### **Papers**

# Use of an avirulent live *Salmonella* Choleraesuis vaccine to reduce the prevalence of *Salmonella* carrier pigs at slaughter

P. Schwarz, J. D. Kich, J. Kolb, M. Cardoso

This study evaluated the use of an avirulent live Salmonella Choleraesuis vaccine to reduce the seroprevalence and number of Salmonella carrier pigs at slaughter. Seven batches of 500 pigs were included in each of the two study groups: the vaccinated group (VG) that was orally vaccinated and the control group (CG) that received a placebo on the first day of life. The groups were managed in a three-site system and followed up from birth to slaughter. Blood samples (n=378) were collected from each VG and CG to monitor the on-farm seroprevalence in both groups. Mesenteric lymph nodes and blood from animals (n=390) belonging to each group were collected at slaughter. At the first day of life, the seroprevalence in control batches ranged from 77.9 to 96.3 per cent, while in vaccinated batches, it ranged from 66.6 to 92.6 per cent. At weaning (21 days of age), the number of seropositives decreased in both groups (mean of 12 and 3.7 per cent for CG and VG, respectively). At slaughter, batches of VG had a significantly (P<0.0001) lower seroprevalence (46.6±5 per cent) and isolation of Salmonella from lymph nodes (33.1±5 per cent) compared with CG batches (79.7±4 per cent and 59.5±5 per cent, respectively). The results indicate that administration of a Salmonella choleraesuis-attenuated vaccine on the first day of life decreases Salmonella isolation and seroprevalence in pigs at slaughter.

Salmonella enterica is one of the major foodborne pathogens transmitted by pork, and a decrease in the number of carrier pigs at slaughter is considered the first step in achieving Salmonella-free pork products (Berends and others 1996). Salmonella control has mainly been based on the measures to improve postharvest hygiene and good management practices on farms (Mousing and others 1997, Alban and others 2002). In Denmark, these measures led to a reduction in the number of herds with high Salmonella seroprevalence, as well as in the number of human salmonellosis cases associated with the consumption of pork (Alban and Stärk 2005); however, the costs estimated for this programme were considered high (Goldbach and Alban 2006).

#### Veterinary Record (2011) 169, 553

doi: 10.1136/vr.d5510

P. Schwarz, MSc, PhD, Boehringer Ingelheim do Brasil, Rochaverá Corporate Towers, São Paulo, 04794-000 São Paulo, Brazil J. D. Kich, MSc, PhD, Embrapa Suínos e Aves, BR153, km 110, 89.7000-000 Concórdia, Santa Catarina, Brazil

**J. Kolb**, DVM, DipIABVP, Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, 3502 Valley View Road, Ames, Iowa 50014-4614, USA

#### M. Cardoso, PhD,

Faculdade de Veterinária, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Gonçalves 9090, 90540-000 Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Correspondence to Dr Cardoso, e-mail: mcardoso@ufrgs.br

Provenance: not commissioned; externally peer reviewed

Published Online First September 23, 2011



Different strategies have been investigated to control *Salmonella* in the pig industry including the addition of organic acid to feed (Creuss and others 2007) or water (De Busser and others 2009) and the administration of probiotics (Casey and others 2007) or prebiotics (Martín-Peláez and others 2010) to the pigs. Although the addition of probiotics and acids to the feed has been shown to decrease the faecal excretion of *Salmonella* (Casey and others 2007, Creuss and others 2007), their effects may be restricted to a specific age group or their use might only be a strategic measure for the preslaughter.

Vaccination may be a viable approach for shortening the time required to decrease the number of herds with a high infection rate via the increase of resistance to Salmonella in susceptible pigs (Haesebrouck and others 2004, Boyen and others 2008). A long-lasting immunity to infection relies upon the development of T- and B-cell-specific immunity (Mastroeni and others 2000). Killed Salmonella whole-cell vaccines have been used in animals with variable results, probably due to their poor ability to induce cell-mediated immunity in vaccinated animals (Xu and others 1993, Yamane and others 2000, Davies and Breslin 2003). On the other hand, attenuated live vaccine strains have been shown to offer better protection against Salmonella infection due to the cellular immune response and the induction of mucosal IgA production (Haesebrouck and others 2004). Live vaccine strains can be divided into three types (Mastroeni and others 2000): strains that are attenuated without the attenuation being localised or characterised; strains with a mutation in genes that are important for bacterial metabolism, such as aroA (Lumsden and Wilkie 1992); strains in which specific virulence genes are removed, for example, the *spv* genes located on the Salmonella virulence plasmid (Kramer and others 1992) or strains with defined knockout mutation (Boyen and others 2009).

