International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024, 59, 2667-2675

2667

Original article

Prevalence, identification and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria* spp. isolated from poultry and pork meat

Alba Martinez-Laorden,¹ Celia Arraiz-Fernandez,¹ M. Jesús Cantalejo² & Elena Gonzalez-Fandos¹* 🝺

1 Food Technology Department, CIVA Research Center, University of La Rioja, Madre de Dios 53, 26006 Logroño (La Rioja), Spain 2 School of Agricultural Engineering, Public University of Navarre, Pamplona, Spain

(Received 1 November 2023; Accepted in revised form 12 February 2024)

Summary The aim of this work was to evaluate the prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. in chicken, duck, quail, turkey and pork meat, including the antibiotic resistance of isolated strains. A total of 184 meat samples were collected from different retailers in La Rioja (Spain). The presence of *Listeria* spp. and *L. monocytogenes* were detected in 24.46% and 10.32% of the meat samples respectively. *L. monocytogenes* was the predominant *Listeria* spp. found in chicken, quail and pork meat, while *L. innocua* and *L. welshimeri* were the predominant species in duck and turkey meat respectively. A total of thirty-three strains (55.93%) of *Listeria* spp. were found to be multi-resistant (resistant to \geq 3 families of antibiotics). The highest multi-resistant rates were observed in *L. monocytogenes* (73.68%) and *L. innocua* (70.59%), followed by *L. ivanovii* (50%). Resistance to ampicillin and trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole were found in *L. monocytogenes* strains isolated from chicken, being of special concern, since these antibiotics are used in the treatment of listeriosis. Special measures should be taken to reduce meat contamination such as adequate handling, correct preparation (cooking) and cleaning and disinfection in order to avoid cross-contamination.

Keywords chicken, duck, food pathogens, food safety, quail, turkey.

Introduction

Listeria is Gram-positive, rod-shaped, non-spore-forming bacteria that are ubiquitous in nature (Doijad et al., 2018). These bacteria can grow in adverse conditions such as environments with high osmolarity, low pH and low temperatures (Chin et al., 2018, González-Fandos et al., 2021a, González-Fandos et al., 2021b). Taxonomically, twenty species have been described within the genus Listeria (Doijad et al., 2018; Leclercq et al., 2019). These are divided into two groups based on their genetic and phenotypic relationship: (i) Listeria sensu stricto, which includes the species L. monocytogenes, L. innocua, L. welshimeri, L. seeligeri, L. ivanovii and L. marthii; and (ii) Listeria sensu lato, which includes the species L. gravi, L. rocourtiae, L. fleischmannii, L. weihenstephanensis, L. floridensis, L. aquatica, L. cornellensis, L. riparia, L. grandensis, L. booriae, L. newyorkensis, L. costaricensis, L. goaensis and L. thailandensis (Doijad et al., 2018; Leclercq et al., 2019). Recently, new species of *Listeria* spp. have been

*Correspondent: E-mail: elena.gonzalez@unirioja.es

isolated, such as *L. valentina* (Quereda *et al.*, 2020), *L. ilorinensis* (Raufu et al., 2022) and *L. cossartiae*, *L. farberi*, *L. immobilis*, *L. portnoyi* and *L. rustica* (Carlin *et al.*, 2021).

Among the different species of Listeria, L. monocytogenes and L. ivanovii are the only species pathogenic to both animals and humans (Matle et al., 2020). Listeriosis in humans attributed to L. ivanovii remains infrequent, with only two documented cases in the scientific literature (Rossi et al., 2022). Additionally, L. monocytogenes is considered one of the most significant foodborne pathogens worldwide (Leclercq et al., 2019). On the other hand, the presence of Listeria spp. in food may indicate inadequate hygienic conditions (Cufaoglu et al., 2021).

Listeria monocytogenes is widely distributed in the environment and foods (Hoelzer *et al.*, 2015; González-Fandos *et al.*, 2021a). This pathogen can survive for long periods in food processing environment due to its ability to survive under adverse conditions (Ph and low temperatures) and its ability to form biofilms (Knudsen *et al.*, 2017; González-Fandos *et al.*, 2021b).

doi:10.1111/ijfs.17013

© 2024 The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd

on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Serotype 1/2a strains of *L. monocytogenes* are frequently isolated from the food, while serotype 4b strains are often associated with human listeriosis (Hoelzer *et al.*, 2015). In 2022, 2738 listeriosis cases were reported in the European Union, with 1330 hospitalisations and a high fatality rate (18.1%) (EFSA, 2023). Clinical listeriosis mainly affects highrisk groups: pregnant women, newborns, elderly and immunocompromised people (Hoelzer *et al.*, 2015). In fact, in the European Union in 2022, 70.9% of listeriosis cases were reported in people over 64 years, being 59.8% of the fatal cases in the age group 65–84 years and 24.3% in the age group >84 years. (EFSA, 2023).

On the other hand, emergence of antibiotic resistance strains poses challenges to the clinical treatment in high-risk groups. The data on the evolution of multiple antibiotic resistance should be taken into account in order to mitigate the risk (Wai et al., 2020). Antibiotic treatments such as aminopenicillin (ampicillin or amoxicillin) and benzylpenicillin (penicillin G and gentamicin) often used with aminoglycosides, trimethoprim alone (or combined with sulfamethoxazole), erythromycin and tetracyclines are considered the most commonly used therapeutic treatment for infections caused by L. monocytogenes (Kayode & Okoh, 2022). In situations where patients have allergies or certain disease conditions, second-level agents are used, which include vancomycin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, erythromycin (applicable during pregnancy) and fluoroquinolones. In cases of immunocompromised patients with a high risk of listeriosis, empirical therapy with broad-spectrum antibiotics such as carbapenems or piperacillin-tazobactam may be administered (Kayode & Okoh, 2022).

