

Mycotoxin Contamination of Rice in China

Xiang Dong Sun, Ping Su, and Hong Shan

Abstract: Mycotoxin contamination in rice is generally lower than in other cereals such as corn or wheat. However, over 65% of the population in China consumes rice as a staple food. Due to the diversity of the climate across China, the southern region is characterized by high temperatures and humidities, especially in rainy season. Such conditions are optimal for the growth of fungi. The accumulative and transferrable characteristics of fungi mycotoxins pose a great potential threat as confirmed by high incidences of liver cancer in the Yangtze delta region. Major mycotoxins identified in China are aflatoxins and ochratoxin A, as well as fumonisins. The contents of aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) in rice are varied among different provinces and regions and generally less than 5 µg/kg. Although high incidences of positive aflatoxins samples have widely been detected, few samples were detected as exceeding the national's maximum residue limit (10 µg/kg). Limited information is available on risk assessment of human health hazards of mycotoxins in rice, children should be paid more attention to due to their having the highest mycotoxins exposure level, although the risks are generally at low levels from rice. Mycotoxins are mainly distributed in the outer layer of the paddy rice (also called rough rice, referring to whole rice grain with the hulls), and the AFB₁ content in bran is 8.4 times greater than that in brown rice (hulled rice). Further investigation should focus on isolation and identification of mycotoxins-producing fungal strains, especially unknown mycotoxigenic fungal strains determination. Infection resistant rice breeding of mycotoxigenic fungal species may be a fundamental approach to guaranteeing rice safety in China.

Keywords: China, contamination, mycotoxins, rice

Introduction

Mycotoxins are naturally occurring toxic contaminants in foods and feeds. They are secondary metabolites produced by filamentous fungi under appropriate temperature and humidity (Nielsen and others 2009). Mycotoxicosis can result through ingestion, inhalation, or skin contact with fungi. Apart from humans, other vertebrates can also be affected by mycotoxins.

Cereal grains can be infected by mycotoxigenic fungi preharvest or postharvest (Glenn 2007). Consequently, mycotoxins can be consumed by humans through contaminated plant origin food (cereal grains) or through the intake of animal origin food (meat, milk, and egg) (Fokunang and others 2006; Sirot and others 2013). Livestock fed with contaminated cereal grains or vegetables may accumulate mycotoxins and finally consumed by human; these animal products pose a great potential health risk to consumers.

In 2001, Reddy and Sathyanarayana listed 143 diverse fungal species that were reported in rice. Studies (Juan and others 2008b; Hoeltz and others 2009; Reddy and others 2009b; Sempere and Santamarina 2010) confirmed that the majority of mycotoxins were produced by molds of genera *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium*, and *Fusarium*. In 2008, Reddy and others published a review in which they summarized the major mycotoxins, including aflatoxins (AFs), fumonisins, ochratoxin A (OTA), deoxynivalenol, and zearalenone (ZEN), which had been detected in rice from different countries. In 2016, Ferre further summarized that AFs, citrinin, deoxynivalenol, sterigmatocystin, fumonisins, ZEN, cyclopiazonic acid, patulin, gliotoxin and some trichothecenes are the main mycotoxins that have been identified in rice with a high variable of contaminated varieties and at various infected levels.

A number of studies have demonstrated that fungi are prone to grow in cereals such as rice and produce mycotoxins. Pitt and others (2013) summarized mycotoxin production in crops as affected by growing, harvesting, storage and processing. Pereira and others (2014) published a comprehensive review systematically describing mycotoxin occurrence in cereals and cereal foodstuffs, as well as analytical methods proposed for the detection of these mycotoxins. Marroquín-Cardona and others (2014) reviewed mycotoxins in a changing global environment and proposed strategies accordingly to reduce mycotoxin exposure, as well as global trends of mycotoxin regulation. These reviews provide a comprehensive description of worldwide mycotoxin contamination, regulation, analytical methods and control.

Many mycotoxins are proven to pose a potential threat to both human and animal health; some of them are very fatal and stable. These mycotoxins accumulate in animal bodies and remain highly active even through severe processing and treatment. They finally transfer to and accumulate inside human bodies in an active state through contaminated animal products. To ensure food safety, the European Union Commission has set strict maximum limits on mycotoxin levels. For instance, 2 µg/kg for aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) in rice, 5 µg/kg and 3 µg/kg for OTA in rice and their products, respectively, and 100 µg/kg for ZEN in cereals (European Commission Regulation 2006).

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the most important staple food in the Asian region. In China, more than 65% of the population consumes it (Zhang and others 2005). China ranks 1st in total annual rice production and accounts for 29.2% of the world's rice produced (milled) in 2015/16 (www.fao.org). Some studies have reported the contamination of rice with mycotoxins such as AFs and fumonisins, especially with AFs in certain areas of China (Tang 1999; Trucksee 2000; Liu and others 2006; Wang and Liu 2007; Sun and others 2011; Lai and others 2014a; Li and others 2014). As a result of inappropriate storage conditions, such as high temperature and high humidity, rice can be an ideal growth substrate for fungi. In recent years, there have been a number of reports

JFDS-2016-0950 Submitted 6/15/2016, Accepted 12/22/2016. Authors are with Quality & Safety Inst. of Agricultural Products, Heilongjiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Harbin 150086, China. Authors are also with Laboratory of Quality & Safety Risk Assessment for Agro-products (Harbin), Ministry of Agriculture, Harbin 150086, China. Direct inquiries to author Sun (E-mail: xdsun65@yahoo.com).

Table 1—Different studies conducted on mycotoxins contamination in rice in China.

Province	Rice varieties or forms	Origin of rice samples	Analytical method	Mycotoxins	Total samples	Incidence (%)	Level range of positive samples (µg/kg)	Mean value of positive samples (µg/kg)	References
9 Cities	Rice	Markets	ELISA	AFB ₁	161	5.6	1.45–17.71	5.13	Huang and others 2016
Guangdong	Rice	Markets	ELISA	AFB ₁	106	24.53	0.15–5.64	2.18 ± 0.93	Zhang and others 2015
Heilongjiang					62	69	0.033–0.17	0.062	
Liaoning					30	96	<LOQ	<LOQ	
Jilin				AFs	59	39	0.030–0.98	0.12	
Guangdong					138	53	0.19–4.1	0.44	
Guangxi					67	81	0.032–21	1.3	
Hainan					14	93	0.032–0.71	0.23	
Heilongjiang					62	69	0.033–0.14	0.058	
Liaoning					30	96	<LOQ	<LOQ	
Jilin				AFB ₁	59	39	0.030–0.90	0.11	
Guangdong					138	53	0.030–3.7	0.41	
Guangxi					67	81	0.032–20	1.2	
Hainan	Rice	Farmer stores, granaries, and markets	DLIME-HPLC		14	93	0.032–0.66	0.21	Lai and others 2015a
Heilongjiang					62	14	0.022	0.022	
Liaoning					30	6	<LOQ	<LOQ	
Jilin				AFB ₂	59	15	0.086	0.086	
Guangdong					138	13	0.020–0.47	0.11	
Guangxi					67	37	0.029–1.6	0.19	
Hainan					14	14	0.051	0.051	
Heilongjiang					62	4.8	0.61	0.61	
Liaoning					30	0.0	ND	ND	
Jilin				OTA	59	8.5	0.30–0.30	0.30	
Guangdong					138	6.5	0.33–3.2	1.3	
Guangxi					67	1.5	<LOQ	<LOQ	
Hainan					14	0.0	ND	ND	
Hunan	Paddy rice	Fields	ELISA	AFB ₁	80	100	0.82–6.98	2.37	Yuan and others 2015
Jiangxi	Rice	Supermarkets	ELISA	AFB ₁	517	74.85	0.1–4.3	1.21	Tang and others 2015
Jiangxi	Rice	Supermarkets	LC-MS	FB ₁	63	0	<LOQ	<LOQ	Qiu and others 2015
18 Cities	Rice and products	Supermarkets	ELISA	FB	95	3.16	0–740	20	Guo and others 2014
				AFs		35.3	0.10–18.00	0.55	
				AFB ₁		33.3	0.02–1.80	0.13	
				AFB ₂	51	23.5	0.02–1.35	0.066	Li and others 2013
Guangdong	Rice	Supermarkets	UPLC			15.7	0.04–13.45	0.31	
				AFG ₁		2.4	0.02–1.40	0.047	
				AFG ₂					

(Continued)

Table 1-Continued.