The SC-54 attenuated strain of *S enterica* serovar Cholearaesuis variety Kurzendorf was obtained after repeated passages of a viru-

#### **Papers**

lent strain in swine neutrophils, resulting in the loss of the *spv* gene from the virulence plasmid (Kramer and others 1992, Roof and others 1992). Trials conducted on artificially inoculated mice and pigs demonstrated that the vaccine derived from SC-54 strain is safe and able to protect animals from infection and clinical disease (Kramer and others 1992, Baum and others 1997). Moreover, the use of this vaccine to control *Salmonella* carriers yielded promising results in trials conducted with artificially infected pigs (Letellier and others 2001), calves (Fox and others 1997) and dairy cows (House and others 2001).

In Brazil, studies have shown a high prevalence of carrier pigs at slaughter (Bessa and others 2004, Schwarz and others 2009), highlighting the fact that efficient and cost-effective measures, which can be associated with good management practices in farms, are needed to achieve *Salmonella* control. Thus, this study evaluated the use of the vaccine produced with the SC-54 strain in a herd with a high *Salmonella* prevalence with the aim of reducing the number of *Salmonella* carriers at slaughter.

#### Materials and methods Location of the trial

The trial was conducted in a three-site vertical integration company with a history of a high prevalence of pigs carrying *S enterica* in the mesenteric lymph nodes (85 per cent) and a high rate of seropositives (77.8 per cent). The most prevalent serovars in this herd were *S* Brandenburg, *S* Typhimurium and *S* Agona (Schwarz and others 2009).

#### **Vaccine**

The animals were vaccinated with the attenuated live vaccine EnterisolSC-54 (Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica GmbH) containing the *Salmonella* Choleraesuis variety Kurzendorf SC-54 strain attenuated via several passages in pig neutrophils (Roof and others 1992).

#### Study design and management of the animals

A controlled, blinded clinical trial was designed to assess the efficacy of EnterisolSC-54 orally administered to piglets. The experiment was started in the breeding site, located in a farm with a 1000 sow inventory housed in two barns. The facilities and management practices in both barns were similar. Sows were maintained in individual crates in all phases of production. Breeding and gestation rooms were managed with a continuous flow of sows. *Salmonella* seroprevalence in sows housed in this farm was determined before the start of the trial and a similar seroprevalence (98 to 100 per cent) was observed in both barns.

Since a live vaccine was tested, the vaccinated group (VG) and control groups (CG) could not be housed in the same barn; thus, one sow barn was randomly selected for performing the vaccination, while the other barn housed the controls. For the same reason, the nursery and finishing units exclusively received pigs from either the VG or CG. Pigs not entered into the study were co-mingled with experimental pigs from both VG and CG. Piglets born to sows housed in one barn were VG, while piglets born in the same week to sows housed in the other barn constituted the CG. Each group (VG and CG) included seven batches of  $500\,\mathrm{piglets}$  born within a one-week interval over seven consecutive weeks, making a total of 3500 pigs in VG and CG. Both groups were managed according to the company's standard practices in all three sites and followed up side by side from birth to slaughter. Piglets in all sites received chlorinated water, which was periodically monitored for quality by the company. Pelleted diets with a composition and nutrient contents in compliance with the recommendations for each stage were manufactured in the company's feed mill. The diet in both groups was not supplemented with acids, probiotics or prebiotics.

Piglets in VG received 2 ml of the vaccine orally on the first day of life and iron dextran was routinely administered to animals of that age. They were notched in their right ear and did not receive antimicrobials until three days after vaccination. Animals that required treatment with antimicrobial drugs in the first week of life were excluded from the trial. Between five and six piglets were excluded from each vaccinated batch. The CG included pigs of the same age that received the same management, except for the vaccine. They were identified with a notch in the left ear. The employees at the farms and at the laboratory were blind to the treatment groups (VG or CG).