In the last years, a growth in the prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *L. monocytogenes* isolated from food products has been observed (Olaimat *et al.*, 2018; Carvalho *et al.*, 2019; Kayode & Okoh, 2022). The resistance to antimicrobials in *L. monocytogenes* is a growing concern, not only in human listeriosis due to clinical antibiotic use but also in food and the environment (Li *et al.*, 2022). Cephalosporins, fosfomycin and macrolides have been identified as less effective against this bacterium.

The first report of multiple antibiotic-resistant clinical *L. monocytogenes* isolates was described in France in 1988, and since then, an increasing number of cases have been recorded (Kayode & Okoh, 2022). Several reports describe the resistance profiles of *L. monocytogenes* recovered from different sources, including chicken and pork (Pesavento *et al.*, 2010; Chin *et al.*, 2018; Shen *et al.*, 2022). However, the information available on the antimicrobial resistance of this pathogen isolated from quail, duck and turkey meat is limited (Rahimi *et al.*, 2012; Jamali *et al.*, 2014).

Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the prevalence in addition to identifying and characterising the antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria* spp. and *L. monocytogenes* isolated from pork and poultry meat.

Material and methods

Sampling and microbiological analysis

A total of 184 meat samples (thirty-five chicken, thirtyseven quail, thirty-one duck, thirty-seven turkey and thirty-nine pork) were collected from different retailers in La Rioja (Spain). The samples were transported to the university laboratory under refrigeration and kept at 4 °C for no longer than 1 h before analysis.

For the enumeration of *L. monocytogenes* and *Listeria* spp., 10 g of meat were aseptically weighed in a laminar flow cabinet and homogenised with 90 mL of 0.1% sterile peptone water (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) in a Masticator blender (IUL Instruments, Barcelona, Spain) for 2 min. Serial dilutions were prepared with the same diluent and 1 mL was plated on 140 mm ALOA® plates (BioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France), which were incubated in a Memmert Incubator (Memmert, Schwabach, Germany) for 48 h at 37 °C. The detection limit was 1 log CFU g⁻¹.

To determine the presence or absence of *L. monocytogenes* and *Listeria* spp., 10 g was weighed under aseptic conditions, homogenised with 90 mL of half Fraser broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) and incubated for 24 h at 30 °C. After this time, 1 mL was taken and transferred to a tube with 9 mL of Fraser broth (Oxoid). incubated for 24 h at 37 °C, then cultures were plated onto ALOA® plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24–48 h.

Isolation and identification of *L. monocytogenes* and others *Listeria* spp.

Between three and five colonies suspected of being *L. monocytogenes* (blue colonies with a halo) or *Listeria* spp. (blue colonies without halo) were isolated and identified from each meat sample analysed. Isolates were purified in Tryptone Soy Agar (Scharlau) and Brain Heart Infusion broth (Scharlau). The purified isolates were kept at -80 °C. Bacterial identification was performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) Biotyper (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA).

Antimicrobial susceptibility of *L. monocytogenes* and others *Listeria* spp.

Of the total number of isolated strains, one was selected per sample and identification to carry out the susceptibility to twenty-nine antimicrobials using the disk diffusion method on Mueller–Hinton agar.

International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024 © 2024 The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

13652621

The following antibiotic disks (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) were used: amikacin (AK, 30 µg), gentamicin (CN, 10 µg), streptomycin (S, 10 µg), tobramycin (TMN, 10 µg), amoxycillin/clavulanic acid (AUG, 30 µg), ampicillin (AMP, 2 µg), penicillin G (PNG, 10 µg), oxacillin (OX, 1 µg), cefotaxime (CTX, 30 µg), ceftaroline (CPT, 30 µg), imipenem (IPM, 10 µg), meropenem (MEM, 10 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg), enrofloxacin (ENR, 5 µg), levofloxacin (LEV, 5 µg), norfloxacin (NOR, 10 µg), doxycycline (DO, 30 µg), minocycline (MH, 30 µg), tetracycline (TE, 30 µg), tigecycline (TGC, 15 µg), teicoplanin (TEC, 30 ug), vancomvcin (VA, 30 ug), chloramphenicol (C, 30 µg), erythromycin (ERY, 15 µg), linezolid (LZD, 30 µg), nitrofurantoin (F, 300 µg), quinupristin/dalfopristin (QD, 15 µg), rifampicin (RD, 5 µg) and trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole 1:19 (SXT, 25 µg). After incubation at 37 °C for 18–24 h, inhibition zones were measured and scored as susceptible, intermediate (reduced susceptibility) or resistant according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI, 2020).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance was carried out using SPSS version 26 software (IBM SPSS Statistics). Tukey's test for comparison of means was performed using the same program. The level of significance was determined at P < 0.05.

Results

Enumeration and prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. isolated from pork and poultry meat

The presence of *Listeria* spp. was detected in 45 samples of the 184 samples tested (24.46%). According to the type of meat, presence was determined in nine of thirty-five chicken samples (25.71%), thirteen of thirty-one duck samples (41.94%), seven of thirty-seven quail samples (18.92%), seven of fifty-one turkey samples (13.73%) and nine of thirty pork samples (30%) (Table 1).

Listeria monocytogenes was detected in 19 samples of the 184 tested (10.32%). According to the type of meat, the presence of this pathogen was found in six of thirty-five chicken samples (17.14%), seven of thirty-seven quail samples (18.92%), two of fifty-one turkey samples (3.92%) and four of thirty pork samples (13.33%). L. monocytogenes was not detected in any duck meat sample (Table 1).

