. Viability of multi-strain mixtures of Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella typhimurium, or Escherichia coli O157:H7 inoculated into the batter or onto the surface of a soudjouk-style fermented semi-dry sausage. Food Microbiol 2008;25:793–801.
- Pradel N, Bertin Y, Martin C, and Livrelli V. Molecular analysis of shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from hemolytic-uremic syndrome patients and dairy samples in France. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008;74:2118–2128.
- Pritchard GC, Smith R, Ellis-Iversen J, Cheasty T, and Willshaw GA. Verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157 in animals on public amenity premises in England and Wales, 1997 to 2007. Vet Rec 2009;164:545–549.
- Proctor ME, Kurzynski T, Koschmann C, Archer JR, and Davis JP. Four strains of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolated from patients during an outbreak of disease associated with ground beef: importance of evaluating multiple colonies from an outbreak-associated product. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:1530–1533.
- Pruimboom-Brees IM, Morgan TW, Ackermann MR, Nystrom ED, Samuel JE, Cornick NA, and Moon HW. Cattle lack vascular receptors for *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Shiga toxins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000;97:10325–10329.
- Psoni L, Kotzamanidis C, Yiangou M, Tzanetakis N, and Litopoulou-Tzanetaki E. Genotypic and phenotypic diversity of *Lactococcus lactis* isolates from Batzos, a Greek PDO raw goat milk cheese. Int J Food Microbiol 2007;114:211–220.
- Pu S, Beaulieu JC, Prinyawiwatkul W, and Ge B. Effects of plant maturity and growth media bacterial inoculum level on the surface contamination and internalization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in growing spinach leaves. J Food Prot 2009;72:2313– 2320.
- Rahn K, Renwick SA, Johnson RP, Wilson JB, Clarke RC, Alves D, McEwen S, Lior H, and Spika J. Persistence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy cattle and the dairy farm environment. Epidemiol Infect 1997;119:251–259.
- Randall LP, Wray C, and Davies RH. Survival of verocytotoxinproducing *Escherichia coli* O157 under simulated farm conditions. Vet Rec 1999;145:500–501.
- Rangel JM, Sparling PH, Crowe C, Griffin PM, and Swerdlow DL. Epidemiology of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 outbreaks, United States, 1982–2002. Emerg Infect Dis 2005;11:603–609.
- Ratnam S, March SB, Ahmed R, Bezanson GS, and Kasatiya S. Characterization of *Escherichia coli* serotype O157:H7. J Clin Microbiol 1988;26:2006–2012.
- Ravva SV, Sarreal CZ, Duffy B, and Stanker LH. Survival of Escherichia coli O157:H7 in wastewater from dairy lagoons. J Appl Microbiol 2006;101:891–902.
- Reinders RD, Bijker PG, Huis In't Veld JH, and Van Knapen F. Use of 8-hydroxyquinoline-beta-D-glucuronide for presumptive identification of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157. Lett Appl Microbiol 2000;30:411–414.
- Reinstein S, Fox JT, Shi X, Alam MJ, and Nagaraja TG. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in the American Bison (*Bison bison*) J Food Prot 2007;70:2555–2560.
- Renter DG, Sargeant JM, Hygnstorm SE, Hoffman JD, and Gillespie JR. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in free-ranging deer in Nebraska. J Wildl Dis 2001;37:755–760.
- Renter DG, Sargeant JM, Oberst RD, and Samadpour M. Diversity, frequency, and persistence of *Escherichia coli* O157 strains from range cattle environments. Appl Environ Microbiol 2003;69:542–547.

Rice DH, Hancock DD, and Besser TE. Faecal culture of wild animals for *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. Vet Rec 2003;152:82–83.