Province	Rice varieties or forms	Origin of rice samples	Analytical method	Mycotoxins	Total samples	Incidence (%)	Level range of positive samples ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)	Mean value of positive samples ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)	References
Henan					12	33.33	5.10–11.11	2.46 ± 3.88	
Hubei					43	18.60	1.24–77.05	6.40 ± 16.82	
Hunan					69	15.52	1.74–2095.08	52.93 ± 26.98	
Jiangxi	Paddy Rice	Barns	HPLC	ZEN	51	39.22	0.31–66.81	3.64 ± 10.99	Pan 2012
Fujian					14	50.00	1.09–14.45	2.74 ± 4.43	
Zhejiang					15	28.11	46.40–670.86	47.82 ± 17.27	
Jiangsu					48	25.00	1.29–203.00	5.15 ± 20.22	
Jilin	Paddy rice	Barns	ELISA	AFB ₁	10	100	0–0.900	0.570 ± 0.195	Cai and others 2011
				AFB ₁		3.9	0.5–2.9	1.7	
				ZEN		3.9	3.5–8.6	6.1	
Guangxi	Rice	Farmers markets	UPLC-MS/MS	FX	51	9.8	0.3–14.0	5.8	Li and others 2011
				NIV		9.8	1.9–56.8	25.2	
				DAS		9.8	3.3–55.5	33.6	
Guangxi	Rice	Markets	ELISA	AFB ₁	34	8.8	–	1.0	Teng and others 2011
				AFB ₁		50.0	0.10–6.9	0.89	
				AFB ₂		27.78	0.1–0.8	0.24	
2 Provinces	Rice	Markets	HPLC	DON	18	44.44	0.1–2.1	0.74	Ma and others 2011
				T-2		61.11	0.1–1.3	0.47	
Jiangxi	Rice	Supermarkets	HPLC	ZEN	40	38.89	0.1–0.3	0.13	Zhang and others 2010
				FB ₁		100	0.11–1.64	0.87	Yang 2008
8 Cities	Rice	Markets	ELISA	OTA	91	–	–	1.938 ± 1.328	Yu and others 2008
Hubei	Paddy rice	Barns	ELISA	AFB ₁	30	100	0.6–8.1	3.3	Wang and Liu 2006
8 Provinces	Rice	Markets	HPLC	AFs	84	27.3	0.15–1.58	<0.6	Liu and others 2006
	Paddy rice	Barns	HPLC	AFs	37	97.3	–	0.88	
Liaoning	Paddy rice	Barns	HPLC	AFG ₁	15	93.7	–	–	Tan 2006
				AFG ₂		91.7	–	–	Li and others 2006
6 Provinces	Brown rice	Barns	HPLC	OTA	33	2.11	0.34–1.47	0.9	Tan 2006
Hubei	Paddy rice	Barns	ELISA	FB ₁	284	32.65	10360–13640	12550	Li and others 2005
	Rice			AFs	49				
				AFs	31	90.3	0–31	1.97	

(Continued)

Table 1–Continued.

Province	Rice varieties or forms	Origin of rice samples	Analytical method	Mycotoxins	Total samples	Incidence (%)	Level range of positive samples (µg/kg)	Mean value of positive samples (µg/kg)	References
Various province	Paddy rice	Barns	ELISA	OTA T-2		87.1	0–16	2.16	Fu and others 2004
						35.5	0–910	92.13	
12 Provinces	Rice	Barns	ELISA	DON ST	542	3.2	0–770	24.84	Tian and Liu 2004
						72.0	0.01–260.17	13.9	
Hubei	Rice	Markets	ELISA	FB ST FT	49 60 60	32.65	–	12000	Xie and others 2001a
						50.00	–	105.00	
						1.67	–	1.00	
Jilin	Paddy rice	Barns	IC-ELISA	AFB ₁ ST	22 59	77.27	–	80.64	Liu and others 2000
						74.6	1.02–214.38	21.04	
Shandong Shandong	Rice Rice	Barns, markets Barns, markets	IC-ELISA ELISA	ST FT FB	60 40	41.5	1.0–52.1	8.6	Li 2000
						3.7	0.4762–0.6345	–	
Henan	Rice	Barns	ELISA	FB ₁ FT	68	95.0	0–40000	27900	Yan and others 1999
						37.93	3410–16790	11700	
				ST		–	–	–	Liao and others 1999
						–	1.10–25.69	3.71	

ND, not detected (below the quantification limit); LOQ, limit of quantification.

from various countries on the occurrence of fungal contamination in rice with high levels of aflatoxin (Tanaka and others 2007; Reddy and others 2008; Reddy and others 2009a, 2009b; Reiter and others 2010; Aydin and others 2011; Bansal and others 2011; Hussaini and others 2011; Almeida and others 2012; Elena and others 2013; Ok and others 2014; Lai and others 2015a). Due to a vast area of land in China, rice is widely cultivated under different climate conditions and extensively contaminated by various fungi. However, little information is currently available on the aflatoxigenic fungal contamination of rice in China and on the ability of local fungal strains to produce mycotoxins (Liu and others 1981). Investigations conducted over the last 17 y revealed that the general condition of mycotoxin contamination of rice in China was safe, except in some special cases where the contamination levels were high and even exceeded the national maximum residue limits (MRLs). This may have been induced by unfavorable climatic and storage conditions. Table 1 summarizes different studies conducted on mycotoxins contamination in rice in China since 1999.

Postharvest rice storage is especially important for ensuring its safety. In advanced countries like Japan, rice is stored in warehouses where 13% to 14% moisture content which is equivalent to water activity levels of 0.65 to 0.70 is maintained (Tanaka and others 2007). The humidity and temperature of these warehouses are restrained between 70% and 75% and less than 15 °C, respectively (Tanaka and others 2007). Consequently, postharvest mycotoxin contamination seldom occurs in Japan (Tanaka and others 2007). In contrast, 70% to 80% of total rice yield in China is stored in farmer's simple barns which are unable to control temperature and humidity (Lai and others 2014b). Therefore, rice stored under these poor conditions is prone to be infected by molds and contaminated by their mycotoxins.

Due to diversified climatic conditions, some rice growing regions in China such as the Yangtze delta region are extremely humid during the rainy season, which enables large amounts of mycotoxigenic fungi to reproduce rapidly and produce mycotoxins in stored rice (Li and others 2014). The Chinese government pays great attention to mycotoxin contamination in cereals and has renewed the MRLs and the standard detection methods for major mycotoxins in foods in 2011 (China's Ministry of Health 2011). Table 2 compares the Chinese MRLs of mycotoxins in rice with EU and other countries. In recent years, the Ministry of Agriculture of the People's Republic of China has launched a series of risk assessment projects for agro-products, including mycotoxin contamination of rice assessments across the whole country. Dozens of research institutes and analytical organizations including my lab has been participating in these long-term consecutive dynamic assessment projects. Although the detailed results have not yet been made public, actions to promote food safety have already been taken by the government and the efforts are perceivable. Consequently, understanding the conditions of mycotoxin contamination in rice in China is fundamental for the local governments to take actions to reduce health risk and ensure food safety.

Aflatoxins

Aflatoxins (AFs) are produced by fungi of genus *Aspergillus*, mainly *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus parasiticus* and rarely by *Aspergillus nomius* and *Aspergillus tamari* (Klich 2007; Iqbal and others 2012). These fungi are able to grow on different cereal grains and produce AFs before or during harvest, storage, handling, and shipment (Giray and others 2007; Reddy and others 2009a). The most important members are AFB₁, aflatoxin B₂ (AFB₂), aflatoxin

Table 2—Comparison of the MRLs of mycotoxins in rice with EU and other countries.

Countries or organization	Mycotoxins	MRLs $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$	Reference
China	AFB ₁	10	China's Ministry of Health 2011
	OTA	5	
EU	AFB ₁	2	European Commission Regulation 2006
	AFs	4	
	OTA	3	
	ZEN	100	
Brazil	OTA	50	Lai and others 2014a
Canada	AFs	15	Lai and others 2014a
India	AFB ₁	30	Lai and others 2014a
Japan	AFB ₁	10	Lai and others 2014a
Mexico	AFs	20	Lai and others 2014a
Russia	AFB ₁	5	Lai and others 2014a
USA	AFs	15	Lai and others 2014a

G₁ (AFG₁), and aflatoxin G₂ (AFG₂). They have been classified as Group 1 carcinogens to humans by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC 1993). Among them, AFB₁ has been confirmed the most toxic and carcinogenic (IARC 1993). Significant qualitative and quantitative differences exist in the aflatoxigenic capacity of isolates of *A. flavus* (Bezerra da Rocha and others 2014). Studies showed that only 50% of the strains of these species produce AFs; while up to 106 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ of AFs can be produced by some of the aflatoxigenic isolates (Klich and Pitt 1988; Cotty and others 1994). AFs significantly increase the risk of liver cancer in chronic hepatitis B patients (Groopman and others 2008) and are considered a risk factor for hepatocellular cancer development in Asia (Scholl and Groopman 2008).