Of the forty-five samples with the presence of *Listeria* spp., a total of four samples, two of pork and two of quail, showed *L. monocytogenes* counts higher than 1 log CFU^{-1} . The pork samples showed counts

Table 1 Number of samples with presence of *Listeria* spp. and *L. monocytogenes* and species identified

Type of meat (<i>n</i> *)	Number of <i>Listeria</i> spppositive samples	Number of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> - positive samples	Species identified (<i>n</i> [†])
Chicken (35)	9	6	L. monocytogenes (3) L. monocytogenes, L. innocua (1) L. monocytogenes, L. welshimeri (2) L. innocua (1) L. welshimeri (2)
Duck (31)	13	0	L. innocua (7) L. welshimeri (1) L. welshimeri, L. innocua (4) L. welshimeri, L. grayi, L. innocua (1)
Quail (37)	7	7	L. monocytogenes (7)
Turkey (51)	7	2	L. monocytogenes (1) L. monocytogenes, L. welshimeri (1) L. innocua (2) L. welshimeri (2) L. welshimeri, L. ivanovii (1)
Pork (30)	9	4	L. monocytogenes (2) L. monocytogenes, L. innocua (1) L. monocytogenes, L. ivanovii (1) L. welshimeri (4) L. welshimeri + L. gray, (1)
Total (184)	45	19	1.7

*Number of samples.

[†]Number of samples.

of 1.30 and 1.60 log CFU g^{-1} , while the quail samples showed counts of 2.15 and 2.94 log CFU g^{-1} . In the two quail samples, only *L. monocytogenes* was found. However, in the pork samples after enrichment, *L. innocua* was found in one sample and *L. ivanovii* in the other pork sample. The four samples with *L. monocytogenes* counts were obtained from only two of the retailers evaluated.

Counts of *Listeria* spp., other than *L. monocytogenes*, were only obtained in five duck samples (1.30 log CFU g^{-1} in two samples, 2 log CFU g^{-1} in two samples and 2.73 log CFU/g in one sample). In four samples, only one *Listeria* spp. was identified, while in one sample, two *Listeria* spp. were identified. After enrichment, *L. innocua* was found in the five samples; in four of them, it was only isolated after enrichment. These samples were obtained

^{© 2024} The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024 on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

retailers where positive from the same the L. monocytogenes samples were purchased. In the other thirty-six samples, counts were below 1 log CFU/g, and only after enrichment, Listeria spp. were detected. In twenty-nine samples, only one Listeria spp. was identified (L. monocytogenes in ten samples), and in the other seven samples, two Listeria spp. were identified (L. monocytogenes in four samples).

Table 1 shows the *Listeria* spp.-positive samples by type of meat. A total of 164 strains were isolated from the forty-five positive samples. The largest number of isolates was identified as *L. monocytogenes* (35.37%), followed by *L. innocua* (32.93%) and *L. welshimeri* (28.05%). Only 1.83% were identified as *L. ivanovii* and *L. grayi. L. monocytogenes* was isolated from all types of meat except duck. *L. innocua* and *L. welshimeri* were found in all types of meat except quail. *L. ivanovii* was only isolated from turkey and pork meat, while *L. grayi* was only isolated from duck and pork meat (Table 1).

In 28.9% of the samples (thirty of forty-five samples), more than one species of *Listeria* was identified as can be seen in Table 1. The prevalence of *Listeria* spp. in chicken meat was as follows: *L. monocytogenes* (14.29%), *L. welshimeri* (2.57%) and *L. innocua* (2.57%). In duck meat, the prevalence was as follows: *L. innocua* (38.71%) followed by *L. welshimeri* (19.35%), and to a lesser extent, *L. grayi* (3.23%). In quail meat, only *L. monocytogenes* was isolated (18.92%). In turkey meat, *L. welshimeri* was the predominant species (7.84%) followed by *L. monocytogenes* (3.92%), *L. ivanovii* (1.96%) and *L. innocua* (1.96%). In pork meat, the predominant one was *L. monocytogenes* (10%), followed by *L. welshimeri* (6.67%), with a 3.33% *L. ivanovii*, *L. innocua* and *L. grayi*.

Of the total number of isolated strains, one was selected per sample and identified to carry out the analysis of susceptibility to antibiotics. In total, 59 isolates were selected: 19 from *L. monocytogenes*, 2 from *L. grayi*, 17 from *L. innocua*, 2 from *L. ivanovii* and 19 from *L. welshimeri*.

Antimicrobial resistance of *L. monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. isolated from pork and poultry meat

Table 2 shows the resistance patterns of the fifty-nine strains studied. Of the fifty-nine strains, a total of thirty-three (55.93%) were found to be multi-resistant (resistant to \geq 3 families of antibiotics). The highest multi-resistant rates were observed in *L. monocytogenes* (fourteen of nineteen strains, 73.68%) and *L. innocua* (twelve of seventeen strains, 70.59%), followed by *L. ivanovii* (one of two strains, 50%) and *L. welshimeri* (two of nineteen strains, 10.53%). However, none of *L. grayi* strains was multi-resistant. By animal species, the highest multi-resistance rates were found in quail (85.71%, six of seven strains were multi-resistant), followed by chicken (50%, six of

Table 2 Antimicrobial resistance phenotype of *Listeria* spp. isolated from chicken, duck, quail, turkey and pork meat

Type of meat	Species (number of isolates)	Antibiotic resistance phenotype (number of isolates)
Chicken	Listeria monocytogenes (6)	AMP-OX-CTX-MEM (1)
		OX-MEM-SXT (1)
		OX-CTX (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT-MEM (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT (1)
		AMP-OX-CTX-CPT-MEM-F (1)
	Listeria welshimeri (4)	OX-CTX (4)
	Listeria innocua (2)	OX-CTX-CPT-TE (1)
		OX-CTX-F (1)
Quail	Listeria monocytogenes (7)	OX-CTX-CPT-MEM (3)
		OX-CTX-CPT-ENR (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT-MEM-F (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT (1)
		OX-CTX-MEM-F (1)
Duck	Listeria welshimeri (6)	OX-CTX (3)
		OX (3)
	Listeria innocua (12)	OX-CTX-CPT (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT-F (5)
		OX-CTX-F (2)
		OX-MEM-CIP-DO-MH-TE-ERY-
		QD-RD (1)
		OX-CTX-TE-F (1)
		OX-CTX (2)
	Listeria grayi (1)	OX-CTX (1)
Turkey	Listeria monocytogenes (2)	OX-CTX-CPT-ENR-F (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT-MEM-F (1)
	Listeria welshimeri (4)	OX-MEM-MH-TE-ERY-QD (1)
		OX (2)
		OX-CTX-CPT (1)
	Listeria innocua (2)	OX-CTX-CPT (1)
		OX-CTX-CPT-TE (1)
	Listeria ivanovii (1)	OX-TE (1)
Pork	Listeria monocytogenes (4)	OX-CTX-CPT (1)
		OX-CTX-F (2)
		OX-CTX (1)
	Listeria welshimeri (5)	OX-CTX (2)
		OX (3)
	Listeria innocua (1)	OX-CPT-CIP-F (1)
	Listeria ivanovii (1)	OX-CTX-CPT-ENR-F (1)
	Listeria grayi (1)	OX-CTX (1)