At 14 days of age, the piglets started to receive feed with zinc oxide and colistin added to it. Animals from VG and CG were weaned at 21 days of life and housed in nursery units with similar management practices and health status. The nursery farms typically comprised one barn with two rooms, housing a mean of 1000 pigs. Each room had approximately 25 pens with 20 pigs per pen. The nursery facilities comprised a partially slatted floor and a solid partition, nipple drinkers and common feeders. The farms were submitted to all in/all out (AIAO) management by room, with an empty period of four days after cleaning and disinfection with quaternary ammonium compounds. The diets were supplemented with colistin, and two ten-day pulses of amoxicillin and florfenicol were administered to the animals on the first and third week after housing.

At 56 days of age, VG and CG were housed in finishing farms comprising one barn with a single room. The finishing farms housed between 300 and 450 pigs in 20 to 30 pens (15 pigs per pen), with partially slatted floors, solid partition, nipple drinkers and common feeders. These farms were also subjected to AIAO management by farm, with an empty period of seven days after cleaning and disinfection with quaternary ammonium compounds. A total of 13 finishing farms were needed for the placement of each experimental group; the seven nursery barns filled 13 finishing barns. Three ten-day antimicrobial pulses were administrated to the finishing pigs: tiamulin/doxycycline (first week after placement), florfenicol (eighth week after placement) and tiamulin/doxycycline (12th week after placement).

At 150 days of age, the pigs were transported to the same slaughter-house in trailers that were cleaned and disinfected daily with quaternary ammonium compounds. The length of transportation was up to three hours and the holding period between five and six hours. The holding pens were cleaned and disinfected with peracetic acid before placement of the pigs. A total of 13 batches (one per finishing farm) of VG and CG were slaughtered. Slaughtering was conducted over seven different days and up to two batches each of VG and CG were slaughtered each day. The slaughter batches were kept separated in the holding pens.

#### Sample collection

At each site (farrowing, nursery and finishing), sampling for serology and bacteriological cultures was conducted in all batches in order to monitor the exposure to *Salmonella* and the seroprevalence in VG and CG.

In each batch, blood from 54 piglets in VG and 54 in CG was sampled at the first, 21st and 49th day of age. This sample size was sufficient (95 per cent confidence interval) to detect at least one positive sample when considering a 5 per cent minimum expected prevalence in the herd (Toma and others 1999). These sampling times were chosen in order to estimate the seroprevalence resulting from passive immunity before vaccination (day 1), the decrease in the passive antibody titer (day 21) and seroconversion in the nursery period (day 49).

Before the housing in the nursery, environmental samples were collected to monitor residual *Salmonella* contamination. One drag swab was taken from the solid area of the floor in 10 pens per room and processed in pools of five swabs. At 49 days of age (nursery) and at 143 days of age (finishing), faecal samples were collected from 10 animals in each batch in order to detect faecal shedding before being transported to the finishing farms and the slaughterhouse, respectively. In each sampling event, five pens (four on the corners and one in the middle of the barn) were chosen, and two animals were sampled in each pen. Faecal samples were processed in pools of two samples, making a total of five pools per batch in each group (VG and CG).

At slaughter, blood samples and mesenteric lymph nodes were taken from 30 animals from the 13 batches of both VG and CG. This sample size allowed the estimation of herd prevalence, given an expected prevalence of 65 per cent (Kich and others 2005) and a relative precision of 15 per cent (Toma and others 1999).

#### Sample processing

Serum samples were submitted to an indirect ELISA-LPS test to detect IgG anti-*Salmonella* antibodies. The test is based on somatic antigens 1, 4, 5 and 12 of *Salmonella*, with an optical density cut-off point of 0.169. At this cut-off point, the test has a sensitivity of 0.92 and a specificity of 1.0 (Kich and others 2007). Pooled faeces (25 g), drag swabs

TABLE 1: Seroprevalence in vaccinated and control groups at birth (first day of life), at weaning (21 days of age) and at nursery (49 days of age)

	Seropositives % (95% CI)		
	Birth	Weaning	Nursery
Control group	89.1 (85.1-93.1)	12.0 (9.0-15.0)	2.0 (0-6.0)
Vaccinated group	82.2 (78.2-86.2)	3.7 (0-8.7)	0

and individual lymph node (25 g) samples were processed using a *Salmonella* isolation protocol comprised of pre-enrichment in 1 per cent buffered peptoned water, selective enrichment (tetrathionate broth and Rappaport-Vassiliadis broth; Merck; 42°C, 24 hours) and isolation on solid medium (xylose-lysine-tergitol 4 agar and Brilliant Green-phenol red-lactose-sucrose agar; Bencton-Dickson and Company; 37°C, 24 hours), as previously described (ISO6579). Isolates identified as *Salmonella* species were shipped to Fundação Instituto Oswaldo Cruz for serotyping.