Xie and others (2001a) reported an average content of 80.64 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for AFB₁ in 17 rice samples out of 22 in Hubei province; this is the highest level of AFB₁ that has ever been reported in China. These data are not concrete because the authors applied the ELISA method to detect AFB₁ and judged the samples as positive when AFB₁ $\geq 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. This high LOQ reveals that the above data is not very reliable. Another possible reason may be due to poor storage conditions where the collected rice samples were infected by mycotoxins-producing fungi thereby resulting in severe AFs contamination. However, high levels of AFB₁ contamination in rice have also been reported in Nigeria at $200.19 \pm 320.98 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (Makun and others 2007) and $37.2 \pm 14.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (Makun and others 2011), as well as in India at 60 to 600 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (Toteja and others 2006) in parboiled rice, due to inappropriate storage.

Fu and others (2004) performed a survey to investigate contamination situations of mycotoxins on grains. Thirty-one paddy rice samples were collected from different barns across China to determine mycotoxins using the ELISA method. The author's results showed a high incidence rate of AFs (B₁ +B₂ +G₁ +G₂) in rice at 90.3% with an average level of 1.97 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for the all 31 samples. They indicated that AFs and OTA were

the dominant mycotoxins, and the infection of *Aspergillus* was during the rice growing season as well as transportation. The authors concluded that rice in China was generally safe, and that it is widely contaminated by AFs in the tropical, subtropical, and temperate regions. However, the contamination level in tropical regions is significantly greater than that in temperate ones.

Liu and others (2006) conducted a survey on aflatoxin contamination in stored maize and rice in Liaoning province. 37 whole grain rice samples stored from 1 to 14 y were collected, among them 16 samples were tested as whole grain rice (paddy) and all the 37 as dehusked rice (brown rice). Their results demonstrated that almost all rice samples collected contained AFs. The average contents in paddy rice and brown rice were 3.87 and 0.88 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively. Through a dehusking treatment, 3-quarters of the total AFs in whole grain rice (3.87 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) can be reduced to as low as 0.88 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ in brown rice. In a 10-y storage span, no significant increase of aflatoxin content was found in whole grain rice and brown rice. In over 92% of rice samples tested, AFG₁ was determined as the main type of aflatoxin. The aflatoxin content in rice is much lower than the regulated MRLs in foodstuffs in China as well as other countries. The authors indicated that the average aflatoxin content (0.88 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) of brown rice in Liaoning province was much lower than those of other regions in Asia (Tang and others 1998; Tang 1999) due to the cooler weather conditions in northern China which inhibited the growth of these aflatoxigenic fungi.

Wang and Liu (2007) randomly collected 283 samples of corn, peanut, rice, walnut and pine nut from local markets in 8 regions (Chongqing, Fujian, Guangdong, Guangxi, Hubei, Jiangsu, Shanghai and Zhejiang) of China and performed a survey to investigate mycotoxins contamination in foods. They reported that very few rice samples with AFs were detected, with an AFs contamination rate of 27.38% and, an average AFs content of 0.79 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ in the rice samples. Their results showed that in 84 rice samples, 23 of them were detected as AFs positive with content range at 0.15 to 1.58 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. However, 16 out of 84 were detected as AFB₁ positive with content range at 0.15 to 3.22 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, 3 out of 84 were determined as AFB₂ positive with content range at 0.06 to 0.24 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, and 7 out of 84 were detected as AFG₁ positive with content range at 0.36 to 1.59 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. They consequently indicated that AFB₁ in foods might not be able to represent the contamination of AFs completely and suggested the establishment of total AFs besides AFB₁ in national and international standards. In another survey also conducted in Guangdong province, Huang and others (2007) reported that 8 and 3 out of 20 rice samples were detected as AFB₁ and AFB₂ positive, respectively. No AFG₁ and AFG₂ positive samples were determined in these samples. Although the authors applied Waters 600 high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to detect the AFs, the content of AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁, and AFG₂ had not been reported. They further revealed that rates over the MRL for AFs were detected in more samples from farmer markets (21.6%) than that from supermarkets (5.4%).

Yu and others (2008) studied the distribution characteristic of AFB₁ in paddy, brown, and rice samples from 2 warehouses. Their results indicated that significant differences existed for AFB₁ content among rice samples collected from different layers and spots in the same warehouse. Greater AFB₁ contents were detected in the paddy samples collected from the middle layers (warehouse #1, an average content of 15.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$; warehouse #2, an average content of 21.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) than that from the whole warehouse (warehouse #1, an average content of 12.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$; warehouse #2, an average

content of 17.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) and locations near the wall. Significant differences for AFB₁ content were also observed among rice, brown rice, and bran at 3.3, 32.2, and 270.9 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively. They indicated that the AFB₁ content in bran is 8.4 times greater than that of the brown rice and accounts for 90% of the total AFB₁ content in the brown rice. This is an evidence that most of the AFB₁ exists in the outer layer (rice husk and bran) of the paddy rice and brown rice is not safe for human consumption unless it is well stored in modern warehouses.

Teng and others (2011) investigated AFB₁ contamination in rice in Guangxi province. They collected 34 rice samples from different supermarkets, stores, and roadside markets and determined the AFB₁ content by using the national standard method (ELISA). 3 samples were found to contain AFB₁ at 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ and they were all from roadside markets. The authors hence inferred that the contamination of AFB₁ in their investigation was likely due to poor storage and transportation conditions.

Li and others (2014) investigated the occurrences of AFs, AFB₁, OTA, deoxynivalenol (DON), and ZEN for a total of 76 cereal and oil products (included 21 rice and derived products) collected from the Yangtze Delta region of China. Their results indicated that AFs and AFB₁ were determined in 14.5% of the samples and the contents ranging 1.1 to 35.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for AFs, and 1.0 to 32.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for AFB₁. Unfortunately, the authors did not provide specific data for rice and its derived products. Consequently, the above data can only be considered as a reference and cannot be applied in relative comparative studies.

Tang and others (2015) performed a dietary exposure assessment of AFB₁ in rice in Jiangxi province. Their results showed that the contents of AFB₁ in 517 rice samples were lower than the limits of "National Food Safety Standard –The Limits of Mycotoxins in Food" (National Food Safety Standards of China 2011). They assessed the dietary exposure to AFB₁ in rice of different high consumption groups, including 2- to 6-y-old children, standard adults, urban standard adults and rural standard adults at the P99 by Monte Carlo simulation (90% confidence interval). For the above groups, the contributions of AFB₁ at the P99 were 86.16 (74.19 to 102.91), 34.54 (29.74 to 41.25), 28.85 (24.84 to 34.46), 36.32 (31.27 to 43.38) ng/(kg bw•d), respectively, and the hepatocellular carcinoma incidences were 2.671 (2.300 to 3.190), 1.071 (0.922 to 1.279), 0.894 (0.770 to 1.068), and 1.126 (0.969 to 1.345) cancers/(10⁵ persons-year), respectively. They concluded that less dietary exposure risk to AFB₁ in rice existed in Jiangxi province; however, 2- to 6-y-old children have potential risk that deserves attention.

In the latest survey conducted by Lai and others (2015a), 370 rice samples were collected from 6 provinces (South China: Guangdong, Guangxi and Hainan province; Northeast: Heilongjiang, Liaoning and Jilin province) in China and tested for AFs; 63.5% (235/370) of rice samples were reported to contain detectable amounts of AFs. In the positive samples, the average level of AFB₁ and total AFs were 0.60 and 0.65 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively. AFB₁ and AFB₂ were present in samples from all 6 provinces and could be quantified in 87 and 32 samples, respectively. The authors indicated that differences exist in the contamination of AFs and AFB₁ in rice samples collected from various provinces. In rice samples collected from south China (Guangdong, Guangxi and Hainan province), the average content of AFs and AFB₁ was greater than those from the 3 north-east provinces (Heilongjiang, Liaoning and Jilin). In Liaoning province, 96.7% (29/30) of rice samples were contaminated with AFB₁ with no sample exceeding the LOQ (0.03 mg/kg). The

authors attributed the high contamination levels of AFs in rice to climatic conditions in south China (very hot and wet all year round) which may favor fungal growth and AFs production.

In 2015, Lai and others (2015b) also conducted a study to investigate the potential of 127 strains of *Aspergillus flavus* isolated from Chinese rice grains to produce AFB₁ and AFB₂. They inoculated these strains onto rice grains and incubated at 28 °C for 21 days, then extracted and quantified the aflatoxin. Their results demonstrated that 37% of the strains produced AFB₁ and AFB₂ with AFB₁ levels at 175 to 124101 µg/kg and AFB₂ at 0 to 10329 µg/kg. The mean yields of AFB₁ and AFB₂ of these isolates were 5884 µg/kg and 1968 µg/kg, respectively. They pointed out that higher levels of AFB₁ were produced in rice than AFB₂ by most of the aflatoxigenic strains.

The conditions of aflatoxin contamination of rice in China are generally safe based on a number of investigations conducted by Chinese researchers. However, due to highly diversified climatic conditions in China, AFs contamination incidences and levels vary greatly from province to province, from year to year. Some areas with high humidity and temperature during the rice maturing period are especially favorable for the growth of aflatoxigenic strains. AFs are also produced extensively in rice under unfavorable weather conditions in field and during storage due to poor environmental situations. These all pose a great threat to the health of consumers hence requiring the research institutes and local governments to take appropriate actions to ensure commercial rice safety.