AK, Amikacin; AMP, ampicillin; AUG, amoxycillin/clavulanic acid; C, chloramphenicol; CIP, ciprofloxacin; CN, gentamicin; CPT, ceftaroline; CTX, cefotaxime; DO, doxycycline; ENR, enrofloxacin; ERY, erythromycin; F, nitrofurantoin; IPM, imipenem; LEV, levofloxacin; LZD, linezolid; MEM, meropenem; MH, minocycline; NOR, norfloxacin; OX, oxacillin; PNG, penicillin; QD, quinupristin/dalfopristin; RD, rifampicin; S, streptomycin; SXT, trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole 1:19; TE, tetracycline; TEC, teicoplanin; TGC, tigecycline; TMN, tobramycin; VA, vancomycin.

twelve), duck (47.37%, nine of nineteen), turkey (44.44%, four of nine) and pork (33.33%, four of twelve).

A strain isolated from turkey identified as *L. innocua* was resistant to a total of nine antibiotics. Two turkey

nd one L. welshimeri, 25.71% in chicken, 41.94% in duck, 18.92% in quail,

strains, one *L. monocytogenes* and one *L. welshimeri*, were resistant to five and six antibiotics respectively. A chicken strain identified as *L. monocytogenes* was resistant to six antibiotics.

No strain was resistant to any antibiotic tested from the aminoglycoside or glycopeptide family. Furthermore, no strain was resistant to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, penicillin G, imipenem, levofloxacin, norfloxacin, tigecycline, chloramphenicol and linezolid.

Of the group of beta-lactams, in penicillin, resistance against two antibiotics was found: ampicillin (3.39%) and oxacillin (100%). Continuing with beta-lactams, of the two cephalosporins evaluated, high percentages of resistance were found against cefotaxime, 100% of the strains were resistant in quail, 91.67% in chicken, 78.95% in duck, 55.56% in turkey and 66.67% in pork. Against ceftaroline, the following resistance rates were found in strains isolated from chicken, quail, duck, turkey and pork - 33.33%, 85.71%, 31.58%, 55.56% and 25.00% respectively. Of the other betalactams, resistance rates against meropenem of 33.33%, 71.43%, 5.26% and 22.22% were found in strains isolated from chicken, quail, duck and turkey respectively. However, no resistance against meropenem was detected in strains isolated from pork.

Of the quinolones evaluated, only ciprofloxacin in the strains isolated from duck (5.26%) and pork (8.33%) and enrofloxacin in those isolated from quail, turkey and pork with values of 14.29%, 11.11% and 8.33%, respectively, presented resistance.

In the tetracycline group, only one strain isolated from duck (5.26%) was resistant to doxycycline. One strain isolated from duck (5.26%) and one from turkey (11.11%) were resistant to minocycline. In the case of tetracycline, one strain isolated from chicken (8.33%), two from duck (10.53%) and three from turkey (33.33%) were resistant.

For nitrofurantoin, at least one strain of each type of meat was resistant, two in chicken (16.67%), quail (28.5%) and turkey (22.22%), eight in duck (42.11%) and four in pork (33.33%). Only one chicken strain was resistant to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (8.33%). Only one strain from duck and another from turkey meat were resistant to erythromycin and quinupristin–dalfopristin. Moreover, only one strain from duck was resistant to rifampicin and one strain from chicken to trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole.

Discussion

Enumeration and prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. isolated from pork and poultry meat

In the present work, the presence of *Listeria* spp., was detected in 24.6% of the meat samples. The percentage of positive samples depended on the type of meat:

13.73% in turkey samples and 30% in pork meat. Other authors have reported a higher prevalence of Listeria spp. in chicken meat (41.5%-42.6%) (25.71% in our study) (Yücel et al., 2005; Chin et al., 2018) and turkey meat (40.9%) (13.73% in the present work) (Aras & Ard, 2015). Also, higher prevalence of *Listeria* spp. has been reported by Rahimi et al. (2012) in quail meat (22.4%) than in the present study (18.92%). In contrast, lower prevalence has been reported by Rahimi et al. (2012) in chicken meat (16.9%) and turkey meat (11%). Jamali et al. (2014) reported a lower prevalence of *Listeria* spp. in duck meat (14.1%) than in the present work (41.94%). The differences between studies maybe due to the initial contamination of birds, and also to differences in the hygienic conditions of the processing plants. Listeria spp. is widely distributed in birds, and its presence in poultry meat can be due to faecal contamination during evisceration in the slaughterhouse since birds are usually asymptomatic carriers of this bacterium and they can ingest this bacterium through contaminated food, water or soil (Dhama et al., 2015; Luque-Sastre et al., 2018). On the other hand, Listeria spp. may be present on the equipment and installations in poultry processing plants, and they can contaminate the surface of poultry meat (Gonçalves-Tenório et al., 2018). Similar prevalence has been reported by Pesavento et al. (2010) in pork meat, with a percentage of 28.5% compared to the 30% obtained in our samples. The prevalence of Lis*teria* spp. is higher in pork meat than in pigs; pork meat is mainly contaminated with *Listeria* spp. during processing (Kanuganti et al., 2002). In consequence, special care should be given to hygienic measures during meat processing/handling and packaging of pork meat. Similar prevalence of L. monocytogenes has been