- Rice EW and Johnson CH. Short communication: survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy cattle drinking water. J Dairy Sci 2000;83:2021–2023.
- Richards HA, Perez-Conesa D, Doane CA, Gillespie BE, Mount JR, Oliver SP, Pangloli P, and Draughon FA. Genetic Characterization of a Diverse *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Population from a Variety of Farm Environments. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2006;3:259–265.
- Richards MS, Corkish JD, Sayers AR, McLaren IM, Evans SJ, and Wray C. Studies of the presence of verocytotoxic *Escherichia coli* O157 in bovine faeces submitted for diagnostic purposes in England and Wales and on beef carcases in abattoirs in the United Kingdom. Epidemiol Infect 1998;120:187–192.
- Riley DG, Gray JT, Loneragan GH, Barling KS, and Chase CC Jr. Escherichia coli O157:H7 prevalence in fecal samples of cattle from a southeastern beef cow-calf herd. J Food Prot 2003;66: 1778–1782.
- Roberts CL, Mshar PA, Cartter ML, Hadler JL, Sosin DM, Hayes PS, and Barrett TJ. The role of heightened surveillance in an outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157.H7. Epidemiol Infect 1995; 115:447–454.
- Rogerie F, Marecat A, Gambade S, Dupond F, Beaubois P, and Lange M. Characterization of Shiga toxin producing *E. coli* and O157 serotype *E. coli* isolated in France from healthy domestic cattle. Int J Food Microbiol 2001;63:217–223.
- Roldgaard BB, Scheutz F, Boel J, Aabo S, Schultz AC, Cheasty T, Nielsen EM, Olsen KE, and Christensen BB. VTEC 0157 subtypes associated with the most severe clinical symptoms in humans constitute a minor part of VTEC 0157 isolates from Danish cattle. Int J Med Microbiol 2004;294:255–259.
- Rozand C and Feng PC. Specificity analysis of a novel phagederived ligand in an enzyme-linked fluorescent assay for the detection of Escherichia coli O157:H7. J Food Prot 2009;72:1078–1081.
- Rugbjerg H, Nielsen EM, and Andersen JS. Risk factors associated with faecal shedding of verocytotoxin-producing Escherichia coli O157 in eight known-infected Danish dairy herds. Prev Vet Med 2003;58:101–113.
- Rulofson FC, Atwill ER, and Holmberg CA. Fecal shedding of *Giardia duodenalis, Cryptosporidium parvum, Salmonella* organisms, and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from llamas in California. Am J Vet Res 2001;62:637–642.
- Rump LV, Feng PC, Fischer M, and Monday SR. Genetic analysis for the non-expression of O157 antigen in an O rough:H7 *Escherichia coli* strain. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;76:945–947.
- Ryan CA, Tauxe RV, Hosek GW, Wells JG, Stoesz PA, McFadden HW Jr., Smith PW, Wright GF, and Blake PA. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 diarrhea in a nursing home: clinical, epidemiological, and pathological findings. J Infect Dis 1986;154: 631–638.
- Samadpour M, Stewart J, Steingart K, Addy C, Louderback J, McGinn M, Ellington J, and Newman T. Laboratory investigation of an E. coli O157:H7 outbreak associated with swimming in Battle Ground Lake, Vancouver, Washington. J Environ Health 2002;64:16–20, 26, 25.
- Sanchez S, Martinez R, Garcia A, Vidal D, Blanco J, Blanco M, Blanco JE, Mora A, Herrera-Leon S, Echeita A, Alonso JM, and Rey J. Detection and characterisation of O157:H7 and non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in wild boars. Vet Microbiol 2009a;143:420–423.
- Sanchez S, Martinez R, Rey J, Garcia A, Blanco J, Blanco M, Blanco JE, Mora A, Herrera-Leon S, Echeita A, and Alonso JM. Pheno-genotypic characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7