Ochratoxin A

Ochratoxin A (OTA) is mainly produced by *Aspergillus ochraceus*, *Aspergillus carbonarius*, and *Penicillium verrucosum* (EFSA 2006). It is a well-known nephrotoxic agent and has been associated with fatal human kidney disease, referred to as Balkan Endemic Nephropathy (BEN) and with an increased incidence of tumors of the upper urinary tract (JECFA 2001). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC 1993) has classified OTA as possibly a carcinogen (group 2B), based on inadequate evidence for carcinogenicity in humans and sufficient evidence in animals.

In early 2005, Xie conducted a study and reported that a high OTA incidence rate of 87.1% (27/31) in rice samples from 5 provinces was detected, with an average OTA content of 2.48 µg/kg for all the 27 positive samples. He pointed out that AFs and OTA are the dominant mycotoxins in rice and the contamination of OTA should be paid more attention to.

In 2008, Yang investigated OTA contamination conditions of rice in China. The author collected 91 rice samples from 10 big cities across the country and determined the average content at 1.938 ± 1.33 µg/kg. The OTA contents of 2 samples reached 7.70 µg/kg and 6.03 µg/kg, respectively, which exceeded both the Chinese (5 µg/kg) and EU's MRLs (3 µg/kg). The average OTA contents of city 3 (4.07 µg/kg, n = 10) and city 5 (3.67 µg/kg, n = 10) were close to the Chinese MRL, but exceeded the EU's MRL. The author revealed that the MRL (5 µg/kg) exceeding samples were from cities Changsha, Harbin, Hefei, Nanning, Shenyang, and Zhengzhou.

Li and others (2014) sampled 76 cereal and oil products (including 21 rice and its derived products) in 5 cities from the Yangtze Delta region of China to investigate mycotoxin contamination. Their results showed that 14.5% of samples were contaminated with OTA at an average level of 3.5 µg/kg. However, they did not provide specific data for rice and its derived products.

As mentioned in the 2nd section, the survey of rice samples performed by Lai and others (2015a) not only included determination of AFs but also included OTA. Their results showed that 4.9% (18/370) of rice samples contained detectable amounts of OTA. The average content of OTA was 0.85 µg/kg in positive samples. 4.8% (3/62), 8.5% (5/59), 9.5% (9/138), and 1.5% (1/67) of samples from Heilongjiang, Jilin, Guangdong, and Guangxi province, respectively, can be detected as OTA positive. OTA was not determined in any of the rice samples from Liaoning and Hainan provinces. 6 samples were found and quantified for OTA from 4 provinces. Among the 18 OTA detectable rice samples, 6 of them had OTA contents exceeding the limits of quantification (LOQ, 0.3 µg/kg); one sample was from Heilongjiang, 2 from Jilin, and the rest 3 from Guangdong province. However, only one sample from Guangdong province had an OTA content greater than the maximum tolerable limit of 3.0 µg/kg set by the regulation of European Union Commission (European Commission Regulation 2006). The ratio of rice samples with OTA levels beyond the EU limit to those below was 0.3% (1/370). The authors concluded that the levels of the mycotoxin in rice are lower than regulatory limits and the rice commodities in China are generally safe.

Contamination of rice with OTA has also been widely reported in other countries including Nigeria, Morocco, Portugal, Spain, Pakistan, Jordan, Korea, Chile, Egypt, Turkey, Vietnam, and the United Kingdom (Abdelhamid 1990; Scudamore and others 1997; Park and others 2005; González and others 2006; Makun and others 2007; Nguyen and others 2007; Juan and others 2008a, 2008b; Vega and others 2009; Salem and Ahmad 2010; Aydin and others 2011; Majeed and others 2013; Iqbal and others 2016). Among these countries, mean values of 141.7 ± 25.4 , 12.6 ± 3.4 , 8.50 ± 0.60 , and 3.9 µg/kg for OTA were reported from Nigeria, Morocco, Pakistan, and Korea, respectively. These contamination levels are higher than those reported in China. Even though the OTA contamination levels of rice are normally lower in China than the other countries and it is generally considered safe upon data available in recent years, close attention is still needed on the occurrence and change tendency of OTA.

Zearalenone

Zearalenone (ZEN) is a secondary metabolite mainly produced by *Fusarium graminearum*. Under moist cool field conditions, *Fusarium* species grow and invade crops during blooming, but under poor storage conditions, they also grow and produce toxins at the postharvest stage (EFSA 2011a). Although ZEN is biologically potent, since it is rarely toxic, classifying it as a toxin is considered inappropriate (Bezerra da Rocha and others 2014). It has been concluded that ZEN is not classifiable regarding its carcinogenicity to humans (Group 3) by IARC (IARC 1993) and was proposed to be better fit the classification of a nonsteroidal estrogen or a mycoestrogen (Bennet and Klich 2003).

The natural occurrence of ZEN in rice has only been identified in a few of studies. Ma and others (2011) conducted a survey to investigate multimycotoxins contamination of cereals collected from various provinces in China. Rice samples were collected from Hunan and Jiangxi provinces and the ZEN contents were reported at average levels of 0.17 µg/kg and 0.10 µg/kg, respectively. Pan (2012) conducted a survey in 7 provinces in the central and south of China to investigate the contamination of ZEN in rice. The results revealed that the average content, incidence rate, and over MRL rate of ZEN for the 252 samples in total was 17.31 µg/kg, 25.4%, and 9.52%, respectively. His results showed that the ZEN contamination incidence in Fujian province reached a high level

Table 3—Contamination situation of ZEN in rice grown in different seasons from central and south of China. Adapted from Pan (2012).

	Total samples	Positive rate (%)	Exceed MRLs rate (%)	Range of positive samples ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)	Mean ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)
Early indica rice	16	12.50	6.25	46.40–670.80	44.83 \pm 44.16 ^a
Medium indica rice	43	18.60	2.33	1.24–77.05	6.40 \pm 4.44 ^b
Late indica rice	13	53.85	0.00	0.50–14.45	2.95 \pm 4.81 ^b

Table 4—Major mycotoxigenic fungal species found in rice in China.

Mycotoxins	Classification	Fungal species	References
Aflatoxins	Aflatoxin B ₁	<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	Xie and others 2001a, 2001b; Lai and others 2015b
	Aflatoxin B ₂	<i>Aspergillus parasiticus</i>	
	Aflatoxin G ₁		
	Aflatoxin G ₂		
Fumonisin	Fumonisin B ₁	<i>Fusarium fujikuroi</i>	Yan and others 1999
	Fumonisin B ₂	<i>Fusarium graminearum</i>	Li and others 2000
		<i>Fusarium proliferatum</i>	Sun and others 2005
		<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Hou 2013
		<i>Fusarium verticillioides</i>	
Sterigmatocystin		<i>Aspergillus nidulans</i>	Li and others 2000
		<i>Aspergillus versicolor</i>	Xie and others 2001b; Sun and others 2005
Fumitremorgin		<i>Fusarium moniliforme</i>	Zhang and others 2001; Sun and others 2005

of 50% and the average contents in Hunan and Zhejiang provinces reached 52.93 and 47.82 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively. Although these data points are lower than the national MRL for cereals (60 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$), the maximum contents of 2095.08 and 670.86 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ in the above 2 provinces far exceed the National MRL. ZEN contamination of rice grown in different seasons was also studied in this work and the author demonstrated that the average ZEN content in early Indica rice was significantly greater than that of the medium and late ones, whereas no significant difference was observed between the medium and late ones (Table 3). In a study of determination method performed by Wang and others (2016), they collected naturally contaminated samples (corn, wheat, and rice) on local markets (Nanchang, Jiangxi province) and detected contamination of mycotoxin ZEN. Their results showed that ZEN was not detectable in only 5 rice samples whereas it was mostly detectable in both the 15 corn and 15 wheat samples. Their results indicated that the contamination of ZEN in rice is possibly lower than in corn and wheat.

Based on limited information available from literature, it seems like ZEN is not a serious concern among mycotoxin contamination of rice in China. However, conditions of ZEN contamination in rice have attracted our attention in 2 provinces, namely Hunan and Zhejiang, their relatively high average contents and ranges of positive samples need continuous monitoring.

Fusarium Mycotoxins

Fumonisin (FB) are mainly produced by *Fusarium* species from the *Liseola* section. The major producing species are *Fusarium verticillioides* (*syn. Fusarium moniliforme*) and *Fusarium proliferatum*. Fumonisin are suspected to be a possible contributory risk factor for primary liver cancer (Ueno and others 1997) since they promote hepatocarcinogenesis in rats (Rilay and others 1994). From a toxicological point of view, fumonisin B₁ (FB₁) is the most important fumonisin. The IARC has classified FB₁ as “possibly carcinogenic to humans” (class 2B) (IARC 2002).