Listeria in meat A Martinez-Laorden et al.

reported by Cufaoglu et al. (2021) in chicken meat (19.1% and 17.14% in the present work) and Liu et al. (2020) in pork meat (11.3% and 10.26% in the present work). However, higher prevalence has been reported by Ochiai et al. (2010) in chicken (28.7%, 17.14% in the present work) and pork meat (35.7%, 13.33% in the present work). Also, higher prevalence of L. monocytogenes was found by Aras & Ard (2015) in turkey meat (10.43%). We did not find L. monocytogenes in duck meat. However, other authors have reported a prevalence of 4.8% in this type of meat (Jamali et al., 2014). Lower prevalence of L. monocytogenes has been found by Rahimi et al. (2012) in chicken, quail and turkey meat (1.9%, 5.2% and 0.9%, respectively) (17.14%, 18.92% and 3.92% in the present work). L. monocytogenes can be present in the environment of pig and poultry farms, being common asymptomatic carriage animals (Schoder et al., 2022; Lagarde et al., 2024). Both pigs and poultry can harbour the bacterium in their digestive tract and excrete it into the 3652621

^{© 2024} The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024 on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

environment, being considered the primary source of *L. monocytogenes* in carcass contamination at the slaugh-terhouse (Luque-Sastre *et al.*, 2018; Lagarde *et al.*, 2024). Differences in the prevalence of this pathogen can be explained by the contamination in animals and the hygienic conditions during processing (Luque-Sastre *et al.*, 2018; Oswaldi *et al.*, 2022; Lagarde *et al.*, 2024).

We observed that the dominant *Listeria* spp. depended on the meat type. It should be highlighted that in quail meat, we only isolated *L. monocytogenes* (18.92%), while Rahimi *et al.* (2012) reported that *L. innocua* was the predominant species (12% of the samples) followed by *L. monocytogenes* (5.2%), *L. welshimeri* (2.2%) and *L. seeligeri* (1.7%).

We observed a prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* of 14.29%, *L. welshimeri* of 2.57% and *L. innocua* of 2.57% in chicken meat, while Rahimi *et al.* (2012) reported that *L. innocua* was the predominant species (13.8%), followed by *L. monocytogenes* (19%) and *L. welshimeri* (1.3%).

In duck meat, we observed that the highest prevalence of *Listeria* spp. was *L. innocua* (38.71%), followed by *L. welshimeri* (19.35%), and to a lesser extent, *L. grayi* (3.23%). In contrast, Jamali *et al.* (2014) reported lower prevalence of *L. innocua* (1.4%) and the presence of others: *Listeria* spp. *L. ivanovii* (5.5%), *L. innocua* 2.57% (4.8%) and *L. seeligeri* (2.4%) (Jamali *et al.*, 2014).

In turkey meat, we found that *L. welshimeri* was the predominant species (7.84%), followed by *L. monocytogenes* (3.92%), *L. ivanovii* (1.96%) and *L. innocua* (1.96%), while Aras et al. pointed out that *L. innocua* was the predominant species (14.8%), followed by *L. grayi* (13%), *L. monocytogenes* (10.43%) and *L. welshimeri* (Aras & Ard, 2015).

We found that in pork meat, the predominant species was *L. monocytogenes* (10%), followed by *L. welshimeri* (6.67%), *L. ivanovii*, *L. innocua* and *L. grayi* (3.33%). However, Pesavento *et al.* (2010) reported that the predominant species was *L. innocua* (50%). *L. monocytogenes*, *L. innocua* and *L. welshimeri* have often been isolated at slaughterhouses from pigs and processing environments (Oswaldi *et al.*, 2022).

Poultry and pork meat can be contaminated with L. monocytogenes and Listeria spp. during (i) animal production, (ii) processing at the slaughterhouse from healthy carrier animals, environment or equipment and (iii) meat processing from environment, hands or equipment (Nesbakken *et al.*, 1996; Upmann et al., 2000; Perez-Arnedo et al., 2021; González-Fandos et al., 2021a, 2021b). Even more L. monocytogenes can adapt to determined niches in processing plants, and persist for long periods, causing cross-contamination (Colagiorgi et al., 2017). Consequently, equipment, walls and floors can be a source of contamination with L. monocytogenes during processing. As sources of Lis*teria* spp. in meat, the following have been pointed out: carcass gut content, contaminated equipment, contaminated surfaces and food handlers. L. monocytogenes can find its way into food processing facilities through various means, including cross-contamination by workers and transportation of animals (Quereda et al., 2021). Although poultry meat can be contaminated with L. monocytogenes after evisceration, washing and chilling, portioning operation has been pointed out as the most critical stage (Upmann et al., 2000, Perez-Arnedo et al., 2021). In fact, in the present work, all the samples that presented L. monocytogenes count and 73.68% of those with the presence of Listeria spp. were obtained from two of the retailers evaluated. These findings suggest the relevance of meat handling and crosscontamination and highlight the relevance of keeping good hygienic practices (Perez-Arnedo et al., 2021; Siluma et al., 2023).

Antimicrobial resistance of *L. monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. isolated from pork and poultry meat

Since the first case reported in the early 1990s, concern about antibiotic resistance in *Listeria* spp. and *L. monocytogenes* has been increasing. Over time, several studies have found resistant strains of *Listeria* spp. and *L. monocytogenes* isolated from various sources (Escolar *et al.*, 2017). The diversity of antimicrobial resistance patterns observed in the last 25 years can be explained by exposure to different types of antimicrobials in different geographic locations and time periods (Escolar *et al.*, 2017).