#### **Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using commercial software (SAS 9.1.3; 2009). Logistic regression was used to test the relationship between vaccination and seroprevalence or *Salmonella* isolation from the mesenteric lymph nodes at slaughter. Overdispersion was corrected by Williams' method. p-Values < 0.05 were considered significant. Spearman's correlation was calculated between frequency of *Salmonella* presence in lymph nodes and the batch serology at slaughter.

#### Results

Seroprevalences ranging from 77.9 to 96.3 per cent were found in batches of one-day-old piglets belonging to the CG, while in vaccinated batches frequencies of seropositive piglets varied between 66.6 and 92.6 per cent. On day 21, a prominent decrease in the frequency of seropositives was observed in the batches of both groups (Table 1). No statistical difference between groups was observed in seroprevalence (P>0.05).

Five nursery facilities had environmental samples that were positive for Salmonella before the allocation of pigs, and both groups were exposed to a similar challenge (two of four positive farms in VG and three of four in CG). At 49 days of age, only one batch of VG had positive faecal samples. This group was housed in one of the farms that was positive on environmental sampling. All sampled animals from VG were serologically negative on this sampling event, while three batches of CG presented seropositive pigs in frequencies ranging from 3.7 to 5.5 per cent.

At the finishing phase (143-day-old pigs), most batches belonging to both experimental groups had at least one *Salmonella*-positive faecal pool. However, the number of positive pools was lower in batches of VG (median=1) compared with CG (median=3).

At slaughter, the isolation of *Salmonella* from mesenteric lymph nodes in VG (129/390; mean=33.1 per cent; IC95=28.1 to 38.1 per cent) was significantly (P<0.0001) less frequent than in CG (232/390; mean=59.5 per cent; IC95=54.5 to 64.5 per cent). The *Salmonella* serovars identified in the samples from both groups were similar, and S Agona (33 per cent), S Panama (27 per cent), S Ohio (11 per cent), S Schwarzengrund (11 per cent) and S Typhimurium (5 per cent) were the most prevalent. The seroprevalence at slaughter was also significantly (P<0.0001) lower in VG (174/390; mean=44.6 percent; IC95=39.6 to 49.6 per cent) than in CG (311/390; mean=79.7 per cent; IC95=74.7 to 83.7 per cent). Vaccinated batches therefore had a lower chance of having pigs at slaughter that were positive for *Salmonella* isolation from lymph nodes (OR=0.33; CI95=0.19 to 0.57) and in ELISA testing (OR=0.20; CI95=0.09 to 0.42).

#### **Discussion**

This study demonstrated that the vaccine based on the attenuated strain SC-54 was able to significantly reduce the seroprevalence and the number of *Salmonella*-carrier pigs in mesenteric lymph nodes at slaughter. These results agree with those of previous studies where a reduction in the number of positive animals was found at slaughter,

even though they adopted different vaccination protocols and evaluation parameters. The SC-45 strain was tested in previous studies that evaluated the administration of the vaccine via drinking water to pigs allocated in finishing farms (Baum and others 1997, Kolb and others 2002). In one trial, a statistically significant reduction in *Salmonella* isolation was achieved for serogroups B and C1 but not for serogroups C2 or E (Baum and others 1997). In the second trial, a significant (p=0.02) reduction in the frequency of *Salmonella* isolated from carcasses in the VG was observed in a herd that previously presented a prevalence of 26.2 per cent positive carcasses (Kolb and others 2003).