A survey conducted by Yu and others (1999) showed that FB was undetectable in the 40 rice samples collected in Sichuan province using both ELISA and HPLC methods. However, 27 out of 70 corn samples were detected FB positive with an average content of 0.68 mg/kg. Lv and others (2005) investigated

contamination situations of fumonisins and the mycotoxins producing *Fusarium* in main grains in Hubei province. Their results showed that an average FB₁ content of 12.55 mg/kg was detected, whereas *Fusarium* species had not been determined whatsoever in fresh rice (current year) or stale rice (last year) samples. They concluded that this phenomenon was consistent with reports from other provinces and speculated that during harvest, processing, and storage, the *Fusarium* species were substituted by other species due to the change of environmental conditions, hence the mycoflora on rice was changed however the FB left in the rice.

One question arises after carefully consideration of the above data, the average content of 12.55 mg/kg of FB₁ seems too high in comparison with the results of other countries such as Korea (mean value of FB₁ at 54.4 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) (Park and others 2005), Nigeria (mean value of FB₁ at 0.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) (Makun and others 2011), Iran (FB₁ at 98 to 711 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) (Dowling 1997), and Japan (FB₁ at 0.061 to 0.101 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) (Kushiro and others 2008). A difference in FB₁ contents by a factor of 100 between China and other countries (except Iran) results in the suspicion that the data from China may be incorrect due to an inadequate determination method or even incorrect calculations. However, these high fumonisin levels were also reported by Li and others (2000) (27.9 mg/kg) and Xie and others (2001a) (12 mg/kg). In addition, another study performed in Iran demonstrated even greater values, the average FB₁ contamination level of fresh and stored rice collected from 15 different zones ranged from 0 to 56.2 and 4.3 to 56.2 mg/kg, respectively, using HPLC method (Khosravi and others 2013). In comparison, Zhang and others (2010) reported an average contamination level of 0.87 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for FB₁ in Jiangxi province. One difference between the above normal values and the extreme values from China is the determination methods, the extremely great values are all obtained using ELISA method, whereas the normal data is mostly determined using HPLC detection. It is noticeable that similar data obtained in Iran was also employed HPLC method. Consequently, it is probably true that the high contamination levels of FB reported in rice from both China and Iran are incorrect. Further investigation is needed to confirm the extremely high contamination levels of fumonisins in these areas of China through comparative methods by using HPLC and ELISA.

Qiu and others (2015) conducted a survey to investigate FB₁ contamination in marketed rice (63 samples in total from 5 cities) in Jiangxi province and no FB₁ positive samples was detected. They consequently concluded that no FB₁ was found in commercial rice from Jiangxi province.

Besides the above discussed mycotoxin contamination in rice, some rarely covered ones in rice have also been reported in China. In a survey conducted in Henan province, Liao and others (1999) determined sterigmatocystin (ST) and fumitremorgin (FT) in rice samples. However, the authors did not provide the data of incidence rates of ST and FT as well as mean value of FT; they just mentioned that the positive rate of FT contaminated rice was between that of wheat (7.21%) and corn (2.41%). Li and others (2000) reported an average ST content of 8.6 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ in rice. Liu and others (2000) found that the positive rate of ST in stale rice samples was significantly greater than that of fresh rice. They also reported a 100% positive rate of ST in the strains which was much higher in comparison with those in rice samples and an average ST content of 1559.04 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ in these strains. Xie and others (2001a) also reported the detection of ST at 105 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ in Hubei province, as well as FT at 1.00 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$. Tian and Liu (2004) conducted a survey on ST contamination of rice in 5 regions (included 12 provinces) and reported the positive rates at 62.2, 84.0, 81.2, 86.3, and 55.8%, and average contamination levels of 10.4, 38.4, 24.5, 5.1, and 4.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively, for the Northeast, Northwest, East, Central, and Southwest regions. Xie (2005) reported an average of T-2 toxin and deoxynivalenol (DON) contents of 92.13 and 24.84 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively, from various provinces. He indicated that the contaminations of T-2 and DON mainly occurred in temperate and warm regions. However, T-2 was also reported in the northernmost province of Heilongjiang at an average content of 0.17 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ (Feng and others 2004). Other mycotoxins contamination of rice confirmed in China including nivalenol (NIV), diacetoxyscirpenol (DAS), HT-2 toxin (HT-2), 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3A DON), 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol (15A DON), deoxynivalenol-3-glucoside (DON 3G), and fusarenon-X (FX) have also been reported (Ma and others 2011). Li and others (2011) further reported detection of FX, NIV, and DAS in rice from Guangxi province at median values of 5.5, 23.5, and 35.2 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively.

Major Mycotoxigenic Fungal Species

In 1986, Yin and others separated and identified 30 genera and 84 species of fungi from the ripening paddy rice in the fields and the paddy rice stored for 2 y from 25 provinces of China. Among them 26 species were identified as dominant, including *Aspergillus glaucus*, *Aspergillus candidus*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus nidulans*, and *Aspergillus versicolor*. Fortunately, most of these species are not mycotoxigenic fungi. This is an early well established comprehensive work on fungal identification and classification in rice from different regions of China. Zhou and others (2008) and Tang and others (2009) identified nonmycotoxigenic fungi *Aspergillus glaucus* and *Aspergillus candidus* as the main spoilage fungi in stored paddy rice that affect rice storage safety. Liao and others (1999) and Xie and others (2001b) reported that the major mycotoxigenic fungi identified in Hubei and Henan provinces are *Aspergillus nidulans* and *Aspergillus versicolor*. Hou (2013) isolated 216 strains of *Fusarium* spp. from infected rice panicle samples in Guangxi province and then identified and divided these strains into 5 species: *F. fujikuroi*, *F. graminearum*, *F. proliferatum*, *F. oxysporum*, and *F. verticillioides*. The fumonisin content in the crude toxin of *F. fujikuroi*, *F. verticillioides*, *F. graminearum*, *F. proliferatum*, and *F. oxysporum*, were

5379.03, 638.62, 139.39, 13.87, and 2.16 ppm, respectively. A total of 127 strains of *A. flavus*, which were isolated from rice samples collected from 12 provinces in China were selected by Lai and others (2015b) to test for aflatoxin production in cultures of rice grain. Their results showed that 47 out of 127 (37%) tested isolates produced AFs at levels ranging from 175 to 124101 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for AFB₁ and 0 to 10329 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for AFB₂. They indicated that the percentage of aflatoxigenic strains over total *A. flavus* strains differed significantly in different provinces and the mean yields of the aflatoxin-producing isolates were 5884, 1968, and 7852 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for AFB₁, AFB₂, and AFs (AFB₁ + AFB₂), respectively. The authors pointed out that the high yields of AFs in rice grain cultures by *A. flavus* strains might be due to incubation under optimal conditions whereas the actual levels of AFs in rice samples in China were very low. Table 4 lists major mycotoxigenic fungal species found in rice in China. In general, literature on mycotoxigenic fungal species isolation and identification from rice is still very limited in China and more work need to be done to clarify the specific species occur in various regions.

Risk Assessment of Mycotoxins in Human Health in China

Risk assessment of mycotoxins contaminated rice in human health in China is still limited due to long term grain shortage. However, in the past 10 y, with increased grain output, the Chinese government is aware of the significance of food safety and has been launching projects on risk assessment of mycotoxins, pesticides, and heavy metals in grains as well as in animal products and vegetables in human health hazards through the Ministry of Agriculture. Due to political reasons, these results are not open to public. Consequently, limited information is available in this field.

Yang (2008) conducted an investigation and risk assessment of OTA in main foods in China and obtained average OTA exposure levels of 0.4771, 0.3282, 0.0428, and 0.0067 $\mu\text{g}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{d})$ for adults from rice, wheat products, beans, and nuts, respectively. The total average OTA intake was estimated at 0.8548 $\mu\text{g}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{d})$ with the margin of safety of OTA at 94%. They concluded that rice accounted for 55.8% of the total OTA intake and in general the OTA exposure in main food in China was safe. In another risk assessment investigation, Guo and others (2014) determined fumonisins contamination in major food products in China and assessed the dietary exposure risk. Their results indicated that the overall average fumonisins intakes in various sex-age groups ranged from 0.162 to 0.369 $\mu\text{g}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{d})$, far lower than the provisional maximum tolerable daily intake of 2 $\mu\text{g}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{d})$, which was established by the Joint Food and Agriculture Organization and World Health Organization Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) (Bolger and others 2001). In addition, the average intake of fumonisins in rice products for the group of 2- to 4-y-old and 4- to 7-y-old children were estimated to be the highest levels among the all age groups at 0.133 and 0.129 $\mu\text{g}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{d})$, respectively, which accounted for 37.3% and 36.2% of the total fumonisins intake including rice products, wheat products, other cereal products, dry beans, and nuts. In comparison, the average intake of fumonisins in wheat products for the group of 2- to 4-y-old and 4- to 7-y-old children were also estimated to be the highest levels among the all age groups at 0.1595 and 0.171 $\mu\text{g}/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{d})$, respectively, which accounted for 44.7% and 48.0% of the total fumonisins intake. They hence concluded that the risk of public health hazards induced by fumonisins in food was low in China and the health risk of rice products was even lower than wheat products.