The first-line drugs in the treatment of listeriosis are ampicillin, penicillin and gentamicin, either alone or in combination. If the patient is allergic to penicillin, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (STX) can be successfully used (Cufaoglu et al., 2021). Cufaoglu et al. (2021) reported higher resistance rates to these antibiotics than us. We only found two L. monocytogenes strains resistant to ampicillin and one to SXT, all of them isolated from chicken (resistant rates of 3.38% and 1.69% considering the 59 strains evaluated). Also, Yücel et al. (2005) only found resistance against trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in L. monocytogenes. Other authors have reported high resistance rates to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole in L. monocytogenes strains isolated from turkey (50%)(Aras & Ard, 2015). However, Cufaoglu et al. (2021) pointed out resistance rates of 27.2% for ampicillin and 97.3% for SXT in strains isolated from different foods including chicken meat. Yücel et al. (2005) have also reported high resistance rates against ampicillin in L. monocytogenes, L. innocua and L. welshimeri strains isolated from meat and meat products (66%, 96% and 100%, respectively), while Rahimi et al. (2012) observed the following resistance rates for these species: 11.1%, 9.2% and 22.2% in raw meat.

International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024 © 2024 The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

3652621

penicillin in *Listeria* spp. isolated from turkey meat. While Chin *et al.* (2018) reported resistance against chloramphenicol and erythromycin, of 6.25% and 12.5%, respectively, in strains isolated from chicken meat, we observed that all the strains from chicken were susceptible to these antibiotics. However, we observed resistance to erythromycin in two strains: one isolated from turkey and another from duck meat, none of them was *L. monocytogenes*. Although Chin *et al.* (2018) observed resistance to tetracycline in strains isolated from chicken meat (25%), we only observed resistance to this antibiotic in two strains isolated from turkey and two strains isolated from duck meat.

According to Aras & Ard (2015), the percentages of resistance to oxacillin in strains isolated from duck meat ranged from 75% to 93.3%. In contrast, we observed that 100% of the strains were resistant to this antibiotic. We also observed 83.3% *L. monocytogenes* isolated from chicken meat and 100% of those isolated from quail, turkey and pork were resistant to ceftaroline. Also, Panera-Martínez *et al.* (2022) found high resistance to oxacillin among *Listeria* spp. isolated from chicken (above 90%).

As in the present work, other authors have only found resistance against ciprofloxacin in *L. innocua* (Yücel *et al.*, 2005; Aras & Ard, 2015). Resistance to enrofloxacin has also been reported by other authors (Panera-Martínez *et al.*, 2022).

We only found one *L. innocua* strain isolated from duck resistant to rifampicin, but not from turkey. Neither Chin et al found resistance to rifampicin in *Listeria* spp. isolated from chicken (Chin *et al.*, 2018). However, Aras & Ard (2015) found resistance against this antibiotic in *L. innocua* (18.8%) and *L. monocytogenes* (8.3%) isolated from turkey.

Listeria monocytogenes strains resistant to nitrofurantoin have also been reported in chicken meat by other authors (Panera-Martínez *et al.*, 2022). We also found *L. monocytogenes* strains resistant to nitrofurantoin in quail, turkey and pork meat.

We found the highest multi-resistance rates in quail meat (85.71%), followed by chicken (50%), duck (47.37%), turkey (44.44%) and pork (33.33%). We observed that 73.68% of *L. monocytogenes* strains were multi-resistant, higher percentage than that reported by other authors (33.3%–49.1%) (Jamali *et al.*, 2014; Panera-Martínez *et al.*, 2022). Similar to Aras & Ard (2015), we observed that the highest multi-resistant rates corresponded to *L. monocytogenes*. Other authors have also reported a similar percentage of *Listeria* spp. multi-resistant (55.3%, 55.93% in the present work) (Aras & Ard, 2015). Multi-resistant *L. innocua* has been

reported in meat at high levels (62%) as in the present study (Aras & Ard, 2015).

As in the present work, Pesavento *et al.* (2010) isolated *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* from poultry resistant to a high number of antibiotics. We isolated one *L. innocua* strain from turkey resistant to nine antibiotics, and one *L. monocytogenes* and one *L. welshimeri* strain from turkey resistant to five and six antibiotics respectively. We also found one *L. monocytogenes* strain from chicken resistant to six antibiotics.

Different measures have been recommended to con-L. monocytogenes in foods (FAO and trol WHO, 2007, 2022): additionally, surveillance of the prevalence of L. monocytogenes in foods has been carried out (EFSA, 2023). In fact, the prevalence of this pathogen in foods has not shown a significant increase in the European Union in the period 2018-2022 (EFSA, 2023); even some authors have reported a decrease in the last years (Hanes & Huang, 2022; Maung et al., 2023). Since antimicrobial resistance is an increasing health issue, control programmes have been adopted at the national and international levels (FAO, 2021; WHO, 2023). Some authors have indicated that the percentage of L. monocytogenes multiresistant strains in poultry and pork meat has not increased or even it has reduced in the last years due to the measures taken at farm level (Hanes & Huang, 2022; Maung et al., 2023). However, some studies indicate that most of the isolates harboured virulence genes (Maung et al., 2023). Moreover, as in the present work, resistance to antibiotics that are used in the treatment of human listeriosis has been observed (Panera-Martínez et al., 2022), and in consequence, control measures are needed (Maung et al., 2023).

Conclusions

Listeria monocytogenes was the predominant Listeria spp. found in chicken, quail and pork meat, while L. innocua and L. welshimeri were the predominant species in duck and turkey meat respectively. Our results show that chicken, quail and turkey can be a source of multiresistance L. monocytogenes strains. Resistance to ampicillin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was found in L. monocytogenes isolated from chicken, which is of special concern for consumers' health since these antibiotics are used in the treatment of listeriosis. Special measures should be taken in order to reduce meat contamination such as adequate handling, correct preparation (enough cooking) and cleaning and disinfection in order to avoid cross-contamination.