In our study, oral administration of the SC-54 strain vaccine to one-day-old piglets was evaluated in a vertically integrated system with a history of high (>70 per cent) seroprevalence and *Salmonella* isolation at slaughter. Vaccinated and control batches, following a longitudinal study, showed a similar pattern of transmission and seroconversion. One-day-old piglets of both groups presented a high seroprevalence, possibly caused by the transfer of maternal antibodies, as described by Funk and others (2001) and Chiu and others (2006). In this sense, piglets in both groups had been delivered by seropositive sows and both presented antibody concentrations that gradually decreased from birth until the 21st day of age.

The disappearance of colostral antibodies coincided with transfer to the nursery, where stress factors are responsible for increasing susceptibility to infection in pigs (Funk and others 2001, Fosse and others 2009). In addition, the residual environmental contamination of the nursery facilities observed in the study and the co-mingling with non-vaccinated pigs could have contributed to Salmonella transmission. Despite this, after 28 days (49-day-old pigs) in the nursery, only three batches were detected with a (low) prevalence of seropositive pigs (2 per cent, IC95=0 to 6 per cent) and only one cohort from the VG group was shedding Salmonella, indicating that most of the pigs were either negative for Salmonella or the animals were still in the initial stages of infection. At the finishing site, the pigs started to shed Salmonella in their faeces, in line with the amplification in the number of infected animals often observed at this stage (Funk and others 2001, Lo Fo Wong and others 2004). However, the VG showed a lower number of positive faecal pools, which may have resulted from a lower number of infected animals due to the protection conferred by vaccination. Although a poor correlation between individual Salmonella ELISA tests and bacteriology on an individual pig basis has been reported (Christensen and others 1999, Davies and others 2003), the seroprevalence at slaughter has demonstrated a strong correlation with the presence of Salmonella in caecal contents and on the carcass surface in pig batches (Sørensen and others 2004). Thus, programmes to control Salmonella have aimed to reduce seroprevalence in herds to levels below 40 per cent, as well as the eradication of herds with seroprevalence above 70 per cent in order to decrease the hazard of carcass contamination at slaughter (Mousing and others 1997, Alban and Stärk 2005). In our study, all batches vaccinated with the SC-54 strain presented seroprevalences below 70 per cent, whereas the control cohorts presented a significantly (P<0.0001) higher number of seropositive pigs. A moderate correlation (r=0.506) was observed between seroprevalence and Salmonella isolation from mesenteric lymph nodes, and the VG showed a significant reduction (P<0.0001) in the frequency of Salmonella isolation from mesenteric lymph nodes, demonstrating that the vaccination had a protection effect. Veterinary vaccine challenge studies should provide evidence for vaccine efficacy, presenting internal controls and replicating field conditions (Denagamage and others 2007). The authors conducted a clinical trial that followed up seven batches of vaccinated pigs and a similar group of control pigs from birth to slaughter in a multiple-site system, which had a history of high Salmonella prevalence. This herd presented a significant reduction in seroprevalence and the number of Salmonella lymph node carriers in vaccinated batches at slaughter, which supports studies that proposed the use of vaccines for the control of Salmonella infection (Maes and others 2001, Haesenbrouck and others 2004, Boyen and others 2008). Live-attenuated vaccines are more suitable for induction of cell-mediated immunity required for an effective protection against facultative intracellular bacteria, such as Salmonella species. However, mucosal and serum antibodies against somatic antigens also play a role in host immunity (Haesenbrouck and others 2004), and a low level of cross-protection is observed between antibodies induced by different Salmonella serovars (Wallis 2001). Therefore, the further development of a vaccine including an attenuated S Typhimurium strain may be able to induce an even better protection in pigs.

Another issue about the use of vaccines has been the induction of antibodies that interfere with the serological tests adopted by monitoring programs (Leyman and others 2011). In the present study, no seroconversion after vaccination was noticed in the ELISA test based on somatic antigens 1, 4, 5 and 12 of *Salmonella*. It may be related to the fact that somatic antigens of *S* Choleraesius (O:6, 7) are not included in the adopted test. However, low frequencies of seropositive pigs have also been reported after the administration of the SC-54 strain vaccine, when tests that included somatic antigens 6 and 7 were used (Maes and others 2001).

In conclusion, in herds with a high prevalence of *Salmonella*, vaccination with the SC-54 strain can be considered as an additional management tool to reduce the number of carriers in a shorter period of time, even though changes in management and the correction of risk factors remain essential for achieving the target of a low prevalence level in *Salmonella* control programmes.