- isolates from domestic and wild ruminants. Vet Microbiol 2009b;142:445–449.
- Sanderson MW, Sargeant JM, Shi X, Nagaraja TG, Zurek L, and Alam MJ. Longitudinal emergence and distribution of Escherichia coli O157 genotypes in a beef feedlot. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72:7614–7619.
- Sargeant JM, Hafer DJ, Gillespie JR, Oberst RD, and Flood SJ. Prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in white-tailed deer sharing rangeland with cattle. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1999;215: 792–794.
- Sargeant JM, Sanderson MW, Smith RA, and Griffin DD. *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlot cattle feces and water in four major feeder-cattle states in the USA. Prev Vet Med 2003;61: 127–135.
- Sartz L, De Jong B, Hjertqvist M, Plym-Forshell L, Alsterlund R, Lofdahl S, Osterman B, Stahl A, Eriksson E, Hansson HB, and Karpman D. An outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infection in southern Sweden associated with consumption of fermented sausage; aspects of sausage production that increase the risk of contamination. Epidemiol Infect 2008;136:370–380.
- Scaife HR, Cowan D, Finney J, Kinghorn-Perry SF, and Crook B. Wild rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) as potential carriers of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli*. Vet Rec 2006;159: 175–178.
- Schlesser JE, Gerdes R, Ravishankar S, Madsen K, Mowbray J, and Teo AY. Survival of a five-strain cocktail of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during the 60-day aging period of cheddar cheese made from unpasteurized milk. J Food Prot 2006;69:990–998.
- Schoeni JL and Doyle MP. Variable colonization of chickens perorally inoculated with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and subsequent contamination of eggs. Appl Environ Microbiol 1994; 60:2958–2962.
- Schouten JM, Bouwknegt M, van de Giessen AW, Frankena K, De Jong MC, and Graat EA. Prevalence estimation and risk factors for *Escherichia coli* O157 on Dutch dairy farms. Prev Vet Med 2004;64:49–61.
- Schouten JM, Graat EA, Frankena K, Van Zijderveld F, and De Jong MC. Transmission and quantification of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in dairy cattle and calves. Epidemiol Infect 2009;137:114–123.
- Scott L, McGee P, Minihan D, Sheridan JJ, Earley B, and Leonard N. The characterisation of *E. coli* O157:H7 isolates from cattle faeces and feedlot environment using PFGE. Vet Microbiol 2006a;114:331–336.
- Scott L, McGee P, Sheridan JJ, Earley B, and Leonard N. A comparison of the survival in feces and water of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 grown under laboratory conditions or obtained from cattle feces. J Food Prot 2006b;69:6–11.
- Sehgal R, Kumar Y, and Kumar S. Prevalence and geographical distribution of *Escherichia coli* O157 in India: a 10-year survey. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2008;102:380–383.
- Seker E, Kuyucuoglu Y, Sareyyupoglu B, and Yardimci H. PCR detection of Shiga toxins, enterohaemolysin and intimin virulence genes of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains isolated from faeces of Anatolian water buffaloes in Turkey. Zoonoses Public Health 2009 [Epub ahead of print].
- Serna AT and Boedeker EC. Pathogenesis and treatment of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infections. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2008;24:38–47.
- Seto EY, Soller JA, and Colford JM Jr. Strategies to reduce person-to-person transmission during widespread *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 outbreak. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:860–866.
- Shaw RK, Berger CN, Feys B, Knutton S, Pallen MJ, and Frankel G. Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* exploits EspA filaments

- for attachment to salad leaves. Appl Environ Microbiol 2008; 74:2908–2914.
- Shefer AM, Koo D, Werner SB, Mintz ED, Baron R, Wells JG, Barrett TJ, Ginsberg M, Bryant R, Abbott S, and Griffin PM. A cluster of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections with the hemolytic-uremic syndrome and death in California. A mandate for improved surveillance. West J Med 1996;165:15–19.
- Sheng H, Davis MA, Knecht HJ, and Hovde CJ. Rectal administration of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7: novel model for colonization of ruminants. Appl Environ Microbiol 2004;70:4588–4595.
- Shere JA, Bartlett KJ, and Kaspar CW. Longitudinal study of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 dissemination on four dairy farms in Wisconsin. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998;64:1390–1399.
- Shere JA, Kaspar CW, Bartlett KJ, Linden SE, Norell B, Francey S, and Schaefer DM. Shedding of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy cattle housed in a confined environment following waterborne inoculation. Appl Environ Microbiol 2002;68:1947–1954.
- Silagyi K, Kim SH, Lo YM, and Wei CI. Production of biofilm and quorum sensing by *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and its transfer from contact surfaces to meat, poultry, ready-to-eat deli, and produce products. Food Microbiol 2009;26:514–519.
- Silvestro L, Caputo M, Blancato S, Decastelli L, Fioravanti A, Tozzoli R, Morabito S, and Caprioli A. Asymptomatic carriage of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in farm workers in Northern Italy. Epidemiol Infect 2004;132:915–919.
- Skandamis PN, Stopforth JD, Ashton LV, Geornaras I, Kendall PA, and Sofos JN. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 survival, biofilm formation and acid tolerance under simulated slaughter plant moist and dry conditions. Food Microbiol 2009;26:112–119.
- Small A, Reid CA, and Buncic S. Conditions in lairages at abattoirs for ruminants in southwest England and *in vitro* survival of *Escherichia coli* O157, *Salmonella Kedougou*, and *Campylobacter jejuni* on lairage-related substrates. J Food Prot 2003;66:1570–1575.
- Solomon EB, Pang HJ, and Matthews KR. Persistence of Escherichia coli O157:H7 on lettuce plants following spray irrigation with contaminated water. J Food Prot 2003;66:2198–2202.
- Sproston EL, Macrae M, Ogden ID, Wilson MJ, and Strachan NJ. Slugs: potential novel vectors of *Escherichia coli* O157. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72:144–149.
- Stampi S, Caprioli A, De Luca G, Quaglio P, Sacchetti R, and Zanetti F. Detection of *Escherichia coli* O157 in bovine meat products in northern Italy. Int J Food Microbiol 2004;90:257–262.
- Stanford K, Croy D, Bach SJ, Wallins GL, Zahiroddini H, and McAllister TA. Ecology of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in commercial dairies in southern Alberta. J Dairy Sci 2005;88:4441–4451.
- Stephan R and Schumacher S. Resistance patterns of non-O157 Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) strains isolated from animals, food and asymptomatic human carriers in Switzerland. Lett Appl Microbiol 2001;32:114–117.
- Stephan R, Schumacher S, Corti S, Krause G, Danuser J, and Beutin L. Prevalence and characteristics of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in Swiss raw milk cheeses collected at producer level. J Dairy Sci 2008;91:2561–2565.
- Stephens TP, McAllister TA, and Stanford K. Perineal swabs reveal effect of super shedders on the transmission of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in commercial feedlots. J Anim Sci 2009; 87:4151–4160.
- Strachan NJ, Fenlon DR, and Ogden ID. Modelling the vector pathway and infection of humans in an environmental outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2001;203: 69–73.