Acknowledgments

Project FORTALECE 2021/05 was financed by the Rioja Government. A.M.L. acknowledges and extends

^{© 2024} The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024 on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

her thanks to the University of La Rioja and the Rioja Government for her predoctoral fellowship (UR-CAR-2019).

Author contributions

Alba Martinez-Laorden: Investigation; formal analysis; data curation; writing – original draft. Celia Arraiz-Fernandez: Data curation; investigation. M. Jesús Cantalejo: Data curation. Elena Gonzalez-Fandos: Conceptualization; investigation; funding acquisition; writing – original draft; methodology; validation; writing – review and editing; formal analysis; project administration; data curation; supervision; resources; visualization.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Ethical approval

Ethics approval was not required for this research.

Data availability statement

Research data are not shared.

References

- Aras, Z. & Ard, M. (2015). Occurrence and antibiotic susceptibility of *Listeria* species in Turkey meat. *Korean Journal for Food Science* of *Animal Resources*, 35, 669–673.
- Carlin, C.R., Liao, J., Weller, D.L. et al. (2021). Listeria cossartiae sp. nov., listeria farberi sp. nov., listeria immobilis sp. nov., listeria portnoyi sp. nov. and listeria rustica sp. nov., isolated from agricultural water and natural environments. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 71, 004795.
- Carvalho, F.T., Vieira, B.S., Vallim, D.C. et al. (2019). Genetic similarity, antibiotic resistance and disinfectant susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from chicken meat and chicken-meat processing environment in Mato Grosso, Brazil. Food Science and Technology, 109, 77–82.
- Chin, P.S., Ang, G.Y., Yu, C.Y. *et al.* (2018). Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, and genetic diversity of *Listeria* spp. isolated from raw chicken meat and chicken-related products in Malaysia. *Journal of Food Protection*, **81**, 284–289.
- CLSI (2020). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing*, 30th edn. Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Colagiorgi, A., Bruini, I., Di Ciccio, P.A. *et al.* (2017). *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilms in the wonderland of food industry. *Pathogens*, **6**, 41.
- Cufaoglu, G., Ambarcioglu, P. & Ayaz, N.D. (2021). Meta-analysis of the prevalence of *Listeria* spp. and antibiotic resistant *L. monocytogenes* isolates from foods in Turkey. *Food Science and Technology*, **144**, 111210.

- Dhama, K., Karthik, K., Tiwari, R. *et al.* (2015). Listeriosis in animals, its public health significance (food-borne zoonosis) and advances in diagnosis and control: a comprehensive review. *Veterinary Quartely*, **35**, 11–235.
- Doijad, S.P., Poharkar, K.V., Kale, S.B. et al. (2018). Listeria goaensis sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 68, 3285–3291.
- EFSA (2023). The European Union one health 2022 zoonoses report. EFSA Journal, 21, e8442.
- Escolar, C., Gómez, D., Del Carmen Rota García, M. et al. (2017). Antimicrobial resistance profiles of listeria monocytogenes and Listeria innocua isolated from ready-to-eat products of animal origin in Spain. Foodborne Pathogens and Disease, 14, 357–363.
- FAO. (2021). The FAO action plan on antimicrobial resistance 2021–2025. Rome. https://doi.org/10.4060/cb5545en. Accessed 26/12/2023.
- FAO & WHO. (2007). Guidelines on the Application of General Principles of Food Hygiene to the Control of *Listeria monocyto*genes in Foods (CXG 61–2007). https://www.fao.org/fao-whocodexalimentarius/codex-texts/guidelines/en/. Accessed 26/12/2023.
- Gonçalves-Tenório, A., Silva, B.N., Rodrigues, V. *et al.* (2018). Prevalence of pathogens in poultry meat: a meta-analysis of European published surveys. *Food*, **7**, 69.
- González-Fandos, E., Vazquez de Castro, M., Martínez-Laorden, A. et al. (2021a). Behavior of *Listeria monocytogenes* and other microorganisms in sliced Riojano chorizo (Spanish dry-cured sausage) during storage under modified atmospheres. *Microorganisms*, 9, 1384.
- González-Fandos, E., Vazquez de Castro, M. & Martínez-Laorden, A. (2021b). Behaviour of *Listeria monocytogenes* and natural microflora during the manufacture of Riojano chorizo (Spanish dry-cured sausage). *Microorganisms*, **9**, 1963.
- Hanes, R.M. & Huang, Z. (2022). Investigation of antimicrobial resistance genes in *listeria monocytogenes* from 2010 through to 2021. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19, 5506.
- Hoelzer, K., Puillot, R. & Dennis, S. (2015). Update on *Listeria monocytogenes*. In: *Advances in Microbial Food Safety Vol 2* (edited by J. Sofos). Pp. 149–194. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Jamali, H., Radmehr, B. & Ismail, S. (2014). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, and *Yersinia* species isolates in ducks and geese. *Poultry Science Association*, **93**, 1023– 1030.
- Kanuganti, S.R., Wesley, I.V., Reddy, P.G. et al. (2002). Detection of Listeria monocytogenes in pigs and pork. Journal of Food Protection, 65, 1470–1474.
- Kayode, A.J. & Okoh, A.I. (2022). Antibiotic resistance profile of *Listeria monocytogenes* recovered from ready-to-eat foods surveyed in South Africa. *Journal of Food Protection*, 85, 1807–1814.
- Knudsen, G.M., Nielsen, J.B., Marvig, R.L. et al. (2017). Genomewide-analyses of *Listeria monocytogenes* from food processing plants reveal clonal diversity and date the emergence of persisting sequence types. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, **9**, 428–440.
- Lagarde, J., Feurer, C., Denis, M., Douarre, P.E., Piveteau, P. & Roussel, S. (2024). *Listeria monocytogenes* prevalence and genomic diversity along the pig and pork production chain. *Food Microbiol*ogy, **119**, 104430.
- Leclercq, A., Moura, A., Vales, G., Tessaud-Rita, N., Aguilhon, C. & Lecuit, M. (2019). Listeria thailandensis sp. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 69, 74–81.
- Li, X., Shi, X., Song, Y. *et al.* (2022). Genetic diversity, antibiotic resistance, and virulence profiles of *Listeria monocytogenes* from retail meat and meat processing. *Food Research International*, **162**, 112040.
- Liu, Y., Sun, W., Sun, T. et al. (2020). The prevalence of Listeria monocytogenes in meat products in China: a systematic literature review and novel meta-analysis approach. International Journal of Food Microbiology, **312**, 108358.