In a study performed by Tang and others (2015), the authors assessed dietary exposure of AFB₁ in rice in Jiangxi province. Dietary exposure of AFB₁ intake was assessed at general consumption and high consumption levels and the data was evaluated using @ Risk software based on Monte Carlo simulation. Their results indicated that the dietary exposure of AFB₁ intake for 2- to 6-y-old children, standard adults, urban standard adults, and rural standard adults at the P99 were 86.16 (74.19 to 102.91), 34.54(29.74 to 41.25), 28.85 (24.84 to 34.46), and 36.32 (31.27 to 43.38) ng/(kg bw/d), respectively. The hepatocellular carcinoma incidences were 2.671 (2.300 to 3.190), 1.071 (0.922 to 1.279), 0.894 (0.770 to 1.068), and 1.126 (0.969 to 1.345) cancers/(105 persons/year). They consequently concluded that the dietary exposure risk of AFB₁ in rice was low in Jiangxi province; however, 2- to 6-y-old children had an inherent risk that should be paid attention to. Due to the highly variable mycotoxin content of rice and other grains in different seasons and regions all over China, and the advance in toxicological data sets for mycotoxins, more sophisticated and practical human health risk assessment models will be developed. However, interactions of mycotoxins and emerging human epidemics of various chronic and infectious diseases are still to pose great challenges to researchers and governments.

Conclusions

Due to inappropriate storage conditions, rice can be an ideal substrate for mycotoxin producing fungi and most of the aflatoxigenic *A. flavus* strains isolated from rice collected in China showed a high capacity for aflatoxin production. Due to greatly diversified climatic conditions in different regions of China, such as Sichuan province which is located in a basin and has climate features with high temperature and humidity in summer and the Yangtze Delta region which is extremely humid in the rainy season and is appropriate for mold growing, as well as poor rice storage conditions of farmers, these unfavorable situations enable fungi to grow rapidly and produce mycotoxins. Consequently, high risk of mycotoxin contamination is unavoidable. Although the Chinese government has updated the national standard of maximum residue limits for major mycotoxins in rice, more mycotoxins should be included to ensure rice safety. From the above data reviewed, it can be concluded that rice in China is generally safe. However, the data obtained from open source is very limited possibly due to the government's confidential policy. Another phenomenon is that researchers put more emphasizes on mycotoxins detection rather than the mycotoxin producing strains identification. Some research data showed that AFB₁ in rice might not be able to completely represent the contamination of AFs; hence, it is necessary to establish the MRL for total AFs besides AFB₁ in national and international standards. In general, more efforts need to be put into risk assessment on mycotoxin contamination of rice especially in those hot and humid regions. The local governments also need to increase investment to build more modern rice storage warehouses with air conditioning to keep rice under optimal temperature and humidity, along with a pest controlling system to prevent postharvest mycotoxin contamination. Limited studies on risk assessment of human health hazards revealed that children are at greater risk than the other age groups, and consequently need to be paid more attention to. Further investigation should focus on isolation and identification of mycotoxin producing fungi strains, especially determination and masked mycotoxin isolation of unknown mycotoxin producing fungal strains. Rice breeding of infection resistance to mycotoxigenic fungal species is probably a fundamental approach to guarantee Chinese rice safety. A better approach is

the combination of survey for mycotoxins with intake estimations and risk assessment of exposures of human health hazards to populations by monitoring the appropriate biomarkers. This parallel approach would provide a better foundation for developing effective preventative strategies of mycotoxin contamination.

Acknowledgments

Financial supports from the Foundation for Excellent Academic Leaders of Harbin (2013RFXJ049 and 2016RAXYJ085) and National Quality and Safety Risk Assessment Project for Food and Oil Crop Products (GJFP2014006) are greatly acknowledged.

References

- Abdelhamid AM. 1990. Occurrence of some mycotoxins (aflatoxins, ochratoxinA, citrinin, zearalenone and vomitoxin) in various Egyptian feeds. Arch Tierernahr 40:647-64.
- Almeida MI, Almeida NG, Carvalho KL, Goncalves GAA, Silva CN, Santos EA, Garcia JC, Vargas EA. 2012. Cooccurrence of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁ and G₂, ochratoxin A, zearalenone, deoxynivalenol, and citreoviridin in rice in Brazil. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 29:694-703.
- Aydin A, Aksu H, Gunes U. 2011. Mycotoxin levels and incidence of mould in Turkish rice. Environ Monit Assess 178:271-80.
- Bansal J, Pantazopoulos P, Tam J, Cavlovic P, Kwong K, Turcotte AM, Lau BPY, Scott PM. 2011. Surveys of rice sold in Canada for aflatoxins, ochratoxin A and fumonisins. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 28:767-74.
- Bennet JW, Klich M. 2003. Mycotoxins. Clin Microbiol Rev 16:497-516.
- Bezerra da Rocha ME, da Chagas Oliveira Freire F, Erlan Feitosa Maia F, Florindo Guedes MI, Rondina D. 2014. Mycotoxins and their effects on human and animal health. Food Control 36:159-65.
- Bolger M, Coker RD, DiNovi M, Gaylor D, Gelderblom W, Olsen M, Paster N, Riley RT, Shephard G, Speijers GJA. 2001. Fumonisin. In: Safety evaluation of certain mycotoxins in food, WHO Food Additives Series 47, FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 74: 103-279. Prepared by the 56th Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA). Geneva.
- Cai JM, Wang B, Li XT. 2011. Survey on hygiene situation of corn and rice in Jilin region in 2009. Chin J Dis Control 15:1068-70. (In Chinese)
- Cotty PJ, Bayman P, Egel DS, Elias KS. 1994. Agriculture, aflatoxins and *Aspergillus*. In: Powell KA, Rewick A, Reberdy JF, editors. The genus *Aspergillus*: from taxonomy and genetics to industrial application. New York: Plenum Press. p 1-27.
- Dowling TS. 1997. Fumonisin and its toxic effects. Cereal Food World 42:13-5.
- Elena SB, Magda C, Ignacio MR, Pavel CU, Josefina CE, Sandra GA, Jose MMV. 2013. Aflatoxin (B₁, B₂, G₁, and G₂) contamination in rice of Mexico and Spain from local sources or imported. J Food Sci 78:1822-9.
- European Commission Regulation. 2006. Commission regulation (EC) nr. 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. Off J Eur Union L364:5-18.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2006. Opinion of the scientific panel on the contaminants in the food chain on a request from the commission related to ochratoxin A in food. EFSA J 365:1-56.
- European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). 2011. Scientific opinion on the risks for public health related to the presence of zearalenone in food. EFSA J 9:2197.
- Feng J, Cao YH, Wang SP, Gao B, Sun XN. 2004. Report on the level of T-2 toxin in cereals sampled from markets of Heilongjiang province. Chin J Epidemiol 23:560-1. (In Chinese)
- Ferre FS. 2016. Worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins in rice. Food Control 62:291-8.
- Fokunang CN, Tembe-Fokunang EA, Tomkins P, Barkwan S. 2006. Global impact of mycotoxins on human and animal health management. Outlook Agric 35:247-53.
- Fu PC, Li RT, Xie G, Chen L, Wu JL. 2004. A survey and analyze of contamination by mycotoxin in cereal. Grain Storage 32:49-51. (In Chinese)
- Giray B, Girgin G, Engin AB, Aydin S, Sahin G. 2007. Aflatoxin levels in wheat samples consumed in some regions of Turkey. Food Control 18:23-9.
- Glenn AE. 2007. Mycotoxigenic *Fusarium* species in animal feed. Animal Feed Science and Technology 137:213-40.
- González L, Juan C, Soriano JM, Moltó JC, Mañ es J. 2006. Occurrence and daily intake of ochratoxin A of organic and non-organic rice and rice products. Int J Food Microbiol 107:223-7.
- Groopman JD, Kensler TW, Wild CP. 2008. Protective interventions to prevent aflatoxin-induced Carcinogenesis in developing countries. Ann Rev Public Health 29:187-203.
- Guo YD, Liu YR, Yuan YH, Yue TL. 2014. Fumonisin contamination in major food products and its dietary risk assessment in China. J Northwest Agric Forestry Univ 42:78-82. 88. (In Chinese)
- Hoeltz M, Fagundes CA, Lobo Alcayata EA, Noll IB. 2009. Mycobiota and mycotoxins in rice samples collected during the stationary drying and storage system. Ciencia Rural 39:803-8.
- Hou EQ. 2013. Studies on biological characteristics and toxin of rice spikelet rot disease pathogens *Fusarium spp* [Master thesis]. Guangxi Univ, Nanning. (In Chinese)
- Huang XD, Long CY, Liang CH, Lu L, Gao YH. 2007. A survey on aflatoxins contamination of rice, peanut and their products marketed in Guangdong province. South China J Prevent Med 33:62-3. (In Chinese)
- Huang JF, Jiang K, Liu PP, Zhou ZN, Wu Y. 2016. The analysis of the aflatoxin B₁ in main foods in China. Food Ind 37:295-7 (In Chinese)
- Hussaini AM, Michael FD, Patrick BN, Mulunda M, Adamu YK. 2011. Natural multi-occurrence of mycotoxins in rice from Niger state, Nigeria. Mycotoxin Res 27:97-104.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) 1993. Some naturally occurring substances: food items and constituents, heterocyclic aromatic amines and mycotoxins. In: IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, vol. 56. Lyon, France: IARC Press. p 245-395.

- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). 2002. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans. Some traditional herbal medicines, some mycotoxins, naphthalene and styrene, vol. 82. Lyon: IARC Press, p 301–66
- Iqbal SZ, Asi MR, Ariño A, Akram N, Zuber M. 2012. Aflatoxin contamination in different fractions of rice from Pakistan and estimation of dietary intakes. *Mycotoxin Res* 28:175–80.
- Iqbal SZ, Asi MR, Hanif U, Zuber M, Jinap S. 2016. The presence of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in rice and rice products: a survey. *Food Chem* doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.04.104>.
- Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee of Food Additives (JECFA). 2001. In Ochratoxin A, in "Safety evaluations of specific mycotoxins." In: Prepared by the Fifty-sixth Meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, February 6–15, Geneva.
- Juan C, Moltó JC, Lino CM, Mañes J. 2008a. Determination of ochratoxin A in organic and non-organic cereals and cereal products from Spain and Portugal. *Food Chem* 107:525–30.
- Juan C, Zinedine A, Idrissi L, Mañes J. 2008b. Ochratoxin A in rice on the Moroccan retail market. *Int J Food Microbiol* 126:83–5.
- Khosravi AR, Shokri H, Zaboli F. 2013. Grain-borne mycoflora and fumonisin B₁ from fresh-harvested and stored rice in northern Iran. *Jundishapur J Microbiol* 6:e6414.
- Klich MA. 2007. *Aspergillus flavus*: the major producer of aflatoxin. *Mol Plant Pathol* 8:713–22.
- Klich MA, Pitt JI. 1988. Differentiation of *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* and other closely related species. *Trans Br Mycol Soc* 91:99–108.
- Kushiro M, Nagata R, Nakagawa H, Nagashima H. 2008. Liquid chromatographic detection of fumonisins in rice seed. *Rep Natl Food Res Inst* 72:37–44.
- Lai XW, Zhang H, Liu CL. 2014a. Research progress in aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in rice. *Sci Technol Food Ind* 22:386–91. (In Chinese)
- Lai XW, Sun DL, Ruan CQ, Zhang H, Liu CL. 2014b. Rapid analysis of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, and ochratoxin A in rice samples using dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction combined with HPLC. *J Separation Sci* 37:92–8.
- Lai XW, Liu RC, Ruan CQ, Zhang H, Liu CL. 2015a. Occurrence of aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in rice samples from six provinces in China. *Food Control* 50:401–4.
- Lai XW, Zhang H, Liu RC, Liu CL. 2015b. Potential for aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ production by *Aspergillus flavus* strains isolated from rice samples. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 22:176–80.
- Li J, Li S, Yan Y. 2000. Investigation of several mycotoxins contamination on main grains in Shandong province. *Grain Storage* 29:42–4 (In Chinese)
- Li FQ, Yu CC, Shao B, Wang W, Yu HX. 2011. Natural occurrence of masked deoxynivalenol and multi-mycotoxins in cereals from China harvested in 2007 and 2008. *Chin J Prevent Med* 45:57–63. (In Chinese)
- Li K, Qiu F, Yang M, Liang ZH, Zhou HT. 2013. Survey of aflatoxins contamination of foodstuffs and edible oil in Shenzhen. *J Hyg Res* 42:610–4. (In Chinese)
- Li R, Wang X, Zhou T, Yang DG, Wang Q, Zhou Y. 2014. Occurrence of four mycotoxins in cereal and oil products in Yangtze Delta region of China and their food safety risks. *Food Control* 35:117–22.
- Liao XG, Zhang XL, Wang AY, Zhang L, Liu XM. 1999. Study on contamination situations of fumonisins, sterigmatocystin and fumitremorgins on grains in Henan province. *Chin J Public Health* 18:46–7. (In Chinese)
- Liu GH, Sun WC, Zhao GH, Kong XY. 2000. Study on contamination of *Aspergillus versicolor* and *Aspergillus nidulans* and sterigmatocystin production in three major grains in Jilin province. *Chin J Health Lab Technol* 10:472–4. (In Chinese)
- Liu Z, Gao J, Yu J. 2006. Aflatoxins in stored maize and rice grains in Liaoning Province, China. *J Stored Products Res* 42:468–79.
- Lv B, Xie MH, Yang XM. 2005. Investigation on fumonisin contamination in major grains and the mycotoxigenic fungi contamination in Hubei province. *Journal of Public Health and Preventive Medicine* 16(3):360. (In Chinese)
- Ma JJ, Shao B, Lin XH, Yu HX, Li FQ. 2011. Studies on the natural occurrence of multi-mycotoxin in cereal and cereal-based product samples collected from parts of China in 2010. *Chin J Food Hyg* 23:481–8. (In Chinese)
- Majeed S, Iqbal M, Asi MR, Iqbal SZ. 2013. Aflatoxins and ochratoxin A contamination in rice, corn and corn products from Punjab, Pakistan. *J Cereal Sci* 58:446–50.
- Makun HA, Gbodi TA, Akanya OH, Salako EA, Ogbadu GH. 2007. Fungi and some mycotoxins contaminating rice (*Oryza Sativa*) in Niger State, Nigeria. *Afr J Biotechnol* 6:99–108.
- Makun HA, Dutton MF, Njobeh PB, Mwanza M, Kabiru AY. 2011. Natural multi-occurrence of mycotoxins in rice from Niger State, Nigeria. *Mycotoxin Res* 27:97–104.
- Marroquín-Cardona AG, Johnson NM, Phillips TD, Hayes AW. 2014. Mycotoxins in a changing global environment – A review. *Food Chem Toxicol* 69:220–30.
- National Food Safety Standards of China. 2011. Maximum levels of mycotoxins in foods. GB 2761-2011 (p 1–7). China's Ministry of Health. (In Chinese).
- Nguyen MT, Tzolovanu M, Tran TL, Pfohl-Leschkowitz A. 2007. Occurrence of aflatoxin B₁, citrinin and ochratoxin A in rice in five provinces of the central region of Vietnam. *Food Chem* 105:42–7.
- Nielsen KF, Mogensen JM, Johansen M, Larsen TO, Frisvad JC. 2009. Review of secondary metabolites and mycotoxins from the *Aspergillus niger* group. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 395:1225–42.
- Ok HE, Kim DM, Kim D, Chung SH, Chung MS, Park KH, Chun HS. 2014. Mycobiota and natural occurrence of aflatoxin, deoxynivalenol, nivalenol and zearalenone in rice freshly harvested in South Korea. *Food Control* 37:284–91.
- Pan HY. 2012. Research on contamination and toxicology of zearalenone in paddy rice [Master thesis]. Wuhan Univ of Technology, Wuhan, Hubei. (In Chinese)
- Park JW, Choi SY, Hwang HJ, Kim YB. 2005. Fungal mycoflora and mycotoxins in Korean polished rice destined for humans. *Int J Food Microbiol* 103:305–14.
- Pereira VL, Fernandes JO, Cunha SC. 2014. Mycotoxins in cereals and related foodstuffs: a review on occurrence and recent methods of analysis. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 36:96–136.
- Pitt JI, Taniwaki MH, Cole MB. 2013. Mycotoxin production in major crops as influenced by growing, harvesting, storage and processing, with emphasis on the achievement of food safety objectives. *Food Control* 32:205–15.
- Qiu W, Lin F, Li SM, Ye XH. 2015. Survey of fumonisin B₁ contamination of marketed rice in Jiangxi province. *J Gannan Med Univ* 35:862–6. (In Chinese)
- Reddy OR, Sathyanarayana N. 2001. Seed-borne fungi of rice and quarantine significance. In: Sreenivasaprasad S, Johnson R, editors. Major fungal diseases of rice, recent advances. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers. p 367.
- Reddy KRN, Reddy CS, Abbas CS, Abel CA, Muralidharan K. 2008. Mycotoxigenic fungi, mycotoxins and management of rice grains. *Toxin Rev* 27:287–317.