Strawn LK and Danyluk MD. Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* spp. on fresh and frozen cut mangoes and papayas. Int J Food Microbiol 2009;138:78–84.

- Szalanski AL, Owens CB, McKay T, and Steelman CD. Detection of *Campylobacter* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from filth flies by polymerase chain reaction. Med Vet Entomol 2004;18:241–246.
- Talley JL, Wayadande AC, Wasala LP, Gerry AC, Fletcher J, DeSilva U, and Gilliland SE. Association of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 with filth flies (*Muscidae* and *Calliphoridae*) captured in leafy greens fields and experimental transmission of *E. coli* O157:H7 to spinach leaves by house flies (Diptera: *Muscidae*). J Food Prot 2009;72:1547–1552.
- Toth I, Schmidt H, Kardos G, Lancz Z, Creuzburg K, Damjanova I, Paszti J, Beutin L, and Nagy B. Virulence genes and molecular typing of different groups of *Escherichia coli* O157 strains in cattle. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:6282–6291.
- Tutenel AV, Pierard D, Uradzinski J, Jozwik E, Pastuszczak M, Van Hende J, Uyttendaele M, Debevere J, Cheasty T, Van Hoof J, and De Zutter L. Isolation and characterization of enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:1H7 from cattle in Belgium and Poland. Epidemiol Infect 2002;129:41–47.
- Tuttle J, Gomez T, Doyle MP, Wells JG, Zhao T, Tauxe RV, and Griffin PM. Lessons from a large outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections: insights into the infectious dose and method of widespread contamination of hamburger patties. Epidemiol Infect 1999;122:185–192.
- Tuyet DTN, Yassibanda S, Thi PLN, Koyenede MR, Gouall M, Bekondi C, Mazzi J, and Germani Y. Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli O157 in Bangui and N'Goila, Central African Republic: a brief report. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006;75:513– 535.
- Ueda S and Kuwabara Y. Susceptibility of biofilm *Escherichia coli, Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* to detergents and sanitizers. Biocontrol Sci 2007;12:149–153.
- Uljas HE and Ingham SC. Combinations of intervention treatments resulting in 5-log10-unit reductions in numbers of Escherichia coli O157:H7 and Salmonella typhimurium DT104 organisms in apple cider. Appl Environ Microbiol 1999;65: 1924–1929.
- Valcour JE, Michel P, McEwen SA, and Wilson JB. Associations between indicators of livestock farming intensity and incidence of human Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection. Emerg Infect Dis 2002;8:252–257.
- Van Donkersgoed J, Bohaychuk V, Besser T, Song XM, Wagner B, Hancock D, Renter D, and Dargatz D. Occurrence of foodborne bacteria in Alberta feedlots. Can Vet J 2009;50:166–172.
- Van Donkersgoed J, Graham T, and Gannon V. The prevalence of verotoxins, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and *Salmonella* in the feces and rumen of cattle at processing. Can Vet J 1999;40: 332–338.
- Van Donkersgoed J, Hancock D, Rogan D, and Potter AA. Escherichia coli O157:H7 vaccine field trial in 9 feedlots in Alberta and Saskatchewan. Can Vet J 2005;46:724–728.
- Vanaja SK, Springman AC, Besser TE, Whittam TS, and Manning SD. Differential expression of virulence and stress fitness genes between *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains with clinical or bovinebiased genotypes. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;76:60–68.
- Verma A, Bolton FJ, Fiefield D, Lamb P, Woloschin E, Smith N, and McCann R. An outbreak of *E. coli* O157 associated with a swimming pool: an unusual vehicle of transmission. Epidemiol Infect 2007;135:989–992.
- Vidovic S, Germida JJ, and Korber DR. Sensitivity of two techniques to detect *Escherichia coli* O157 in naturally infected bovine fecal samples. Food Microbiol 2007;24:633–639.