International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2024 © 2024 The Authors. International Journal of Food Science & Technology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Institute of Food, Science and Technology (IFSTTF).

s and Conditions

(https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com

and-conditi

on Wiley Online Library for rules

; of use; OA articles

are governed by the applicable Creative Commons 1

- Luque-Sastre, L., Arroyo, C., Fox, E.M. et al. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance in *Listeria* species. *Microbiololy Spectrum*, **6**, 0031.
- Matle, I., Mbatha, K.R. & Madoroba, E. (2020). A review of Listeria monocytogenes from meat and meat products: epidemiology, virulence factors, antimicrobial resistance and diagnosis. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research, 87, 1–20.
- Maung, A.T., Abdelaziz, M.N.S., Mohammadi, T.N. *et al.* (2023). Comparison of prevalence, characterization, antimicrobial resistance and pathogenicity of foodborne *Listeria monocytogenes* in recent 5 years in Japan. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, **183**, 106333.
- Nesbakken, T., Kapperud, G. & Caugant, D.A. (1996). Pathways of Listeria monocytogenes contamination in the meat processing industry. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 31, 161–171.
- Ochiai, Y., Yamada, F., Batmunkh, O. et al. (2010). Prevalence of Listeria monocytogenes in retailed meat in the Tokyo metropolitan area. Journal of Food Protection, 73, 1688–1693.
- Olaimat, A.N., Al-Holy, M.A., Shahbaz, H.M. et al. (2018). Emergence of antibiotic resistance in *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from food products: a comprehensive review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, **17**, 1277–1292.
- Oswaldi, V., Lüth, S., Dzierzon, J. *et al.* (2022). Distribution and characteristics of *Listeria* spp. in pigs and pork production chains in Germany. *Microorganisms*, **26**, 512.
- Panera-Martínez, S., Rodríguez-Melcón, C., Serrano-Galán, V., Alonso-Calleja, C. & Capita, R. (2022). Prevalence, quantification and antibiotic resistance of *Listeria monocytogenes* in poultry preparations. *Food Control*, 135, 108608.
- Perez-Arnedo, I., Cantalejo, M.J., Martinez-Laorden, A. & Gonzalez-Fandos, E. (2021). Effect of processing on the microbiological quality and safety of chicken carcasses at slaughterhouse. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 56, 1855– 1864.
- Pesavento, G., Ducci, B., Nieri, D., Comodo, N. & Lo Nostro, A. (2010). Prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility of *Listeria* spp. isolated from raw meat and retail foods. *Food Control*, **21**, 708–713.
- Quereda, J.J., Leclercq, A., Moura, A. et al. (2020). Listeria valentina sp. nov., isolated from a water trough and the faeces of healthy sheep. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, **70**, 5868–5879.

- Quereda, J.J., Morón-García, A., Palacios Gorba, C. et al. (2021). Pathogenicity and virulence of *Listeria monocytogenes*: a trip from environmental to medical microbiology. *Virulence*, **12**, 2509–2545.
- Rahimi, E., Yazdi, F. & Farzinezhadizadeh, H. (2012). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Listeria* species isolated from different types of raw meat in Iran. *Journal of Food Protection*, 75, 2223–2227.
- Raufu, I.A., Moura, A., Vales, G. et al. (2022). Listeria ilorinensis sp. nov., isolated from cow milk cheese in Nigeria. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 72. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.005437
- Rossi, F., Giaccone, V., Amadoro, C. *et al.* (2022). Virulence characteristics and distribution of the pathogen *Listeria ivanovii* in the environment and in food. *Microorganisms*, **10**, 1–19.
- Schoder, D., Guldimann, C. & Märtlbauer, E. (2022). Asymptomatic carriage of *Listeria monocytogenes* by animals and humans and its impact on the food chain. *Food*, **11**, 3472.
- Shen, J., Zhang, G., Yang, J. et al. (2022). Prevalence, antibiotic resistance, and molecular epidemiology of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from imported foods in China during 2018 to 2020. *Inter*national Journal of Food Microbiology, 382, 109916.
- Siluma, B.J., Kgatla, E.T., Nethathe, B. & Ramashia, S.E. (2023). Evaluation of meat safety practices and hygiene among different butcheries and supermarkets in Vhembe District, Limpopo Province, South Africa. *International Journal of Environmental Research* and Public Health, **20**, 2230.
- Upmann, M., Jakob, P. & Reuter, G. (2000). Microbial transfer during cutting and deboning of pork in a small-scale meat processing plant. *Dairy, Food and Environmental Sanitation*, **20**, 14.
- Wai, G.Y., Tang, J.Y.H., New, C.Y. et al. (2020). A review on Listeria monocytogenes in food: prevalence, pathogenicity, survivability and antibiotic resistance. Food Research, 4, 20–27.
- WHO. (2023). WHO Library of AMR National Action Plans. https://www.who.int/teams/surveillance-preventioncontrol-AMR/ national-action-plan-monitoring-evaluation/library-of-nationalaction-plans. Accessed 26/12/2023.
- Yücel, N., Çitak, S. & Önder, M. (2005). Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Listeria* species in meat products in Ankara, Turkey. *Food Microbiology*, 22, 241–245.