#### References

- ALBAN, L. & STÄRK, K. D. C. (2005) Where should the efforts be put to reduce the Salmonella prevalence in the slaughtered swine carcass effectively? *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **68**, 63-79
- ALBAN, L., ŚTEGE, H. & DAHL, J. (2002) The new classification system for slaughterpig herds in the Danish Salmonella surveillance-and-control program. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 53, 133-146
- BAUM, D. H., HARRIS, D. L., ROOF, M. B., NIELSEN, B., HOLCK, J. T., POLSON, D. P. & BAIK, J. (1997) Use of SC-54 for the reduction of *Salmonella* in swine. In Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Epidemiology and Control of *Salmonella* in Pork. Copenhagen, August 20 to 22, 1997. pp 215-220
- BERENDS, B. R., URLINGS, H. A. P., SNIDJERS, J. M. A. & VAN KNAPEN, F. (1996) Identification and quantification of risk factors in animal management and transport regarding *Salmonella* spp. in pigs. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 30, 37-53
  BESSA, M. C., COSTA, M. & CARDOSO, M. (2004) Prevalência de *Salmonella* sp. em
- BESSA, M. C., COSTA, M. & CARDOSO, M. (2004) Prevalência de Salmonella sp. em suínos abatidos em frigoríficos do Rio Grande do Sul. Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira 24, 80-84
- BOYEN, F., HAESEBROUCK, F., MAES, D., VAN IMMERSEEL, F., DUCATELLE, R. & PASMANS, F. (2008) Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* infections in pigs: a closer look at epidemiology, pathogenesis and control. *Veterinary Microbiology* **130**, 1-19
- epidemiology, pathogenesis and control. Veterinary Microbiology 130, 1-19
  BOYEN, F., PASMANS, F., VAN IMMERSEEL, F., DONNÉ, E., MORGAN, E.,
  DUCATELLE, R. & HAESEBROUCK, F. (2009) Porcine in vitro and in vivo models
  to assess the virulence of Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium for pigs. Laboratory
  Animals 43, 46-52
- CASEY, P. G., GARDINER, G. E., CASEY, G., BRADSHAW, B., LAWLOR, P. G., LYNCH, P. B., LEONARD, F. C., STANTON, C., ROSS, R. P., FITZGERALD, G. F. & HILL, C. (2007) A five-strain probiotic combination reduces pathogen shedding and alleviates disease signs in pigs challenged with Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 73, 1858-1863
- CHIU, C. H., CHIU, C., HE, C. C. & LIN, T. Y. (2006) Protection of neonatal mice from lethal enterovirus 71 infection by maternal immunization with attenuated Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium expressing VP1 of enterovirus. Microbes and Infection 8, 1671-1678
- CHRISTENSEN, J., BAGGENSEN, D. L., SORENSEN, V. & SVENSMARK, B. (1999) Salmonella level of swine herds based on serological examination of meat-juice samples and Salmonella occurrence measured by bacteriological follow-up. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 40, 277-292
- CREUS, E., PÉREZ, J. F., PERALTA, B., BAUCELLS, F. & MATEU, E. (2007) Effect of acidified feed on the prevalence of Salmonella in market-age pigs. Zoonoses and Public Health 54, 314-319
- DAVIES, R. & BRESLIN, M. (2003) Effects of vaccination and other preventive methods for *Salmonella enteritidis* on commercial laying chicken farms. *Veterinary Record* **153**, 673-677
- DAVIES, R. H., HEATH, P. J., COXON, S. M. & SAYERS, A. R. (2003) Evaluation of the use of pooled serum, pooled muscle tissue fluid (meat juice) and pooled faeces for monitoring pig herds for Salmonella. Journal of Applied Microbiology 95, 1016-1025
- DE BUSSER, E. V., DEWULF, J., NOLLET, N., HOUF, K., SCHWARZER, K., DE SADELEER, L., DE ZUTTER, L. & MAES, D. (2009) Effect of organic acids in drinking water during the last 2 weeks prior to slaughter on Salmonella shedding by slaughter pigs and contamination of carcasses. Zoonoses and Public Health 56, 129-136
- pigs and contamination of carcasses. Zoonoses and Public Health 56, 129-136