Vital M, Hammes F, and Egli T. *Escherichia coli* O157 can grow in natural freshwater at low carbon concentrations. Environ Microbiol 2008;10:2387–2396.

- Vogt RL and Dippold L. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 outbreak associated with consumption of ground beef, June-July 2002. Public Health Rep 2005;120:174–178.
- Vold L, Sandberg M, Jarp J, and Wasteson Y. Occurrence and characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157 isolated from cattle in Norway. Vet Res Commun 2001;25:13–26.
- von Muffling T, Smaijlovic M, Nowak B, Sammet K, Bulte M, and Klein G. Preliminary study of certain serotypes, genetic and antimicrobial resistance profiles of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (VTEC) isolated in Bosnia and Germany from cattle or pigs and their products. Int J Food Microbiol 2007; 117:185–191.
- Wachtel MR, Whitehand LC, and Mandrell RE. Association of Escherichia coli O157:H7 with preharvest leaf lettuce upon exposure to contaminated irrigation water. J Food Prot 2002; 65:18–25.
- Wahi S, Bansal S, Ghosh M, and Ganguli A. Growth and survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during manufacture and storage of Indian cheese (paneer). Foodborne Pathog Dis 2006; 3:184–189.
- Wallace JS, Cheasty T, and Jones K. Isolation of vero cytotoxinproducing Escherichia coli O157 from wild birds. J Appl Microbiol 1997;82:399–404.
- Walters SP, Gannon VP, and Field KG. Detection of *Bacteroidales* fecal indicators and the zoonotic pathogens *E. coli* 0157:H7, salmonella, and campylobacter in river water. Environ Sci Technol 2007;41:1856–1862.
- Wang G, Zhao T, and Doyle MP. Fate of enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli O157:H7 in bovine feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 1996;62:2567–2570.
- Wasteson Y, Johannessen GS, Bruheim T, Urdahl AM, O'Sullivan K, and Rorvik LM. Fluctuations in the occurrence of Escherichia coli O157:H7 on a Norwegian farm*. Lett Appl Microbiol 2005;40:373–377.
- Wells JE, Berry ED, and Varel VH. Effects of common forage phenolic acids on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 viability in bovine feces. Appl Environ Microbiol 2005;71:7974–7979.
- Wells JE, Shackelford SD, Berry ED, Kalchayanand N, Guerini MN, Varel VH, Arthur TM, Bosilevac JM, Freetly HC, Wheeler TL, Ferrell CL, and Koohmaraie M. Prevalence and level of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in feces and on hides of feedlot steers fed diets with or without wet distillers grains with solubles. J Food Prot 2009a;72:1624–1633.
- Wells JG, Shipman LD, Greene KD, et al. Isolation of Escherichia coli serotype O157:H7 and other Shiga-like-toxin-producing E. coli from dairy cattle. J Clin Microbiol 1991;29:985–989.
- Wells TJ, McNeilly TN, Totsika M, Mahajan A, Gally DL, and Schembri MA. The *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 EhaB autotransporter protein binds to laminin and collagen I and induces a serum IgA response in O157:H7 challenged cattle. Environ Microbiol 2009b;11:1803–1814.
- Werber D, Behnke SC, Fruth A, Merle R, Menzler S, Glaser S, Kreienbrock L, Prager R, Tschape H, Roggentin P, Bockemuhl J, and Ammon A. Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* infection in Germany: different risk factors for different age groups. Am J Epidemiol 2007;165: 425–434.
- Werber D, Mason BW, Evans MR, and Salmon RL. Preventing household transmission of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 infection: promptly separating siblings might be the key. Clin Infect Dis 2008;46:1189–1196.