- Reddy KRN, Reddy CS, Kumar PN, Reddy CS, Muralidharan K. 2009a. Genetic variability of aflatoxin B₁ producing *Aspergillus flavus* strains isolated from discolored rice grains. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 25:33–9.
- Reddy KRN, Reddy CS, Muralidharan K. 2009b. Potential of botanicals and biocontrol agents on growth and aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* infecting rice grains. *Food Control* 20:173–8.
- Reiter EV, Vouk F, Bohm J, Ebrahim RF. 2010. Aflatoxins in rice – a limited survey of products marketed in Austria. *Food Control* 21:988–91.
- Rilay RT, Voss KA, Yoo HS, Gelderblom WCA, Merrill AH. 1994. Mechanism of fumonisin toxicity and carcinogenicity. *J Food Prot* 57:638–45.
- Salem NM, Ahmad R. 2010. Mycotoxins in food from Jordan: preliminary survey. *Food Control* 21:1099–103.
- Scholl PF, Groopman JD. 2008. Long-term stability of human aflatoxin B₁ albumin adducts assessed by isotope dilution mass spectrometry and high performance liquid chromatography-Fluorescence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 17:1436–39.
- Scudamore KA, Hetmanski MT, Chan HK, Collins S. 1997. Occurrence of mycotoxins in raw materials used for animal feeding stuffs in the United Kingdom in 1992. *Food Addit Contam* 14:157–73.
- Sempere F, Santamarina MP. 2010. Efficacy of *Trichoderma harzianum* in suppression of *Fusarium culmorum*. *Ann Microbiol* 60:335–40.
- Siror V, Fremy JM, Leblanc JC. 2013. Dietary exposure to mycotoxins and health risk assessment in the second French total diet study. *Food Chem Toxicol* 52:1–11.
- Sun WC, Liu GH, Yang H, Kong XY, Huang X. 2005. A survey on fungi and their mycotoxins contamination in grains. *China Public Health* 21:1532. (In Chinese)
- Sun G, Wang S, Hu X, Su J, Zhang Y, Xie Y, Zhang H, Tang L, Wang JS. 2011. Co-contamination of aflatoxin B₁ and fumonisin B₁ in food and human dietary exposure in three areas of China. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess* 28:461–70.
- Tan DF. 2006. The contamination research of ochratoxin A from cereals in six provinces and assessment of dietary intake exposure in our country [Master thesis]. Shijiazhuang, Hebei Medical Univ. (In Chinese)
- Tanaka K, Sago Y, Zheng YZ, Nakagawa H, Kushiro M. 2007. Mycotoxins in rice. *Int J Food Microbiol* 119:59–66.
- Tang J. 1999. Research progress of aflatoxin in Guangxi. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Veterinary Medicine* 4:33–5 (in Chinese).
- Tang Z, Li G, Kang Y, Zhong Z. 1998. The investigation of the groundnut contaminated by *Aspergillus flavus* before harvest. *Fujian J Agric Sci* 13:25–8. (In Chinese).
- Tang Z, Li G, Kang Y, Zhong Z. 1998. The investigation of the groundnut contaminated by *Aspergillus flavus* before harvest. *Fujian Journal of Agriculture Science* 13:25–8. (In Chinese).
- Tang F, Cheng SF, Wu SL. 2009. Growth of spoilage fungi in stored paddy. *J Chin Cereals Oils Assoc* 24:98–100. (In Chinese)
- Tang X, Ren WJ, Chen B, Xu Y, Li YP. 2015. Analysis and dietary exposure assessment of aflatoxin B₁ in rice in Jiangxi province. *Food Sci Technol* 40:315–9. (In Chinese)
- Teng NY, Song NN, Liu T. 2011. Sampling, survey and analyses of aflatoxin B₁ in marketed edible oil and rice in Guangxi region. *Chin J Health Lab Technol* 21:1531–2. (In Chinese)
- Tian HQ, Liu XM. 2004. Survey and analysis on sterigmatocystin contamination in grains in China. *J Hyg Res* 33:606–8. (In Chinese)
- Toteja GS, Mukherjee A, Diwaker S, Singh P, Saxena BN, Sinha KK, Sinha AK, Kumar N, Nagaraja KV, Bai G, Krishna PCA, Vanchinathan S, Roy R, Sarkar S. 2006. Aflatoxin B₁ contamination of parboiled rice samples collected from different states of India: a multi-centre study. *Food Addit Contam Part A* 23:411–4.
- Trucksee MW. 2000. Joint Mycotoxin committee report. *J AOAC Int* 83:536–41.
- Ueno Y, Iijima K, Wang SD, Sugiura Y, Sekijima M, Tanaka T, Chen C, Yu SZ. 1997. Fumonisin as a possible contributory risk factor for primary liver cancer: a 3-year study of corn harvested in Haimen, China, by HPLC and ELISA. *Food Chem Toxicol* 35:1143–50.
- Vega M, Muñoz K, Sepúlveda C, Aranda M, Campos V, Villegas R, Villarreal O. 2009. Solid-phase extraction and HPLC determination of ochratoxin A in cereals products on Chilean market. *Food Control* 20:631–4.
- Wang J, Liu XM. 2006. Surveillance on contamination of total aflatoxins in corn, rice, walnut and pine nut in several areas in China. *Chin J Prevent Med* 40:33–7. (In Chinese)
- Wang J, Liu XM. 2007. Contamination of aflatoxins in different kinds of food in China. *Biomed Environ Sci* 20:483–7.
- Wang X, He Q, Xu Y, Liu X, Shu M, Tu Z, Li YP, Wang W, Cao DM. 2016. Anti-idiotypic VHH phage display-mediated immuno-PCR for ultrasensitive determination of mycotoxin zearalenone in cereals. *Talanta* 147:410–5.
- Xie G. 2005. A study of the mycotoxins in grains [Master thesis]. Chengdu, Sichuan Univ. (In Chinese)
- Xie MH, Yang XM, Xin XF, Lv B, Chen YD. 2001a. Survey and analysis of mycotoxins contamination in grains from Hubei province. *Hubei J Prevent Med* 12:3–4. (In Chinese)
- Xie MH, Yang XM, Xin XF, Lv B, Yi GQ. 2001b. Study on fungi contamination and fungi phase variation in 10 years in Hubei province. *Hubei J Prevent Med* 12:5. (In Chinese)
- Yan Y, Zhang YQ, Li RY. 1999. Fumonisin contamination of main grains in Shandong province and observation on the mycotoxin producing capacity of these fungi. *Chin J Health Lab Technol* 9:201–2. (In Chinese)
- Yang JL. 2008. Investigation and risk assessment of ochratoxin A in main foods in China [PhD thesis]. Northwest Agriculture & Forestry Univ, Yangling, Shanxi. (In Chinese)
- Yin WS, Zhang YD, Zhuang GL, Li K, Cai JP, Zeng S. 1986. A survey of paddy fungus flora in China and some researches in its evolutionary laws. *J Zhengzhou Grain Coll* 25:3–17. (In Chinese)
- Yu R, Chen DY, Liu R. 1999. Survey on fumonisins contamination in part of commercial grains. *J Prevent Med Inf* 5:80–1, 96. (In Chinese)
- Yu DN, Liu Y, Liu J, Wang Y, Wang ZM. 2008. Study on the distribution characteristic of AFB₁ in paddy and in the paddy seed in the warehouse. *Grain Storage* 37:42–4. (In Chinese)
- Yuan LJ, Ding W, Zhang S, Fu XJ, & Lin QL. 2015. Preliminary investigation and analysis of aflatoxin content in brown rice and germinated brown rice. *Cereals Oils Process* 8:40–3. (In Chinese)

- Zhang HL, Liu JX, He XR, Liu X. 2001. Study on fungi and their mycotoxins contamination in major grains in Anhui province. *China Public Health* 17:73–4. (In Chinese)
- Zhang X, Wang D, Fang F, Zhen Y, Liao X. 2005. Food safety and rice production in China. *Res Agric Modernization* 26:85–8 (In Chinese).
- Zhang HY, Liao MX, Li SM, Yu YJ. 2010. A survey on fumonisin B₁ contamination situation in rice from Ganzhou. *Asia-Pac Traditional Med* 6:178–9 (In Chinese).
- Zhang WW, He JY, Li YY, Yu C, Lin XH, Liang BH. 2015. Analysis on contamination of aflatoxin B₁ in food and oil in Guangzhou from 2009 to 2013. *Chin J Food Hyg* 27:291–4. (In Chinese)
- Zhou JX, Ju XR, Sun XD, Jin H, Yao ML, Shen HJ. 2008. Succession of mould flora for paddy in different storage conditions. *J Chin Cereals Oils Assoc* 23:133–6. (In Chinese)