  DENAGAMAGE, T. N., O'CONNOR, A. M., SARGEANT, J. M., RAJIC, A. & MCKEAN, J. D. (2007) Efficacy of vaccination to reduce Salmonella prevalence in live and slaughtered swine: a systematic review of literature from 1979 to 2007. Foodbome Pathogens and Disease 4, 539-549
- FOSSE, J., SEEGERS, H. & MAGRAS, C. (2009) Prevalence and risk factors for bacterial food-borne zoonotic hazards in slaughter pigs: a review. *Zoonoses and Public Health* **56**, 429-454

- FOX, B., ROOF, M., CARTER, D., KESL, L. & ROTH, J. (1997) Safety and efficacy of an avirulent live Salmonella choleraesuis vaccine for protection of calves against Salmonella dublin infections. American Journal of Veterinary Research 58, 265-271
- FUNK, J. A., DAVIES, P. R. & NICHOLS, P. A. (2001) Longitudinal study of Salmonella enterica in growing pigs reared in multiple-site pig production systems. Veterinary Microbiology 83, 45-60
- Microbiology 83, 45-60 GOLDBACH, S. G. & ALBAN, L. (2006) A cost-benefit analysis of Salmonella-control strategies in Danish pork production. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 77, 1-14
- HAESEBROUCK, F., PASMANS, F., CHIERS, K., MAES, D., DUCATELLE, R. & DECOSTERE, A. (2004) Efficacy of vaccines against bacterial diseases in swine: what can we expect? *Veterinary Microbiology* **100**, 255-268
- HOUSE, J., ONTIVEROS, M., BLACKMER, N., DUEGER, E., FITCHHORN, J., McARTHUR, G. & SMITH, B. (2001) Evaluation of an autogenous *Salmonella* bacterin and a modified live *Salmonella* Serotype Choleraesuis vaccine on a dairy farm. *American Journal of Veterinary Research* **62**, 1897-1902
- ISO. International Organization for Standardization. Available at: www.iso.org ISO 6579. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuff. Annex D: Detection of Salmonella spp. in animal faeces and environmental samples from primary stage, 2007.
- KICH, J. D., MORES, N., PIFFER, I. A., COLDEBÉLLA, A., AMARAL, A., RAMINGER, L. & CARDOSO, M. (2005) Fatores associados à soroprevalência de *Salmonella* em rebanhos comerciais de suínos. *Ciência Rural* **35**, 398-405
- KICH, J. D., SCHWARZ, P., SILVA, L. E., COLDEBELLA, A. R. V., PIFFER, I. A., VIZZOTO, R. & CARDOSO, M. (2007) Development and application of an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay to detect antibodies against prevalent *Salmonella Serovars* in swine in southern Brazil. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* 19, 510-517 KOLB, J., ROOF, M. & BURKHART, K. (2003) Reduction of *Salmonella* in carcasses using
- KOLB, J., ROOF, M. & BURKHART, K. (2003) Reduction of Salmonella in carcasses using Enterisol-SC54 vaccination. In Proceedings of 17th International Pig Veterinary Society Congress. Ames, June 2 to 5, 2002. pp 14
- KRAMER, T. T., ROOF, M. B. & MATHESON, R. R. (1992) Safety and efficacy of an attenuated strain of Salmonella choleraesuis for vaccination of swine. American Journal of Veterinary Research 53, 444-448
- LETELLIÉR, A., MEŚSIER, S. & LESSARD, L. (2001) Host response to various treatments to reduce Salmonella infections in swine. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research 65, 168-172
- LEYMAN, B., BOYEN, F., VAN PARYS, A., VERBRUGGHE, E., HAESEBROUCK, E & PASMANS, F. (2011) Salmonella typhimurium LPS mutations for use in vaccines allowing differentiation of infected and vaccinated pigs. Vaccine 29, 3679-3685
- ing differentiation of infected and vaccinated pigs. *Vaccine* **29**, 3679-3685 LO FO WONG, D. M., DAHL, J., WINGSTRAND, A., VAN DER WOLF, P. J., VON ALTROCK, A. & THORBERG, B. M. (2004) A European longitudinal study in *Salmonella* seronegative- and seropositive-classified finishing pig herds. *Epidemiology and Infection* **132**, 903-914