- Wetzel AN and LeJeune JT. Clonal dissemination of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 subtypes among dairy farms in northeast Ohio. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006;72:2621–2626.
- Wilkes G, Edge T, Gannon V, Jokinen C, Lyautey E, Medeiros D, Neumann N, Ruecker N, Topp E, and Lapen DR. Seasonal relationships among indicator bacteria, pathogenic bacteria, *Cryptosporidium* oocysts, *Giardia* cysts, and hydrological indices for surface waters within an agricultural landscape. Water Res 2009;43:2209–2223.
- Williams AP, Avery LM, Killham K, and Jones DL. Persistence of *Escherichia coli* O157 on farm surfaces under different environmental conditions. J Appl Microbiol 2005; 98:1075–1083.
- Williams AP, Avery LM, Killham K, and Jones DL. Persistence, dissipation, and activity of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 within sand and seawater environments. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2007; 60:24–32.
- Williams AP, Gordon H, Jones DL, Strachan NJ, Avery LM, and Killham K. Leaching of bioluminescent *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from sheep and cattle faeces during simulated rainstorm events. J Appl Microbiol 2008;105:1452–1460.
- Williams RC, Isaacs S, Decou ML, Richardson EA, Buffett MC, Slinger RW, Brodsky MH, Ciebin BW, Ellis A, and Hockin J. Illness outbreak associated with *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Genoa salami. *E. coli* O157:H7 Working Group. CMAJ 2000;162:1409–1413.
- Willshaw GA, Thirlwell J, Jones AP, Parry S, Salmon RL, and Hickey M. Vero cytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in beefburgers linked to an outbreak of diarrhoea, haemorrhagic colitis and haemolytic uraemic syndrome in Britain. Lett Appl Microbiol 1994;19:304–307.
- Wong TL, Macdiarmid S, and Cook R. Salmonella, Escherichia coli O157:H7 and E. coli biotype 1 in a pilot survey of imported and New Zealand pig meats. Food Microbiol 2009;26:177–182.
- Wray C, McLaren IM, Randall LP, and Pearson GR. Natural and experimental infection of normal cattle with *Escherichia coli* O157. Vet Rec 2000;147:65–68.
- Xicohtencatl-Cortes J, Sanchez Chacon E, Saldana Z, Freer E, and Giron JA. Interaction of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 with leafy green produce. J Food Prot 2009;72:1531–1537.

- Xu J, Liu Q, Jing H, Pang B, Yang J, Zhao G, and Li H. Isolation of Escherichia coli O157:H7 from dung beetles Catharsius molossus. Microbiol Immunol 2003;47:45–49.
- Yang Z, Kim J, Zhang C, Zhang M, Nietfeldt J, Southward CM, Surette MG, Kachman SD, and Benson AK. Genomic instability in regions adjacent to a highly conserved pch prophage in *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 generates diversity in expression patterns of the LEE pathogenicity island. J Bacteriol 2009;191: 3553–3568.
- Yoshii N, Ogura Y, Hayashi T, Ajiro T, Sameshima T, Nakazawa M, Kusumoto M, Iwata T, and Akiba M. pulsed-field gel electrophoresis profile changes resulting from spontaneous chromosomal deletions in enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 during passage in cattle. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:5719–5726.
- Zadik PM, Chapman PA, and Siddons CA. Use of tellurite for the selection of verocytotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* O157. J Med Microbiol 1993;39:155–158.
- Zhang G, Ma L, Beuchat LR, Erickson MC, Phelan VH, and Doyle MP. Heat and drought stress during growth of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) does not promote internalization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7. J Food Prot 2009;72:2471–2475.
- Zhang Y, Laing C, Steele M, Ziebell K, Johnson R, Benson AK, Taboada E, and Gannon VP. Genome evolution in major *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 lineages. BMC Genomics 2007;8:121.
- Zhao T, Doyle MP, Shere J, and Garber L. Prevalence of enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a survey of dairy herds. Appl Environ Microbiol 1995;61:1290–1293.
- Zimmer-Thomas JL, Slawson RM, and Huck PM. A comparison of DNA repair and survival of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 following exposure to both low- and medium-pressure UV irradiation. J Water Health 2007;5:407–415.

Address correspondence to:
Carolyn J. Hovde, Ph.D.
Department of Microbiology, Molecular Biology, and Biochemistry
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83844-3052

E-mail: cbohach@uidaho.edu