- LUMSDEN, J. S. & WILKIE, B. N. (1992) Immune response of pigs to parenteral vaccination with an aromatic-dependent mutant of Salmonella typhimurium. Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research 56, 296-302
- MAES, D., GIBSON, K., TRIGO, E., SASZAK, A., GRASS, J., CARLSON, A. & BLAHA, T. (2001) Evaluation of cross-protection afforded by a *Salmonella choleraesuis* in pigs under field conditions. *Berliner Münchener Tierärztliche Wochenschrift* 114, 339-341
- MARTÍN-PELÁEZ, S., COSTABILE, A., HOYLES, L., RASTALL, R. A., GIBSON, G. R., LA RAGIONE, R. M., WOODWARD, M. J., MATEU, E. & MARTÍN-ORÚE, S. M. (2010) Evaluation of the inclusion of a mixture of organic acids or lactulose into the feed of pigs experimentally challenged with *Salmonella typhimurium. Veterinary Microbiology* **142**, 337-345
- MASTROĚNI, P., CHABALGOITY, J. A., DUNSTAN, S. J., MASKELL, D. J. & DOUGAN, G. (2000) *Salmonella* immune responses and vaccines. *Veterinary Journal* **161**, 132-164
- MOUSING, I., JENSEN, P. T., HALGAARD, C., BAGER, F., FELD, N., NIELSEN, B., NIELSEN, J. P. & BECH-NIELSEN, S. (1997) Nation-wide Salmonella enterica surveillance and control in Danish slaughter swine herds. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 29, 247-261
- ROOF, M. B., KRAMER, T. T., KUNESH, J. P. & ROTH, J. A. (1992) In vivo isolation of Salmonella choleraesuis from porcine neutrophils. American Journal of Veterinary Research 53, 1333-1336
- SAS (2009) Proc Genmod, SAS/STAT. SAS Institute
- SCHWARZ, P., CALVEIRA, J., SELLA, A., KICH, J. & CARDOSO, M. (2009) Salmonella enterica: isolamento e soroprevalência em suínos abatidos no Rio Grande do Sul. Arquivo Brasilerio de Medicina Veerinária e Zootecnia 61, 1028-1034
- SØRENSEN, L. L., ALBAN, L., NIELSEN, B. & DAHL, J. (2004) The correlation between *Salmonella* serology and isolation of *Salmonella* in Danish pigs at slaughter. *Veterinary Microbiology* **101**, 131-141
- TOMA, B., DUFOUR, B. & SANAA, M. (1999) Applied Veterinary Epidemiology. France: AEEMA, 536 p.
- WALLIS, T. S. (2001) Salmonella pathogenesis and immunity: we need effective multivalent vaccines. Veterinary Journal 161, 104-106
- XU, H. R., HSU, H. S., MONCURE, C. W. & KING, R. A. (1993) Correlation of antibody titres induced by vaccination with protection in mouse typhoid. *Vaccine* 11, 725-729
- YAMANE, Y., LEONARD, J. D., KOBATAKE, R., AWAMURA, N., TOYOTA, Y., OHTA, H., OTSUKI, K. & INOVE, T. (2000). A case study of *Salmonella enteritidis* origin at three egg laying farms and its control with a *Salmonella enterica* bacterin. *Avian Disease* **44**, 519-526

Veterinary Record

## Use of an avirulent live Salmonella Choleraesuis vaccine to reduce the prevalence of Salmonella carrier pigs at slaughter

P. Schwarz, J. D. Kich, J. Kolb and M. Cardoso

Veterinary Record 2011 169: 553 originally published online September

23, 2011

doi: 10.1136/vr.d5510

Updated information and services can be found at: http://veterinaryrecord.bmj.com/content/169/21/553

These include:

**References** This article cites 37 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:

http://veterinaryrecord.bmj.com/content/169/21/553#BIBL

**Open Access** This paper is freely available online under the BMJ Journals unlocked

scheme, see <a href="http://veterinaryrecord.bmj.com/info/unlocked.dtl">http://veterinaryrecord.bmj.com/info/unlocked.dtl</a>

Email alerting service Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the

box at the top right corner of the online article.

**Topic** Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Open access (83)

#### **Notes**

To request permissions go to: http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to: http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to: http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/